

This electronic version (PDF) was scanned by the International Telecommunication Union (ITU) Library & Archives Service from an original paper document in the ITU Library & Archives collections.

La présente version électronique (PDF) a été numérisée par le Service de la bibliothèque et des archives de l'Union internationale des télécommunications (UIT) à partir d'un document papier original des collections de ce service.

Esta versión electrónica (PDF) ha sido escaneada por el Servicio de Biblioteca y Archivos de la Unión Internacional de Telecomunicaciones (UIT) a partir de un documento impreso original de las colecciones del Servicio de Biblioteca y Archivos de la UIT.

(ITU) للاتصالات الدولي الاتحاد في والمحفوظات المكتبة قسم أجراه الضوئي بالمسح تصوير نتاج (PDF) الإلكترونية النسخة هذه والمحفوظات المكتبة قسم في المتوفرة الوثائق ضمن أصلية ورقية وثيقة من نقلاً

此电子版(PDF版本)由国际电信联盟(ITU)图书馆和档案室利用存于该处的纸质文件扫描提供。

Настоящий электронный вариант (PDF) был подготовлен в библиотечно-архивной службе Международного союза электросвязи путем сканирования исходного документа в бумажной форме из библиотечно-архивной службы МСЭ.

MARITIME REGIONAL RADIO CONFERENCE

COPENHAGEN

1948

DOCUMENTATION

General Secretariat of the International Telecommunication Union Geneva, 1949

MARITIME REGIONAL RADIO CONFERENCE

COPENHAGEN

1948

DOCUMENTATION

General Secretariat of the International Telecommunication Union Geneva, 1949



MARITIME REGIONAL RADIO CONFERENCE (MAR)

I

Copenhagen 1948

Documentation

| | Contents and Index | Page |
|-------|--|-----------------------------|
| | Introduction | 1 |
| I. | Preliminary information | 3 |
| | Decision to convene the MAR Conference | 3 |
| II. | General information | 3 |
| | a) Duration of the MAR Conference and report on the action taken by the Danish Administration (See Annex 4) b) Participation (List of countries, showing signatories, and | 3 |
| .• | list of observers | 3 |
| | c) Chairman, Vice-Chairman and Secretariat d) Meeting of the Heads of Delegationsm Inauguration and Closure | 5 5 |
| | Meetings of the Plenary Assembly e) Committee and Working Groups f) Documents of the MAR Conference g) Press Release h) Acts of the MAR Conference | 5 5 6 |
| III. | <u>General Documents</u> | 7 |
| | a) Rules of Procedure | 8 17 19 23 |
| IV. | Meeting of Heads of Delegations of the RD and MAR Conferences | 26 |
| ۷. | Working Group (Voting Procedure) Meeting of Heads of Delegations of the MAR Conference Formal Inauguration | 27 28 29 |
| VI. | Meetings of the Plenary Assembly | 29 |
| VII. | Formal Closure | 38 |
| VIII. | <u>Committee 1</u> (Executive) | 39 |
| | Joint RD/MAR Working Group (Derogations) (See Committee 4). Joint RD/MAR Working Group (Protection) (See Committee 4). Joint Working Group 5A-3B Effective date (See Committee 3). Joint RD/MAR Committee (Apportionment of Expenditure) (See XIII) | 43 43 43 75 |
| IX. | Committee 2 (Credentials) | 45 |

| II | | | Page |
|----|-------|---|----------|
| | X. | <u>Committee 3</u> (Organisation) | 49 |
| | | Working Group (Draft Convention) | 56 |
| | | Extracts from the CER Documentation. | 56 |
| | | CER Working Group 3B | |
| | | "Notification of Frequencies" | 56 |
| | | "Quality of emissions." "Harmful interference" | |
| | | Joint CER Working Group 5A-3B | |
| | | "Effective date of the Convention and the Plan" | 57 |
| | XI. | Committee 4 (Frequency Allocation) | 59 |
| | | Working Group 4 A (Technical Questions) | 67 |
| • | | 1st series of questions: 1. Consideration of normal reception conditions | |
| | | 2. Consideration of interference of all kinds | |
| | | 3. Consideration of coast station transmitter powers | |
| | | 2nd series of questions: 1. Study of the effeciency of coast station antonnac | · |
| | | 2. Power gradation of coast station transmitters | |
| | | 3. Interference caused to coast | |
| | | stations by the harmonics of broadcasting stations | |
| | | 4. Type of emission to be used by coast stations | |
| | | 5. Interference caused by ships'radar | |
| | | Working Group 4 B (Frequency Allocation) | 68 |
| | | Plan Group | 70 |
| | | Group on the Preamble to the Plan | 70 71 |
| | | Joint Meeting of Groups 2 and 3 (Frequency 272 kc/s.Derogations in the aeronautical bands | |
| | | 325-365 and 395-405 kc/s) . Joint RD/MAR Working Group (Protection of coqst stations in the bands 415-490 and 510-525 kc/s) . | 71 |
| | XII. | <u>Committee 5</u> (Drafting) | 73 |
| | - | | |
| | XIII. | Joint RD/MAR Committee (Apportionment of Expenditure) | 75 |

II

| | | | | | s. | | | | | III |
|----------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|------|--------|---|---|---|----------|-----------|
| | | | . * | | | • | | | | Page |
| Annex 1. | List of parti | cipants: | | | | | | • | | 79 |
| | A. In alphbet B. By delegat | | r . • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 79 86 |
| Annex 2. | Bureau of the | Conferen | <u>ce</u> | • | | | | | | 93 |
| Annex 3. | List of docur | ents of t | he MAR | Conf | erence | 2 | | | | |
| | A. In numeric B. By Committ | | • | • | • | • | • | • | • • . | 95 101 |
| | <u>Denmark</u> Repor Conference ar | | | | | | | | stir | ıg |
| | (Document RD | | | | | | | | | 103 |

.

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

PAGE LAISSEE EN BLANC INTENTIONNELLEMENT

INDEX

Supplementing the table of contents

This Index covers only the discussions which took place in <u>Meetings</u> Note. of Heads of Delegations, Plenary Assemblies and Committees. For subjects discussed in Working Groups, etc.. see Contents. The numbers refer to MAR or RD/MAR documents

Abbreviations: <u>Heads</u> = Meeting of the Heads of Delegations P.A. = Meetings of the Plenary Assembly C.1, C.2, C.3, C.4, C.5, Joint C. = Meetings of these Committees.

Rules of Brocedure and organisation

Rules of Procedure, especially "Voting Procedure" (See special section of the Contents)

Admission of the U.S. Observer, Heads 10/13, 15/17, 18/18. Admission of Countries. Heads 10/13.

Admission of organisations. Heads 10/13, 22, P.A. 23, 71. Character of the Conference and the Final Acts. P.A. 71, 142, 192,222,

<u>C.1</u> 273/129, <u>C.3</u> 90,156, 157, 158, 180, 122, 184, 185,200. Working languages. <u>Heads</u> 10/13, 15/17, 22, <u>P.A.</u> 23, 192, <u>Joint C</u> 244/117

Memorandum or statement from the Head of the Linguistic Service. \underline{Cal} 154/78, 307/149.

Terms of Reference of Committee 3. Heads 22, P.A. 23, 87, C.3 88. Delegations refusing to participate in the discussion. P.A. 192, 221. Some countries, which would not sign the Final Acts, agree to take

the Copenhagen Plan as a basis for regional administrative agreements P.A. 222.

Interim reports by Working Groups. C.1 134/47.

Participation of Observers in Working Groups. C.1 218/109. Presence in Working Groups of delegates who have not asked to be

included <u>C.1</u> 218/109.

Temporary replacement of a Chairman. C.1 250/120

Permanent replacement of a Chairman C.1 250/120, 273/129, 307/149. Working methods. Heads 22, P.A. 71

Press release. P.A. 222.

Transfer of the Conference from Copenhagen to Elsinore C.1 218/109. End of the Conference (estimates). <u>C.1</u> 218/109, 250/120,273/129 307/149. P.A. 71,142,192; C.4 105.

Publication of the final Acts and Documentation. P.A. 192.

Financial questions

Apportionment of expenditure. Exemption. P.A. 192. C.1. 186/96 273/129, Joint C. 205/103, 244/117. Cost of working languages. P.A. 192, Joint C. 244/117 Classes of contribution P.A. 192 Joint C.244/117

VI Index

Credentials and signature

Type of credentials necessary for signature. C.3 156, 157. Credentials to participate only. C.2 34,83. Powers to sign sent by telegram. P.A. 142, C.2 174, 215 Signature for Morocco and Tunisia. C.2 174, 215 Rules governing the signing of the Acts. C.2 174 Signature for non-autonomous territories C.2 174 Full powers to sign only with delegates also having full powers $\underline{C.2}$ 34 List of delegates empowered to sign. C.2 34 Switzerland declines to sign the Final Acts, her interests no longer being involved, P.A. 222 Technical questions and frequency assignments. The Conference decides not to form a technical committee. Heads 22, P.A. 23, 71, C.4 29, 35. List of technical questions. C.4 35, 105. List of frequency requirements. C.3 88, C.4 36, 105. Frequencies for Spain. C.3 88. Frequencies possible for Germany. P.A. 221, 222, C.3 88, C.4 169 Terms of reference of Committee 4. P.A. 23, C.3 87, 88. Single Plan for the whole European maritime area. P.A. 142, C.3 158, 180, 181, 185. General principles governing frequency assignments. C.3 88. C.4 105. Geographical boundaries. P.A. 142, C.3 88, 89, 158, 200. The Conference decides not to study the band 1605-2850 kc/s. C.3 89. Unofficial discussions on assigning frequencies to radiobeacons. <u>C.3</u> 89 Interference caused to the mobile services in the radiotelephony band 1605-3000 kc/s. <u>C.4</u> 146, 169, 209. Unofficial Group to study frequency assignments to the aeronautical services. Heads 22, P.A. 71. Frequency tolerance. C:4 190 Minimum depth of modulation. C.4 190 Power P.A. 221 Harmful interference. P.A. 221 Derogations. P.A. 71, 221, C.4 36, 105, 146, 169, 182, 190, 178, 209. Suppression of future derogations. C.4 146 Protection. <u>C.4</u> 146, 169 Control of harmonics by international monitoring centres C.4 146. Class of emission (Al or A2) to be used by coast stations. C.4 169, 209 Propagation P.A. 71, C.4 105 Desire of the MAR Conference to see certain Atlantic City provisions appearing in the Broadcasting Convention C.4 169, 182. List of countries and stations in the Plan. P.A. 221 Discussion on the Montreux Arrangements (1939). C.3 158, 180, C.4 146. Automatic alarm devices. C.4 190 Press release. P.A. 222.

Index to the Final Acts

| <u>D1</u> : | scussj | on on the Articles of the Conv | Vention: (Reading and final draft: $(\underline{0.3} \ 184, \ 185, \ 200, \ 202$ ($\underline{P.A.}$ $(\underline{P.A.} \ 2nd \ reading \ 192$ ($\underline{P.A.} \ 2nd \ reading \ 222$ $(\underline{C.5} \ 193.$ |
|-------------|------------------|---|--|
| | | ist of signatories reamble | $\frac{P_{\bullet}A_{\bullet}}{P_{\bullet}A_{\bullet}}$ 192, 222, $\underline{C_{\bullet}5}$ 193 $\underline{P_{\bullet}A_{\bullet}}$ 192, $C_{\bullet}3$ 185, 200, 202, $\underline{C_{\bullet}5}$ 193 |
| Art | i. 1. | Execution of the Cenvention and of the Plan | <u>P.A.</u> 192, <u>C.3</u> 185, 200, 202, <u>C.5</u> 193. |
| 81 | 2. | Prohibition of the use of ship station frequencies by coast stations. | <u>P.A</u> . 192, <u>C.5</u> 193 |
| n | 3. | Definitions | P.A. 192, C.3 200, C.5 193 |
| u | 4. | Ratification of the Conventio | on <u>P.A.</u> 192, <u>C.3</u> 185, 200, 202, <u>C.5</u> 193. |
| - 11 | 5. | Accession to the Convention | <u>P.A.</u> 192, 222, <u>C.3</u> 185,200, 202, <u>C.5</u> 193 |
| • 11 | 6. | Denunciation of the Convention | on <u>P.A.</u> 192, 222, <u>C.A.</u> 185, 200, 202, <u>C.5</u> 193 |
| tt | 7. | Abrogation of the Convention and of the Plan | $\underline{P.A.}$ 192, 222, $\underline{C.3}$ 185,200,202, $\underline{C.5}$ 193 |
| 11 | 8. | Revision of the Convention and of the Plan | <u>P.A.</u> 192, 222, <u>C.3.</u> 185, 200, 202, <u>C.5</u> 193 |
| | 9 _e : | Initial Adjustments | <u>P.A.</u> 192, 222 <u>C.3</u> 185, 200,202. <u>C.5</u> 193. |
| 10 | 10. | Modification of the Plan | <u>P.A.</u> 192, 222, <u>C.3</u> 185, 202, <u>C.5</u> 193 |
| ų | 11. | Notification of frequencies | <u>P.A.</u> 192, 222, <u>C.1</u> 307/149 <u>C.3</u> 202, <u>C.5</u> 193 |
| ۲ | 12, | General Technical Provisions | <u>P.A.</u> 192, 222, <u>C.3</u> 202, <u>C.5</u> 193. |
| * | 13. | Conference Expenses | <u>P.A.</u> 192, 222, <u>C.1</u> 307/149, <u>C.3</u> 202, <u>C.5</u> 193 |
| T | 14. | Entry into force of the Convention and of the Plan | <u>P.A.</u> 192, 222, <u>C.3</u> 202, <u>C.8</u> 193. |
| | | Final Formule and Signatures | <u>P.A.</u> 222, <u>C.5</u> 193. |

| Discussion on the Copenhagen Plan: | (<u>Reading and final draft</u> : (<u>C.4</u> 182 (7 September), (C.4 190 (8 September), (<u>C.5</u> 204 |
|--|---|
| <u>Preamble</u> . Section I. <u>General</u> provisions | (<u>P.A.</u> 1st Reading 221 (15 September) (<u>C.5</u> Final draft 213 (15 September) (<u>P.A.</u> 2nd Reading 222 (16 September) |
| Art.1. Definitions "2. Power "3. Use of frequencies "4. Type of emission "5. Interference caused by stations operating in | |
| derogation. Preamble. Section II. Table sho | <u>P.A.</u> 221, 222 wing (<u>Reading and final draft</u> ; |

| Freamble. | Decoron IT THOTE SHOWING | Acading and I inal drait ; |
|-----------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| | distribution of | (Variants: No.1 C.4B 197 |
| | frequencies: | (No.2 C.4B 191 |
| | | (No.3 C.4B 198 |
| • • | | (lst Reading of the Plan: |
| | • | (P.A.221 (15 September) |
| | | (2nd Reading of the Plan: |
| | | (P.A. 225 (17 September) |
| | | |

Table I. Classification by numerical order of frequencies.

Table II. Classification by alphabetical order of countries.

Final Formula and Signatures.

INDEX IX

Discussion: the Resolution

P.A. 192

the Statements

the Recommendations

 $\underline{P_{\bullet}A_{\bullet}}$ 222 (16 September)

<u>C.5</u> 204, 220. 1st Reading <u>P.A.</u> 221 2nd Reading <u>P.A.</u> 222

<u>Recommendation: No. 1 to the Administrations</u> regarding <u>interference caused</u> to the reception of transmissions from stations of the Maritime Mobile Service by the harmonics of broadcasting <u>transmissions.</u>

Recommendation No.2 to the next International Radio Conference regarding the exclusion of broadcasting stations from operating in derogation in the bands allocated to the maritime mobile service.

Recommendation No.3 to Administrations and to the C.C.I.R. on the levels of interference to radioreception on ships caused by electrical installtions on ships.

Recommendation No.4 to Administrations and the C.C.I.R. on the subject: of interference to radioreception caused by radar apparatus.

Recommendation No.5 to Administrations and the C.C.I.R. on the question of interference to radioreception due to atmospheric causes.

<u>Recommendation No.6 to Administrations</u> on the subject of the minimum depth of modulation and the level of nonlinear distortion for coast station transmitters.

Recommendation No.7 to Administrations regarding the class of emission to be used by coast and ship stations;

MARITIME REGIONAL RADIO CONFERENCE (MAR)

1

Copenhagen, 25 June - 17 September 1948

Documentation

Introduction

The Copenhagen Maritime Regional Radio Conference decided not to incur the very considerable expense of publishing all the documents of the Conference, which would have had to be published in the three working languages, English, French and Russian, with a small number for each language.

What it did, however, was to ask the Chairman, with the help of the Secretariat, to produce a roneoed Documentation, so that anyone wishing to refer to the discussions or documents could do so without difficulty.

The necessary instructions were given by the 4th Meeting of the Plenary Assembly on 9 September 1948,

The Chairman and Secretariat now have pleasure in presenting this Documentation to those who took part in the MAR Conference.

The summaries of the main sets of Minutes and Reports can be thought of as the Copenhagen debates in miniature. The way the Meetings of the Heads of Delegations, Assemblies, Committees and Working Groups are represented, with all the references to the documents and the material in them, makes a general index unnecessary. However carefully such an index is prepared, it can rarely cover every need and often leads to precious time being wasted if an item has several page references, any one of which may be the one required.

From the Contents, the general subjects discussed in the MAR Conference can be quickly found.

In addition, we have compiled an index of some subjects of discussion which, by their nature, could not be easily traced from the Contents alone.

Also, for easier reference, the list of the MAR Conference documents (Annex 3) gives, opposite each document number the appropriate page in the Documentation.

For the sake of completeness, we have reproduced in Annex 4 the Report of the Danish Administration dated 22 June 1948 which describes the task which faced the MAR Conference. Certain points which were dealt with by the European Broadcasting Conference (CER) were of direct interest to the MAR Conference and we have therefore extracted the relevant information from the CER Documentation.

Particular care has been taken to give all the necessary references, so that all the discussions can be easily followed by referring to the original documents of the MAR Conference.

We hope that this Documentation will meet with your full approval.

I

Preliminary information

Decision to convene the MAR Conference

At the International Radio Conference, Atlantic City (1947), the Head of the French Delegation suggested that a European Conference for the maritime mobile service, similar to the one held in Montreux in 1939, should be convened at the same time and in the same place as the European Broadcasting Conference (CER), as the two would be closely connected. The Atlantic City Conference, having accepted the invitation of the Danish Government to hold the CER Conference in Copenhagen in 1948, also accepted the invitation for the MAR Conference and requested the Danish Government to convene it.

II

General information

a) <u>Duration of the MAR Conference and report on the action taken by the Danish</u> <u>Administration (see Annex 4)</u>

The MAR Conference met in Copenhagen in Christiansborg Castle on 25 June 1948. It was transferred on 15 August to the Marienlyst Hotel in Elsinore, where it completed its work on 17 September 1948.

In order to facilitate the work of the MAR Conference, the Danish Administration published a document (RD 7/MAR 9) on 22 June 1948, reporting the action taken by the Danish Government and Administration with regard to the tasks of the MAR Conference and the invitation issued for it (see Annex 4).

b) Participation

The Danish Government issued invitations for the MAR Conference to the same countries as had been invited for the CER, i.e. the 33 countries of the European broadcasting area.

With the exception of Austria, the Vatican City, Lebanon, Luxemburg and Syria, all the countries of the European broadcasting area were represented at the MAR Conference. Below is the list of countries of the European broadcasting area *), and the list of observers admitted to the MAR Conference.

The list also shows both the countries which signed the Convention and Plan and those which did not. Except for the Roumanian PR, whose official denomination has been altered since Atlantic City, the countries have been listed in the order of the signatures on the Additional Protocol to the Acts of the International Radio Conference, Atlantic City (1947).

^{*)} The MAR Conference defined the <u>European maritime area</u> in Art. 3 of the Convention (see the note to Rule 1, 8 1, of the Rules of Procedure of the MAR Conference).

Countries (in brackets: usual abbreviations or names)

signatory to the Convention and Plan (15 countries) non-signatory to the Convention and Plan (13 countries) 0 = 0 1. People's Republic of Albania (PR of Albania) 2. Austria (did not participate in the MAR Conference) 3. Belgium + 0 Bielorussian Soviet Socialist Republic (Bielorussian S.S.R.) 4. 0 People's Republic of Bulgaria (PR of Bulgaria) 5. 6. State of Vatican City (Vatican City) (did not participate in the MAR Conference) 7. + Denmark 0 Egypt . 8. 9. 0 Finland + 10, France + 11. Greece 0 12. Hungary + 13. Ireland + 14. Iceland + 15. Italy 16. Lebanon (did not participate in the MAR Conference) Luxemburg (did not participate in the MAR Conference) 17. 18, Monaco + + 19. Norway 20. Netherlands + Republic of Poland (R, of Poland) 0 21. + 22. Portugal + French Protectorates of Morocco and Tunisia (Morocco and Tunisia) 23. 0 24. Federal People's Republic of Yugoslavia (FPR of Yugoslavia) Roumanian People's Republic (Roumanian PR) 0 25. 0 26. Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic (Ukrainian SSR) + 27. United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland (United Kingdom, + 280 UK) Sweden 0 290 Swiss Confederation (Switzerland) 30. Syria (did not participate in the MAR Conference) 31. 0 Czechoslovakia + 32. Turkey Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (USSR) 33. n <u>Observers</u> Countries United States of America International Organizations International Frequency Registration Board (IFRB) United Nations (UN) was invited, but did not participate. International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) International Chamber of Shipping

International Radio-Meritime Committee (CIRM)

4

c) Chairman, Vice-Chairman and Secretariat

The Chairmanship and Vice-Chairmanship of the Conference were assumed respectively by $\underline{\text{Mr}_{\bullet}}$ N_oE_e Holmblad, Chief Engineer, Chief of the Technical Division of the Danish Administration of Posts and Telegraphs and Head of the Danish Delegation, and <u>Mr. Gunnar Pedersen</u>, Chief of the Radio Section Danish Administration of Posts and Telegraphs and Deputy Head of the Danish Delegation.

The Secretariat of the Conference made up of staff detached from the General Secretariat of the ITU, was assisted by staff provided by the Danish Administration, and worked under <u>Mr. W.F. Studer</u>, Councillor of the ITU and Secretary-in-Chief of the CER Conference. <u>Mr. Gerald C. Gross</u>, Assistant Secretary General, represented the Secretary General of the Union during the first days of the Conference.

d) <u>Meeting of the Heads of the Delegations, Inauguration and Closure, Meetings</u> of the Plenary Assembly

The Heads of Delegations of the two Conferences met on 24, 25 and 26 June, and those of the MAR Conference on 2 July 1948.

The formal inauguration of the MAR Conference took place on 25 June in the presence of <u>Mr. Carl Petersen</u>, Danish Minister of Public Works, and the closing meeting on 17 September, in the presence of <u>Mr. K.J. Jensen</u>, Director-General of Danish Posts and Telegraphs, representing the Minister of Public Works.

Seven Meetings of the Plenary Assembly were held between 2 July and 17 September 1948,

e) <u>Committees</u>, <u>Sub-Committees</u> and <u>Working Groups</u>

Five committees were formed, The names of the Committees and Working Groups, the date they were formed, their terms of reference and membership, as well as the names of the Chairmen, Vice-Chairmen and Rapporteurs are given in the Sections devoted to their work,

f) Documents of the MAR Conference

The Conference published 217 documents, numbered MAR 1-217. With a few exceptions, they were all published in French, English and Russian, the three working languages of the Conference. A certain number were marked RD and MAR; RD for the CER Conference and MAR for the Maritime Regional Radio Conference. In this set, the double numbering has been retained for easier reference; should such a joint document be missing in a delegate's "MAR" set, it may perhaps be found in his "RD" set.

The Danish Administration kept the stencils and stock of documents for six months after the end of the Conference, and participants in the MAR Conference were able to obtain the documents they needed from them.

g) Press Release

A press release, approved at the 6th Meeting of the Plenary Assembly, was published as $\underline{MAR 223}$.

h) Acts of the MAR Conference

The Maritime Regional Radio Conference drew up a new European Regional Convention for the Maritime Mobile Radio Service and a new Plan for the Distribution of Frequencies between the Coast Stations of the European Maritime Area, annexed to the Convention. Both were signed by the 15 countries marked + in the above list. They will enter into force on 15 March 1950, at 0200 hours (Greenwich Mean Time).

This Convention and the Plan annexed thereto abrogate and replace :

the Regional Arrangement for the Baltic Sea,

the Regional Arrangement for the English Channel and the North Sea,

the Regional Arrangement for the Atlantic and the Coast of North Africa and

the Regional Arrangement for the Mediterranean,

concluded at Montreux on 12 April 1939 between the administrations concerned.

The Secretariat of the MAR Conference published the Final Acts separately in English, French and Russian in "blue booklets" *).

The following supplementary information relating to the Acts of the MAR Conference was published by the General Secretariat of the Union :

Notification No. 563 dated 16 November 1948:

"On page 8 of the Final Acts, in French and English, the following declaration should be inserted beneath the signatures of the Delegation of the <u>United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern</u> <u>Ireland</u>:

We hereby declare that the signatures of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland apply also to Gibraltar, Malta and Cyprus."

*) Obtainable from the General Secretariat of the International Telecommunication Union, Palais Wilson, Geneva.

Price, packing and postage included:

| French edition | - | Sw, | frs, | 6.50 |
|----------------------|-----|-----|------|------|
| English " | · 🛖 | 11 | 11 | 6.50 |
| Russian ^u | ~ | 11 | H | 6,70 |

General Documents

III a) Rules of Procedure

The draft Rules of Procedure of the MAR Conference, proposed by Denmark, were published on 22 June 1948 in <u>MAR 6</u> and corrected in <u>MAR 20.</u>

This draft is essentially the same as the draft Rules of Procedure of the CER, published in RD 4.

The MAR Conference finally adopted the Rules of Procedure decided upon by the CER, subject to slight drafting changes (Plenary Meetings of 2 July (<u>MAR 23</u>) and 24 August (<u>MAR 142</u>)).

We have therefore included the information already published in the RD Documentation (p.9) on the discussion leading up to the adoption of the CER Rules of Procedure and, consequently, to the adoption of the Rules of Procedure of the MAR Conference, given on page 3_{\circ}

22 June 1948, Denmark. Draft Rules of Procedure RD 4.

The draft was considered by the Meeting of the <u>Heads of Delegations</u> (RD 18/<u>MAR 18</u>) which introduced amendments (<u>RD 12</u> of 27 June 1948) and set up a <u>small Working Group</u> to propose a text for para, 6 of Rule 17 (Voting Procedure).

In its turn, the Plenary Assembly (RD 26, RD 29 and RD 30) considered the draft Rules of Procedure RD 4 amended by RD 12.

It was decided (RD 29) that the 33 countries of the European area would temporarily be permitted to vote on questions under discussion (a comment on this decision is to be found in § 227 of RD 182). RD 76, replacing RD 4, was then published on 12 July 1948.

RD 76 contains the Rules of Procedure of the CER, with the exception of Rule 17 not yet adopted at that time.

The Working Group (Voting Procedure) issued a Report in 4 parts on the work it had done:

| <u>RD 19</u> of 30 Jun | ne - I | | Admission of new Members with voting rights (majority required) |
|------------------------|-------------------|--------|--|
| <u>RD 19</u> of 8 Ju | y - I | tem 2: | Plan (majority required for decisions affecting the Plan) |
| <u>RD 19</u> of 1 Ju | y - I | tem 3: | Rules of Procedure (majority required to carry motions for a 2/3 majority vote) |
| <u>RD 19</u> of 8 Ju | y - I | tem 4: | Convention (majority required for decisions affecting the Convention). |

The following documents should also be noted:

RD 28 of 2 July, United Kingdom: proposed amendment to Item 1 RD 27 of 1 July, France : proposed amendment to Item 3.

The following documents were considered by the <u>Plenary Assembly</u>:

<u>RD 104</u> (Item 3), <u>RD 112</u> (Items 1 & 2, with a new USSR proposal, distributed during the meeting, and draft directives to the Working Group for the reexamination of Item 2, submitted by Morocco and Tunisia). The Soviet Delegation was asked to draw up a list of questions requiring a 2/3 majority.

In the meantime, a <u>draft of Rule 17</u> was published on 29 July 1948 by the Danish Delegation (<u>RD 169</u>), It was first discussed by the <u>Plenary</u> <u>Assembly</u> (<u>RD 183</u>). The Soviet Delegation proposed an amendment, published in <u>RD 176</u>. On 30 July 1948, the same Delegation published a list of questions (RD 173) which, in its opinion, should be adopted by at least a 2/3 majority.

The <u>Plenary Assembly</u>, at its meeting on 2 August 1948 (<u>RD 210</u>) adopted the final text of Rule 17 of the Rules of Procedure (Voting Procedure) this was published in <u>RD 198</u>, thus supplementing <u>RD 76</u>.

RULES OF PROCEDURE

of the Maritime Regional Radio Conference (Copenhagen, 1948)

(Documents RD 76 of 12 July 1948 and RD 198 of 8 August 1948)

In accordance with the decisions taken at the Plenary Meetings of the MAR Conference (<u>MAR 23</u> of 2 July and <u>MAR 142</u> of 24 August 1948), the Rules of Procedure of the RD Conference apply, <u>mutatis mutandis</u>, to the MAR Conference. The necessary drafting modifications have been made to Rule 1 a) and its footnote (extract from Art, 3 of the Convention), to Rule 2, 5 1, Rule 9, 5 1 and Rule 17, 55 1 and 7.

Definitions

§ 1. In these Rules, the term "delegation" shall denote a group of delegates from the same country.

- a) Only delegations from countries within the European Maritime Area *) shall have the right to vote.
- b) Representatives of extra-European countries shall have the rights of observers only.

 $$2_{\circ}$$ Each delegation may be assisted by one or more aides, by one or more advisers and by one or more interpreters.

\$3. The term "observer" shall denote:

- a) Persons representing countries outside Europe which have signed or adhered to the International Telecommunication Convention of Atlantic City, 1947;
- b) Persons representing the United Nations, who are present at the Conference;
- c) Persons representing the International Frequency Registration Board (IFRB);
- d) Persons representing international bodies who have asked to be admitted to the Conference and whose request has been approved by a plenary meeting of the Conference.

Rule 2

Admission to the Conference

S 1. As a general rule, only the following shall take part in all the deliberations of the Conference: delegations of countries within the European Maritime Area, observers from countries outside Europe, from the United Nations and from the IFRB.

*) <u>Definition of the European Maritime Area</u>. The expression "European Maritime Area" denotes the area bounded:

on the North by a line extending along parallel 72° North from its intersection with meridian 55° East to its intersection with meridian 5° West, then along meridian 5° West to its intersection with parallel 67° North, thence along parallel 67° North to its intersection with meridian 30° West;

on the West by a line extending along meridian 30° West to its intersection with parallel 30° North;

on the South by a line extending along parallel 30° North to its intersection with meridian 43° East;

on the East by a line extending along meridian 43° East to its intersection with parallel 60° North, thence along parallel 60° North to its intersection with meridian 55° East and thence along meridian 55° East to its intersection with parallel 72° North. § 2. The first Plenary Assembly shall lay down the limits within which observers from the bodies cited in Rule 1, para 3 d) may attend, and take part, in an advisory capacity, in the deliberations either at sessions of the Plenary Assembly, or at all or some of the Committees,

Rule 3

Order of Seating

At sessions of the Plenary Assembly, delegates, aides, advisers, interpreters, and observers shall be grouped by delegation and by country and agency. These delegations and observers shall be seated in the alphabetical order of the French names of the countries and agencies represented.

Rule 4

Election of Chairman and Vice-Chairman

The Chairman and Vice-Chairman of the Conference shall be elected at the first session of the Plenary Assembly of the Conference.

Rule 5

Presentation of Credentials

S 1. Each delegation shall present to the Secretariat of the Conference credentials giving it authority to sign all the agreements entered into by the Conference.

§ 2. These credentials shall be examined by a committee during the first week of the Conference.

 \overline{S} 3. No delegation shall enjoy the right to vote under Rule 17 unless and until the above committee has declared its credentials to be in order.

\$ 4. Observers shall present to the Secretariat an official note from their organization accrediting them to the Conference.

Rule 6

Powers of the Chairman

The Chairman shall open and close the sessions of the Plenary Assembly of the Conference, direct the deliberations, and announce the results of the voting.

He shall also have the general direction of all the work of the Conference.

Rule 7

Secretariat of the Conference

At the first session of the Plenary Assembly, a Secretariat of the Conference shall be constituted. It shall be composed of personnel of the Secretariat of the International Telecommunication Union and, if necessary, of personnel of the Danish Government's administration,

Appointment of Committees

The Plenary Assembly may appoint committees to examine questions submitted for the consideration of the Conference. These committees may in their turn appoint sub-committees or working groups.

Rule 9

Composition of Committees

§ 1. Committees shall be composed of delegations from countries in the European Maritime Area which have made known their intention to participate.

32. The following may be present at, and take part in, the deliberations of the committees, in a consultative capacity :

- a) Observers from non-European countries.
- b) Representatives of the United Nations.
- c) Representatives of the International Frequency Registration Board (IFRB)
- d) Representatives of international organizations, as laid down by the first Session of the Plenary Assembly.

Rule 10

Chairmen, Vice-Chairmen, and Reporters of Committees and Sub-Committees.

The Chairman of the Conference shall submit for the approval of the Plenary Assembly the choice of Chairman and of vice-chairman or vice-chairmen of each committee.

The Chairman of each committee shall propose to his committee the nomination of the reporters and the choice of the chairmen, vice-chairmen and reporters of the sub-committees.

Rule 11

Summons to Sessions

The sessions of the Plenary Assembly and of the committees and subcommittees shall be announced either by letter or by notice posted in the meeting place of the Conference. This information should be given at the earliest moment and as a general rule at least two days before the meeting takes place.

Rule 12

Order of Discussion

S 1. Persons desiring to speak may do so only after having obtained the consent of the Chairman. As a general rule, they shall begin by announcing the name of their country or of their organization.

8 2. Any person speaking must express himself slowly and distinctly separating his words and pausing frequently, so that all his colleagues may be able to follow his meaning, and so that the interpreters can translate his speech.

Proposals presented before the opening of the Conference.

Proposals presented before the opening of the Conference shall be allocated by the Plenary Assembly to the appropriate committees.

Rule 14

Proposals submitted during the Conference.

§ 1. No proposal or amendment may be submitted unless it is countersigned fr supported by the Head of the Delegation of the country from which the proposal or amendment originated, or by his deputy.

\$ 2. The Chairman of the Conference shall decide whether the proposal or amendment shall be announced to all delegations by distribution of copies or merely by oral statement. Should the delegation from which the proposal or amendment originates wish copies of it to be distributed, this shall be done.

§ 3. At sessions of the Plenary Assembly, any authorized individual may read or request to be read any proposal or amendment presented by him during the Conference, and may be allowed to explain his reasons therefor

Rule 15

Proposals presented to Committees during the Conference.

\$ 1. Proposals or amendments submitted after the Conference has opened must be delivered to the Chairman of the appropriate committee, or, in case of doubt as to the appropriate committee, to the Chairman of the Conference.

§ 2. Every proposal or amendment shall be submitted in the definitive form of words to be included in the documents.

§ 3. The Chairman of the committee concerned shall decide whether the proposal or amendment shall be announced to all members of the Conference or committee by distribution of copies or merely by oral statement to the members of the committee. Should the delegation from which the proposal or amendment originates wish copies of it to be distributed, this shall be done.

Rule 16

Postponed proposals.

. When a proposal or amendment has been reserved or when its examination has been postponed, the dologation sponsoring it shall be responsible for seeing that it is not subsequently overlooked.

Voting procedure

All questions - with the exception of the Frequency Allocation Plan and the Convention - on which unanimous agreement on the part of the Delegates to the Conference cannot be reached, shall be resolved by a vote conforming to the undermentioned rules.

It is understood that the Plan and the Convention in their final form shall not be voted upon, but shall be unanimously or quasi-unenimously signed, and then ratified and put into effect by all the signatories.

§ 1. Only delegations of governments in the European Maritime Area may vote, each delegation having one vote.

§ 2. A duly accredited delegation may give a mandate to another duly accredited delegation to exercise its vote at one or more sessions at which it is unable to be present. In no case may one delegation exercise more than one such proxy vote.

83. At sessions of the Plenary Assembly, each proposal or amendment shall be submitted to a vote after discussion.

 \hat{S} 4. For a valid vote to be taken at a session of the Plenary Assembly, at least one half of the delegations accredited to the Conference and having the right to vote shall be present or represented at the session during which the vote is cast.

§ 5. Voting shall take place by a show of hands. If a majority is not clearly apparent, even after a recount has been taken, or if an individual count of the votes is requested, there shall be a roll-call in the alphabetical order of the French names of the delegations.

\$ 6. In sessions of the Plenary Assembly, no proposal or amendment (save only the exceptions mentioned in paragraphs 7 and 8 below) shall be adopted unless it is supported by a majority of the delegations present and voting. In determining the number of votes required for a majority, abstentions shall not be taken into account. In the case of a tie, the measure shall be considered rejected.

57. In the case of questions of admission to the Conference with voting rights, no proposal in favour of the admission of a country shall be accepted unless it secures the approval of two-thirds of the countries of the European maritime area which have already been invited to the Conference

S 8. If the number of abstentions exceeds one-half of the number of delegations present and voting, the measure shall be reconsidered at a subsequent session, at which time the abstentions shall not be taken into consideration.

88. If five or more delegations, present and entitled to vote, request, when a vote is about to be taken, that it shall be taken by secret ballot, this shall be done. The necessary steps shall be taken to guarantee secrecy.

8 10. Voting procedure within Committees shall be governed by the provisions of paragraphs 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 8 and 9 of this Rule.

<u>Rule 18</u>

Minutes of the Sessions of the Plenary Assembly

§ 1. The minutes of the sessions of the Plenary Assembly shall be drawn up by the secretariat of the Conference.

§ 2. 1) The minutes shall contain only the proposals and conclusions with the chief reasons for them in concise terms.

2) However, each delegate or observer shall have the right to rerequire the insertion in the minutes, **eit**her summarized or in full, of any statement which he has made. In such a case, he must himself supply its text to the secretariat of the Conference within two hours after the end of the session. It is recommended that this right shall only be used with discretion.

Rule 19

Reports of Committees

§ 1. (1) The debates of the committees and sub-committees shall be summarized, session by session, in reports in which shall be brought out the essential points of the discussion, the various opinions which are expressed and which it is desirable that the Plenary Assembly should know and the proposals and conclusions which emerge.

(2) However, each delegate or observer shall have the right to require the insertion in the report of any statement which he has made (either summarized or in full). In such a case, he must himself supply to the reporter the text to be inserted within two hours after the end of the session. It is recommended that this right shall only be used with discretion.

§ 2. If circumstances warrant, the committees, sub-committees or working groups shall prepare at the end of their work a final report in which they shall recapitulate in concise terms the proposals and conclusions which result from the studies which have been entrusted to them.

Rule 20

Adoption of Minutes and Reports

§ 1. (1) As a general rule, at the beginning of each session of the Plenary Assembly or of each session of a committee or of a sub-committee, the minutes, or the report, of the preceding session shall be read.

(2) However, the Chairman may, if he considers such procedure satisfactory and if no objection is raised, merely ask if any member of the Plenary Assembly, the Committee or the sub-committee, has any remarks to make on the contents of the minutes or of the report.

§ 2. The minutes or the report shall then be adopted or amended in accordance with the remarks which have been made and which have been approved by the Plenary Assembly, or by the Committee or sub-committee.

§ 3. Any final report must be approved by the respective committee or sub-committee.

§ 4. (1) The minutes of the closing session of the Plenary Assembly shall be examined and approved by the Chairman of the Conference.

(2) The report of the last session of a committee or of a subcommittee shall be examined and approved by the Chairman of the committee or sub-committee,

Rulo 21

Languages

The final documents of the Conference shall be drawn up in the languages mentioned below, in versions equivalent both in form and content :

English, French and Russian.

In case of dispute, the French text shall be authentic. All other documents shall be drawn up in :

English, French and Russian.

At meetings, there shall be an efficient system of interpretation in :

English, French and Russian.

Other languages may be used in the debates provided that the delegations using them make arrangements themselves for oral translation into any one of the languages mentioned in the first sub-paragraph above. Similarly delegates may, if they wish, arrange for speeches to be translated orally into their own languages from one of the languages mentioned above.

As to the share to be taken by each country in the expenses attributable to the use of these languages, the provisions of the Atlantic City Convention, Article 15, paragraph 5, shall apply, by courtesy of the I.T.U.

Rule 22

Editorial Committee, Numbering

§ 1. The texts of the agreement or of the frequency allotment plan, which shall be worded so far as practicable in their definitive form by the various committees, following the opinions expressed, shall be submitted to an editorial committee charged with perfecting their form without altering their sense, and with combining them with those parts of the former texts which have not been altered.

§ 2. The whole of the revised texts shall be submitted for the approval of the Plenary Assembly of the Conference, which shall decide on them, or refer them back to the appropriate committee for further examination.

§ 3. The numbers of the chapters, articles and paragraphs of the texts subjected to revision shall be preserved until the first reading at a session of the Plenary Assembly. The passages added shall bear provisionally the numbers bis, ter, etc., and the numbers of deleted passages shall not be used.

§ 4. The definitive numbering of the chapters, articles, and paragraphs shall be entrusted to the Editorial Committee after their adoption following the first reading.

Rule 23

Final Approval

The texts of the agreement and of the frequency allotment plan shall not acquire final status until they have been read a second time and approved.

Signature

The final texts approved by the Conference shall be submitted for signature to the delegates provided with the necessary powers in the alphabetical order of the French names of the countries.

Rule 25

Publicity

S1. Plenary meetings of the Conference shall be open to the public unless otherwise decided by a majority vote.

\$ 2. Official releases to the press about the work of the Conference shall be issued only as authorized by the Chairman or Vice-Chairman of the Conference. However the official release at the end of the Conference shall require the approval of a Plenary Meeting.

Rule 26

Documents

The General Secretariat of the International Telecommunication Union shall be entrusted with the publication of all documents relative to the Conference, and with their distribution to all the delegations and observers taking part in the Conference.

Rule 27

Franking Privilegos

81. The delegates and observers, as defined in Rule 1, shall be entitled to postal, telegraph and telephone franking privileges to an extent arranged by the Danish Government in agreement with contracting governments and the private operating agencies concerned. These franking privileges shall start two days before the opening of the Conference, and shall come to an end two days after the Conference ends.

5 2. Telegraph and telephone franking privileges shall be limited to communications exchanged between delegates and observers and their respective governments, administrations, and agencies, and between them and their families.

\$ 3. The staff of the Conference Secretariat shall also benefit by these privileges.

III b) <u>Working methods</u>

WORKING METHODS

to be followed in Committee Meetings

approved

by the Plenary Assembly of the Broadcasting Conference (9 July 1948 - <u>RD 104</u>) and by the Plenary Assembly of the Maritime Conference (21 July 1948 - MAR 71)

(RD 144/MAR 76 of 22 July 1948)

Rules of Procedure

a) Committees shall be guided in their work by the Rules of Procedure of the Conference.

Designation of Proposals and Amendments

b) In order to facilitate the work of the Conference, as well as the drafting of the final documents and reference to them, the Rapporteurs shall always designate proposals or amendments by the number of the document in which they have been published.

Reports shall bear at the top of the page the number of the Committee which has drafted them.

Inclusion of additional proposals in the reports

c) The Rapporteurs shall be responsible for inserting in their reports the text of additional proposals, the examination and discussion of which are given therein.

Numbering, mimeographing and distribution of reports

d) The Rapporteurs shall submit the first draft of their reports to the Secretariat of the Conference.

The Secretariat shall be responsible for their numbering, mimeographing and distribution.

Corrections

e) Requests that corrections be made in a report may be addressed either to the Secretariat or to the meeting responsible for the adoption of the report. In the former case, they shall be accompanied by the endorsement of the responsible Rapporteur, numbered, and published immediately. They shall show clearly upon whose request the correction has been made.

Time-table of Meetings

f) Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen of the Committees of the Conference shall meet on Fridays to fix the time-table for the meetings of the following week.

Allocation of Rooms, Summonses to Meetings

g) The rooms required for the meetings fixed by the weekly time-table shall be reserved, in agreement with the Reception Committee, at the weekly meeting of Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen of Committees. In the case of meetings outside the time-table, the Rapporteurs shall apply to the Reception Committee for reservations of the necessary rooms. The Committee shall also be responsible for the posting of the date, time and place of meetings; it will be appreciated if the Rapporteurs will inform the Reception Committee of any changes in meetings. As far as possible, summonses to meetings shall be posted at least 24 hours in advance.

Views and Opinions

h) The views and opinions which the Sub-Committees or Working Groups may be asked to formulate shall be submitted for ratification to the Committee concerned, and then, should the occasion arise, to the Plenary Assembly. The decisions involving the Conference must be taken by the Plenary Assembly.

Application of the Working Methods to Committees

i) The Chairmen of the various Committees may add to the provisions of this document to meet the special needs of their Committees.

III c) <u>Distribution of Expenses</u>

DISTRIBUTION OF EXPENSES

arising from the RD and MAR Conferences and the Committee of Eight Countries, Brussels.

(RD 363/MAR 203 of 11 September 1948)

On the basis of the decisions taken

by the European Broadcasting Conference in its Plenary Assembly on 4 September 1948 and

by the Maritime Regional Radio Conference in its Plenary Assembly on 9 September 1948,

the distribution of the expenses of the above-mentioned Conferences shall be made in the following manner :

1) The expenses attributable to the <u>European Broadcasting Conference</u> (CER) shall be 3/4 (three-quarters), and

the expenses attributable to the <u>Maritime Regional Radio Conference</u> (MAR) 1/4 (one-quarter) of the total expenses arising from the meetings at Copenhagen, account being taken of Resolution No. 20 of the Administrative Council of the ITU concerning detachment of members of the permanent staff of the Union to regional conferences.

- 2) The expenses arising from the <u>Committee of Eight Countries</u>, at Brussels, shall be audited and settled separately, following the decision to exempt the United States of America from any contribution to the expenses of the Committee of Eight Countries.
- 3) The distribution of the expenses shall be effected in conformity with the Table contained in Annex I for the CER and the Committee of Eight Countries, taking into account, in the case of the Committee of Eight Countries, the exemption of the United States of America (see 2))1 and in Annex II for the MAR Conference.
- 4) The RD and MAR Conferences have adopted the principle of contributions by the participants in general, i.e. countries (including observer countries) and organizations, with the exception of the following organizations, which are exempted:
 - a) IFRE, ITU organization; 2

b) UNESCO) specialized agencies of the United Nations.

- c) ICAO
- d) OIR
- e) UIR

The U.N. did not participate in the Meetings.

^{1.} The RD Conference has decided to exempt the United States of America from contributing to the expenses of the Committee of Eight Countries. In addition, when the distribution of the expenses of the Conference was being discussed, the United States Observer stated that this question was still under consideration by his Government.

^{2.}

f) Since the Conferences have adopted French, English and Russian as working languages on an equal footing, it has been decided that the expenses arising from the use of the various languages shall be pooled and distributed without regard to language. The reservation has, however, been made and accepted that this shall not constitute a precedent.

5}

The classes of contribution shall be those which result from the situation as of 1 September 1948.

Annex I

Classification based on I.T.U. Notification 558 of 1 Sept. 1948

Contribution to the expenses of the European Broadcasting Conference

| | and the Committee of Eight Countries. | | | |
|----------|---|-------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| | Country | 01.000 | | Thatta |
| | People's Republic of Albania | <u>Class</u> 8 | | Units |
| | | · · | | 1 |
| | Austria | 8 | | . T |
| | Belgium | 5 | | 10 |
| " | Bielorussian Soviet Socialist Republic | 0 | | 5 |
| | People's Republic of Bulgaria | 7 | . · | 3 |
| | State of the Vatican City | 8 | | 1 |
| | Denmark | 5 | | 10 |
| | Egypt | 5 | ÷ * | 10 |
| | Finland | 6 | | 5 |
| | France | 1 | | 30 |
| | Greece | 6 | | 5 |
| | Hungary | 8 | | 1 |
| · | Ireland | 6 | | 5 |
| ŗ | Iceland | 8 | | ì |
| | Italy | 2 | • | 25 |
| | Luxembourg | 7 | | 3 |
| | Monaco | 8 | • | i |
| | Norway | 5 | | 10 |
| | Netherlands | 5. | | 10 |
| | Republic of Poland | 3 | • | 20 |
| | Portugal | , , | | 15 |
| | French Protectorates of Morocco and Tunisia | 17 | | 3 |
| · | | 27 | | 3 |
| | Federal People's Republic of Yugoslavia | 6 | | 5 |
| | Roumanian People's Republic | 6 | | - 5 |
| | Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic | 4 | | 15 |
| | | 4 1* | | 30* |
| | United Kingdom of Creat Britain and Northern Ireland | | | 10 |
| | Sweden | 5 | | 10 |
| | Swiss Confederation | 5 | | |
| | Syria | 7 | | 3 |
| | Czechoslovakia | 5 | | 10 |
| | Turkey | . 5 | · · · · · | 10 |
| | Union of Soviet Socialist Republics | 2 | ·. · | 25 |
| | <u>Observers</u> . | | | |
| .: | a) <u>Countries</u> | 4 | | |
| | San Marino | 8 | • | L L |
| | United States of America | 1 | | 30** |
| | (does not contribute for Committee of Eight Countries) | | | |
| | b) Organisations. | | : | · . |
| • | 1. International Frequency Registration Board (I.F.R.B.). | ' . | | · |
| | United Nations Educational, Scientific and | | | |
| | Cultural Organisation (U.N.E.S.C.O.) | - | | - |
| | International Civil Aviation Organisation (I.C.A.O.) | | • | |
| 1 | II. International Chamber of Shipping | | | 1 |
| - | International Radio-Maritime Committee (C.I.R.M.) | 5 | | 10 |
| | International Broadcasting Organisation (0.I.R.) | · · · · | | · · · · · · · |
| | International Broadcasting Union (U.I.R.) | | | ier- |
| | Total : | | an der segne generater S | 343 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

* <u>General Secretariat Note</u> : The original document published in Copenhagen (RD 363/MAR 203) showed here Class 2, Units 25. This was changed to Class 1. Units 30 at the request (effective from 1 January 1948) of the United Kingdom (Notification 559 dated 16 September 1948).

** See Note 1 under 3) , p.19.

Annex II

Classification based on I.T.U. Notification 558 of 1 September 1948.

<u>Contribution to the expenses</u> of the Maritime Regional Radio Conference

| Country | Class | Units |
|--|---|---|
| People's Republic of Albania Belgium | 85675561686828553477 | 1 10 5 3 10 10 5 30 5 1 5 1 25 1 10 10 20 15 3 3 |
| Federal People's Republic of Yugoslavia | 6 6 4 1* 5 5 5 5 5 2 | 3 5 5 15 30* 10 10 10 10 25 |
| Observers a. Countries United States of America b. Organisations I. International Frequency Registration Board (I.F.R.B.) International Civil Aviation Organisation (I.C.A.O.) II. International Chamber of Shipping International Radio Maritime Committee (C.I.R.M.) | 1 - 8 5 | 30** - 1 10 |
| | Total | 334 |

See General Secretariat Note, p. 21 See Note 1 under 3), p. 19

₩¥

III d) Establishment and membership of Committees of the MAR Conference

Establishment

22 June 1948 - Denmark - Draft MAR 4

This draft was considered by the <u>Heads of Delegations</u> Meeting $(\underline{MAR 22})$ and by the <u>Plenary Assembly</u> (<u>MAR 23</u>), which slightly modified <u>MAR 4</u>.

Chairmanship and Vice-Chairmanship

An unnumbered confidential document, containing suggestions made by the Danish Administration, was distributed at the Meeting of the <u>Heads</u> of <u>Delegations</u> and considered by them (<u>MAR 22</u>). The <u>Plenary Assembly</u> (<u>MAR 23</u>) approved the proposals of the Meeting of the Heads of Delegations.

Membership of Committees

27 July 1948

MAR 82 amended in MAR 139

Membership of Working Groups of the MAR Conference

14 August 1948

MAR 114 (continuing MAR 82)

<u>Note.</u> Information on the <u>establishment</u>, <u>terms of reference</u> and <u>membership</u> of Committees and Working Groups is given at the beginning of the appropriate section of the Documentation.

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

PAGE LAISSEE EN BLANC INTENTIONNELLEMENT

Meeting of the Heads of Delegations

Note by the General Secretariat of the Union.

Before giving details of the meeting of the Heads of Delegations of the MAR Conference, we have thought fit to include the material on the joint meeting of the Heads of Delegations of the RD and MAR Conferences already published in the RD Documentation; the Minutes of this meeting appeared in joint documents, as the majority of the items of the agenda was the same for both Conferences, each of which had to approve the Minutes,

We have therefore simply added to the text published in the RD Documentation a reference to the MAR document amending and approving the Minutes.

On the other hand, though the results achieved by the Working Group (Voting Procedure) of the joint Heads of Delegations Meeting was recorded only in RD documents, we are reproducing them here because the MAR Conference adopted the provisions finally approved by the RD Conference.

Meeting of the Heads of Delegations of the European Broadcasting and Maritime Regional Radio Conferences

Three Meetings: RD 10/MAR 13, RD 15/MAR 17, RD 18/MAR 18 + RD 25/MAR 19

Agenda for the Meeting: RD 8 cancels RD 1. Supplemented by RD 9

Appointment of the Secretariat
 Establishment of Committees (<u>RD 2</u>)

3. Chairmanship and Vice-Chairmanship of Committees

4. Arrangements for linguistic services

5. Rules of Procedure (RD 4)

6. Working methods (RD 6/MAR 8)

7. Admission of the State of Israel and the Republic of San Marino

8. Admission of international organizations (RD J/MAR 9)

9. Miscellaneous

1st Meeting Thursday, 24 June 1948 RD 10/MAR 13* Supplemented by RD 11/MAR 14 (consecutive interpretation) and approved and amended in <u>RD 104</u> (2nd P.A.) See <u>RD 109</u> ·

*)

Summary of RD 10/MAR 13. Address of welcome. Request for the U.S.A. Observer to attend the Meeting. Roll call. Proposal to adjourn. Proposal for the appointment of the Secretariat. Establishment of Committees. Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen of Committees. Report on arrangements for the linguistic service and requests from countries (Israel, San Marino) and international organizations (OIR, UIR, CIRM) to be admitted.

2nd Meeting Friday, 25 June 1948 RD 15/MAR 17* Approved and amended in RD 104 (2nd P.A.)See RD 109.

Summary of RD 15/MAR 17. Roll call. Request from the U.S.A. Observer. Questions addressed to the Representative of the Secretary General. Vote: admission of the U.S.A. Observer. U.S.S.R. reservation. Setting up of Committees. Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen of Committees. Arrangements for linguistic services. Report by the Committee of Eight Countries, first item to be considered by the Plenary Assembly, with or without Rules of Procedure. New printing of the Variants and the Report. Proposal to adjourn.

3rd Meeting Saturday, 26 June 1948 RD 18/MAR 18 Approved and amended in +RD 25/MAR 19 RD 104 (2nd P.A.) See RD 109. (simultaneous interpretation until 11 September)

Summary of RD 18/MAR 18. Rules of Procedure; definition of "delegation"; nature of the representation of the U.S.A. and representation at the CER of the occupation zones of Germany; vote rejecting a motion for adjournment; question to the Representative of the Secretary-General; written statement by the U.S.A. Observer that he represented neither Germany, nor one of the zones of occupation; further consideration of the Rules of Procedure; United Kingdom proposal: admission of new delegations should be supported by at least 2/3 of the votes; U.S.S.R. proposal: voting on important questions should require a 2/3 majority; setting up of a working group to draft a new text for para. 6 of Rule 17. U.S.S.R. request for simultaneous interpretation into Russian. Statement by the Assistant Secretary General. The existing provisional arrangements maintained.

^{*)} Approved in MAR 71 with the same amendments as at the RD Conference. See MAR 72.

Working Group of the Meeting of the Heads of Delegations

(Working Group (Voting Procedure)

| <u>Set up on 20</u> Terms of re: | | text to amend para. 6 of |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| <u>Membership</u> : | Rule 17 of the Rules <u>Chairman</u> : <u>H.W.A. Freese-Penne</u> P.R. of Albania, P.R. of Bulg France and U.S.S.R. | efather (United Kingdom); |
| <u>Item 1</u> : | Admission of new participants woting rights (majority require | |
| <u>Item 2</u> : | Plan (majority required for decisions affecting the Plan) | RD19: Considered in RD112 and RD 183 of 8 July 1948 |
| <u>Item 3</u> : | Rules of Procedure (majority required to carry motions for a 2/3 majority vote) | <u>RD19:</u> Considered in <u>RD104</u> and <u>RD183</u> of 1 July 1948 |
| <u>Item 4</u> : | Convention (majority required for decisions affecting the Convention) | RD19: Considered in RD183 of 8 July 1948 |
| United Kin | ngdom. Amendment to <u>Item 1</u> | RD28: Considered in RD112 |
| France . | Amendment to <u>Item 3</u> | RD27: Considered in RD104 |
| See "Gener | ral Documents". | |

28 Heads of Delegations

<u>Meeting of the Heads of Delegations of the</u> <u>Maritime Regional Radio Conference</u>

One Meeting : MAR 22

MAR 11 cancelling MAR 3 and MAR 12

1. Appointment of Secretariat

2. Establishment of Committees (MAR 4)

3. Chairmanship and Vice-Chairmanship of Committees

4. Arrangements for linguistic services

5. Rules of Procedure (MAR 6, amended by MAR 20)

6. Working Methods (RD 6/MAR 8)

7. Admission of international organizations (IFRB, CIRM, OIR)

8. Miscellaneous.

Meeting on Friday, 2 July 1948

MAR 22, approved in MAR 71

<u>Summary of MAR 22</u>. Address of welcome. Approval of agenda. Switzerland announced its participation. The Chairman stated that most of the items on the agenda were identical for both Conferences, and had already been adopted by the European Broadcasting Conference (RD), and could therefore be settled without discussion. The Delegate of the United Kingdom stated that he did not agree with the provisions made for languages at the Broadcasting Conference and would revert to the question in plenary assembly.

Item 1 on the Agenda. Adopted.

<u>Item 2</u>. Amendment to MAR 4: Terms of Reference of Committee 3. Was it hecessary to set up a special technical committee ? In a show of hands, the Meeting favoured widening the Terms of Reference of Committee 3. The Belgian Delegate, an aeronautical expert, opposed the setting up of an <u>unofficial</u> <u>group to study frequency assignments to aeronautical services (315-325 kc/s</u> and 325-404 kc/s). Discussion to be resumed after the Secretariat had distributed a document giving the background to the question. <u>Item 3.</u> Brief discussion.

Item 4. Already settled in the Rules of Procedure.

<u>Item 5.</u> Proposal to adopt the Rules of Procedure already accepted by the Broadcasting Conference and to leave in abeyance the question of voting, for which no solution had yet been found. U.K. reservation regarding languages.

<u>Item 6.</u> The same working methods to be adopted as those agreed upon by the Broadcasting Conference.

Item 7. The admission of international organizations to be settled in the same way as at the Broadcasting Conference. The Chairman did not think that a formal request for admission had been made by the International Chamber of Shipping.

Item 8, Nil.

<u>Formal Inauguration</u> of the European Broadcasting and Maritime Regional Radio Conferences

<u>22 June 1948</u>

Agenda <u>M</u>

MAR 5 and MAR 12

25 June 1948

Inaugural Meeting

RD 14/ MAR 16, approved in MAR 71

<u>Summary of RD 14/MAR 16</u>. Opening address by Mr. Carl Petersen, Danish Minister of Public Works. Reply by Mr. René Corteil, Head of Belgian Delegation.

VI

<u>Meetings of the Plenary Assembly</u> of the Maritime Regional Radio Conference

| Meetings | lst | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | 5th | 6th | 7th |
|-----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| MAR Doos. | 23 | 71 | 142 | 192 | 221 | 222 | 225 |

Agenda for the 1st Meeting

<u>MAR 5</u>

1. Opening Address by the Head of the Danish Delegation

2. Election of the Chairman and Vice-Chairman of the Conference

3. Appointment of the Secretariat

4. Establishment of Committees (MAR 4)

5. Nomination of Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen of Committees

6. Rules of Procedure (MAR 6)

7. Working Methods (RD 6/MAR 8)

8. Working hours of the Conference

9. Arrangements for linguistic services

10. Admission of international organizations

11. Any other business

1st Meeting Friday, 2 July 1948

MAR 23, approved and amended in MAR 71. See MAR 72.

Summary of MAR 23. Item 1. Adoption of the Agenda.

Item 2. - Item 3.

<u>Item 4</u>. Amendment to <u>MAR 4</u>: Terms of Reference of Committee 3. By a show of hands, the Assembly decided not to set up a technical committee. <u>Item 5</u>. -

Item 6. The Delegate of the United Kingdom considered that, as no text specified that the MAR Conference was to adopt its own Rules of Procedure, it had to follow the provisions of the Atlantic City Convention and, in particular, of Article 15 (Languages). He thought that the adoption of <u>Russian as a working language</u> by the Maritime Conference would be contrary to this Article. The Soviet Delegate, and others, were of the opinion that, to speed up its work, the Conference should adopt Russian as a working language, as the Broadcasting Conference had done. <u>Russian was adopted</u> as a working language. The Delegate of the United Kingdom, whose observations were to be included in the Minutes, again stressed that this should not create a precedent. Summary of MAR 23 (continued) :-

The <u>Rules of Procedure</u>, already accepted by the RD Conference, were adopted by the MAR Conference with the exception of Rule 17, still in abeyance. <u>Item 7.</u> Deferred.

Item 8. Adoption of the same working hours as at the Broadcasting Conference. Item 9. No comments.

<u>Item 10</u>. Admission of the I.F.R.B. For other organizations (OIR excepted) it was agreed to await the information for which the Broadcasting Conference had already applied.

Item 11. Names for document distribution and list of participants. Assignment of proposals to Committees.

Agenda for the 2nd Meeting MAR 44

1. Approval of the Minutes of :

- a) Meetings of the Heads of Delegations <u>MAR 13</u>, identical to RD 10 already approved by the CER <u>MAR 14</u>, identical to RD 11 already approved by the CER <u>MAR 17</u>, identical to RD 15 already approved by the CER <u>MAR 18</u>, identical to RD 18 already approved by the CER <u>MAR 22</u>;
- b) the formal inaugural Meeting, <u>MAR 16</u>, identical to RD 14 already approved by the CER;
- c) the 1st Meeting of the Plenary Assembly, MAR 23
- 2. Working Methods (<u>MAR 8</u>, with the corrections adopted by the 2nd Meeting of the Plenary Assembly of the CER, 9 July 1948, RD 104).
- 3. Admission of international organizations :

International Chamber of Shipping (RD 40/<u>MAR 25</u>) International Radio-Maritime Committee (RD 41/<u>MAR 26</u>) I.C.A.O. (RD 54/<u>MAR 32</u>, corrected by RD 61/<u>MAR 37</u>) U.N.E.S.C.O. (RD 62)

- 4. Nature of the MAR Conference (plenipotentiary or administrative).
- 5. Unofficial group for the study of frequency assignments to aeronautical services (<u>MAR 21</u>). (<u>MAR 21-E</u> amended by <u>MAR 31-E</u>)
- 6. Any other business.

2nd Meeting Wednesday, 21 July 1948 MAR 71, approved and amended in MAR 142.

Summary of MAR 71. S 2. Adoption of the Agenda.

Item 1. § 3 - 13. Item 2. § 14 - 19. Approval of the working methods.

Item 3. \$ 20 - 23. International Chamber of Shipping. The Delegate of the U.S.S.R., and others, maintained the reservations they had made at the CER. As the Chamber of Shipping included representatives of Fascist Spain, its

Summary of MAR 71 (continued) .-

admission as an Observer was contrary to the decisions of the U.N. and the Atlantic City Conferences. A vote was taken, and the results were the following: 15 delegations for admission, 10 against, 1 abstention, 7 delegations absent or not represented. 38 34 - 50. <u>CIRM</u> - Same objections as for the preceding organization. The Delegate of the United Kingdom, and others, were of the opinion that the CIRM was a non-governmental organization, that it did not include Spanish members and that its technical experience would be very useful. A vote was taken and the results were the same as before. 55 $51 - 53_{\circ}$ Admission of ICAO and UNESCO without discussion. UNESCO's telegram did not make it clear whether it wished to participate in the Maritime Conference. Being in doubt, the Chairman thought it advisable to submit the application to both Conferences. Item 4. 88 54 - 59. Mr. Shchetinin, Chairman of Committee 3, announced that his Committee unanimously recommended that the <u>MAR Conference be an Admin</u>-

istrative Conference. The Committee had not finished with the question of delegates' credentials nor had it fully studied the problem of the nature of the Final Acts and how they should be signed. The Assembly decided that the MAR Conference was an <u>Administrative Conference</u>. The Delegate of the United Kingdom referred to the statement by his Delegation in <u>MAR 46</u> relating to the nature and signature of the Final Acts.

<u>Item 5.</u> §§ 50 - 63. Following the statement by the Delegate of Belgium, supported by the Delegates of Ireland, the United Kingdom and France, the Assembly decided to reply to the Chairman of the Aeronautical Conference in Geneva that it <u>would not set up the proposed unofficial group</u>, mainly because too few qualified aeronautical experts were present in Copenhagen. <u>Item 6.</u> §§ 64 - 72. Estimates regarding the closing date of the Conference. Mr. Kuyper, Chairman of Committee 4, hoped the Broadcasting Conference would examine the question of <u>stations in derogation</u> as soon as possible. Committee 4 had to consider questions of propagation, on which the views of broadcasting experts would be valuable. The Delegate of the Ukrainian SSR asked Committee 2 to reconsider the question of <u>full powers</u> and <u>restricted</u> <u>powers</u> now that the nature of the Conference had been decided.

Agenda for the 3rd Meeting MAR 123

l. Approval of :

- a) the Minutes of the 2nd Meeting of the Plenary Assembly (MAR 71)
- b) the document containing the corrections and modifications adopted at the 2nd Meeting (<u>MAR 72</u>)
- 2. Rules of Procedure (Rule 17, Voting Procedure) (This Rule, adopted at the 4th Meeting of the Broadcasting Conference, appears in <u>RD 198</u>)
- 3. Report of Committee 3 (MAR 122). Nature of the Final Acts.
- 4. Report of combined RD/MAE/Committee on the apportionment of expenditure (RD 244/MAR 117 and RD 249/MAR 118).

5. Report on the progress of Committees 2 and 4.

6. Any other business.

3rd Meeting Tuesday, 24 August 1948

MAR 142, approved and amended in MAR 192

Summary of MAR 142. § 1. Adoption of the Agenda. §§ 2 - 4. The Chairman stated that some delegates were disturbed that the work had progressed so slowly, because the MAR Conference had had to wait for a decision by the Broadcasting Conference on certain subjects. He insisted that a final decision should be taken on the nature of the Final Acts.

<u>Item 1.</u> 88 5 - 11.

<u>Item 2.</u> §§ 12 - 16. Rule 17 of the Rules of Procedure, already adopted by the RD Conference, was approved with some modifications adapting it to the MAR Conference and subject to the same reservations as had made by numerous delegates at the RD Conference.

Item 3. \$\$ 17 - 20. Brief statement by Mr. Shchetinin, Chairman of Committee 3. Divergence of opinion between supporters of administrative and inter-governmental agreements. SS 21 and 22. In support of administrative agreements, the U.S.S.R. Delegate argued: 1) from the legal point of view, that the Conference had been convened as an Administrative Conference, whose nature and terms of reference would be difficult to alter; 2) from a practical standpoint, that there was no reason to modify the character of agreements which had so far been concluded between administrations, and 3) that the difficulties which frequently arose in the operation of the maritime services had to be settled rapidly, and to settle them through Government channels would take much longer, 99 23 - 25, The Delegate of Denmark agreed that invitations had been sent for an Administrative Conference for, before the Conference started, there was no doubt as to its administrative Later, however, it appeared that the Conference would have to character. examine also the case of stations other than those open to public correspondence, and that the agreements to be signed might therefore concern several Administrations in the same country. It therefore seemed logical, if all the Administrations concerned were to be acquainted with the final agreements, that they should be signed by the Governments themselves. Hence the change of attitude, \$ 26. The Delegate of the United Kingdom supported this point of view and referred to the arguments in MAR 46 and 86. \$\$ 27 and 28. The Delegate of the U.S.S.R., supported by the Delegate of Bulgaria, recalled that the Atlantic City Conference had left no doubt that the MAR Conference was to be administrative. In almost every country, there was a single administration responsible for the distribution of frequencies to stations. It could not be supposed that the sontrol of frequencies was centralized in the hands of Governments, The argument in fayour of an inter-governmental agreement was therefore without foundation, 88 29 - 32, The Delegate of the Bielorussian SSR pointed out that the U.K. document MAR 86 itself implied that most maritime radio matters would be dealt with by administrations and not Governments . 55 33 - 60. A lengthy discussion led up to a decision to prepare a single plan for the whole European area, \$\$ 61 - 67. The discussion on the nature of the Final Acts was resumed, the supporters of both solutions maintaining their points of viewa The Soviet Delegation, quoting the Preamble to Rule 17 of the Rules of Procedure, was against a wote being taken, since questions affecting the Plan were not to be voted upon, but approved by at least the great majority. The Chairman observed that the Preamble to Rule 17 concerned the content of the Acts and not the way they were to be signed. \$8 68 - 80. The Chairman recalled that the Assembly had already decided that the Conference was administrative and he wanted to ascertain whether the Meeting shared his opinion that the Plenary Assembly was qualified to take a decision on the nature of the Final Acts.

Summary of MAR 142 (continued).

88 81 - 89. The full powers of delegates were discussed and it was agreed that an official telegram from the government concerned would, at that stage in the Conference, be sufficient to empower a delegate to sign inter-governmental agreements. \$\$ 90 - 96. The Delegate of the U.S.S.R. stated that he could not take part in the vote. Only about half of the delegates raised their hands, and the discussion therefore continued. The Chairman said that should the Assembly not decide otherwise, the agreements would retain The Delegate of Switzerland proposed that, if their administrative nature. the nature of the Acts were changed, the Danish Government should write to the various Governments requesting full powers for their delegates. The Delegate of France pointed out that it was rather late for such a request, and added that, at the meeting of 7 July, Committee 2 had already recommended that delegates should obtain full powers, a suggestion which, at the time, had encountered no objection. In 1933, at the time of the Lucerne Conference, the Swiss Government had taken the initiative and sent invitations for a Plenipotentiary Conference. \$\$\$ 97 - 99. After the Bulgarian Delegate had asked that the nature of the invitations sent by the Danish Government should in no way be altered, the Chairman observed that MAR 83 contained a list of countries whose delegates had full powers, and the list included the PR of Bulgaria, the United Kingdom, the Ukrainian SSR, the U.S.S.R., etc. The Delegate of Bulgaria agreed, but said that he could sign only administrative \$5 100 - 107. A new vote was called for and the Delegate of the acts. U.S.S.R., supported by the Delegate of Albania and Bielorussia, repeated that the vote was illegal, and that his delegation would not take part. The Chairman put the following question: "Has the present Assembly the right to determine, on its own authority, the nature of the signature of the Final Agreements of the Conference ?" The result of the vote was the following: 15 for, 0 against, 1 abstention, 1 absent, 11 delegations did not take part, as they considered that the Assembly had exceeded its powers. SS 108 - 110. The Delegate of the U.S.S.R. protested against the vote, which he considered illegal on the grounds that the Conference had exceeded its powers. The Chairman took note of his opinion, but did not share it. The delegates with similar statements to make were asked to submit them in writing to the Secretariat. (Annexes 1 to 3 to the Minutes: statements of the PR of Bulgaria, the Roumanian PR and the Bielorussian SSR). 58 111 - 113. Another vote was taken on the following question: "Should the Final Agreements of the Conference have an inter-governmental character ?" The result was the same. Consequently, delegates without full powers had to telegraph their Governments for them, 35 114 - 119. The Delegate of Albania explained why he had not taken part in the voting and stated that he was prepared to leave it to the Danish Government, as the Swiss Delegate had suggested, to ask his Government for full powers. The Chairman felt that delegates who wished the Danish Government to approach their governments , should send in a written request to the Chair. The Delegate of Belgium suggested that, to save time, the requests for full powers should be sent unofficially by airmail and officially through the diplomatic channel. The Chairman agreed, subject to the approval of the Assembly. \$\$ 120 - 123. The Bulgarian Delegate protested against the way the Chairman discriminated between delegates; when the vote was about to be taken, he had prevented delegates from taking the floor. As a result, there would be no plan. The Chairman said that this statement would appear in the Minutes, so that those who read it could see that he had been unjustly accused of partiality. 33 124 - 128. The Chairman proposed that new invitations should be issued by the Danish Government to those countries whose delegates handed him a written request for that to be done. Committee 3 had now to prepare a Maritime Radio Convention. The Soviet Delegate protested against the chairman's conclusions. He considered that the vote was illegal and that the Conference had not made any decision since, according to the Preamble to Rule 17 of the Rules of Procedure, the agreement of almost all the delegations was necessary.

34 Plenary Assembly

Summary of MAR 142 (continued).

The Chairman replied that a decision on either of the proposals which had been discussed could have been taken only by a majority vote. The Delegate of the U.S.S.R. answered that, from the legal point of view, everything argued for an administrative conference and administrative acts. The Chairman replied that the majority were not in favour of administrative agreements. **SS** 129 - 133. The items which had not been dealt with were left over until the next meeting.

Agenda for the 4th Meeting MAR 179

- 1. Approval of the Minutes of the 3rd Meeting of the Plenary Assembly (MAR 142)
- 2. Reports of the Joint RD/MAR Committee to study the apportionment of expenditure:

RD 205/MAR 103, 1st Meeting RD 244/MAR 117, 2nd Meeting RD 249/MAR 118, Report to Comm

118, Report to Committee 1 (the adoption of this Report

- had been referred to the Plenary Assembly).
- 3. Exemption of certain organizations from contributing towards the expenses of the Conference:
 - a) I.F.R.B., I.T.U. organization
 - b) I.C.A.O., Specialized Agency.
- 4. Publication of Final Documents (RD 354/MAR 178)
- 5. First Reading of the Convention (MAR 177 Series 1)
- 6. Any other business.

<u>Ath Meeting</u> Thursday, 9 and Friday, 10 September 1948 MAR 192, approved and amended in <u>MAR 222</u>. See MAR 224.

Summary of MAR 192. Thursday, 9 September 1948 (morning). Approval of the Agenda. The Chairman said that he was rather worried about the closing date of the Conference as only the text of the Convention was ready for a first reading that evening.

Item 1. Approval of MAR 142 E and F.

Item 2. RD 205/MAR 103, RD 244/MAR 117 and RD 249/MAR 118 had already been approved by the Broadcasting Conference. The MAR Conference approved the first two and examined RD 249/MAR 118 paragraph by paragraph. It then approved the way in which the expenses of the two conferences were to be shared, i.e. 3/4 and 1/4 for the RD and MAR Conferences respectively. It took note of the fact that the International Chamber of Shipping would contribute to the expenditure in the 8th Class (1 unit) and the C.I.R.M. in the 5th Class (10 units). The Conference agreed to exempt the I.F.R.B. and the I.C.A.O. and, like the Broadcasting Conference, decided that, in the absence of precise instructions from the Administrative Council, the cost of the Linguistic Service would be shared amongst all those taking part. No precedent was to be created thereby. It was agreed that the Table of Contributory Classes would be based on the situation obtaining on 1 September 1948.

Item 3. Already settled.

<u>Item 4</u>. Discussion on the sets of documents which administrations could obtain from the Danish Administration, by whom the stencils would be kept for six months. Discussion on the form to be taken by the Final Acts. Discussion Summary of MAR 192 (continued).

on the <u>closing date</u> of the Conference. Congratulations to the Bulgarian Delegation on their national holiday.

Thursday, <u>9 September 1948</u> (afternoon), Final approval of <u>MAR 142</u> in the three languages.

Item 5. In reply to a question from the Chairman, Mr. Suchetinin, the Chairman of Committee 3, explained that two variants had been submitted to the Assembly in MAR 177 (Series 1, blue leaflets), namely, the Inter-governmental Convention (I) and the Administrative Agreement (II). The reason was that he considered the first reading should cover both. The Chairman stated that, to comply with the decision which had been taken at the previous meeting, he could take only Variant I, The Delegate of the U.S.S.R. thereupon replied that he could not recognize the decision made by the preceding Plenary Assembly which, in his view, was irregular, and he asked that the two variants be considered together. The Chairman said that it would be contrary to the Rules of Procedure to examine a text which did not conform to a decision of the Plenary Assembly, The Delegate of the Ukrainian S.S.R. supported the Soviet Delegate, The Belgian Delegate protested against the way the Chairman of Committee 3 had conducted the work of his Committee, making the debates run counter to the decision of the Plenary Assembly. The Soviet Delegate said that he had tried in vain to submit a single document satisfactory to all delegations, and he reaffirmed that, according to Rule 17 of the Rules of Procedure, questions affecting the Plan and Convention had to be agreed upon by all or nearly all delegations. A vote was then taken on a motion from the Chair, that "the Assembly decided to consider only Variant I." The result of the vote was 15 for and 9 against. 4 delegations were absent. Reading of the Preamble to Variant I of the Convention. The Delegate of the U.S.S.R., supported by the Bulgarian Delegate, proposed the Preamble to Variant II as an amendment. The Chairman refused to consider this proposal, as it was contrary to the vote which had just been taken. The Delegate of Albania asked whether it was legal to vote through a decision on the Convention as a whole when it peeded the approval of almost all the delegates to be adopted. The Chairman replied that it was the entire Convention, in its final form, which could not be put to the vote. He pointed out that he had been present at a meeting of Committee 3 and had had to leave it on account of the way the debates had been conducted. The Chairman of Committee 3 protested against what the Chriman had said; he considered his assertions were of a political nature and directed against the sovereign right of delegates to table and second motions. The Chairman repeated that he could not accept any proposal which was not in accordance with a regular decision of the Plenary Assembly and he announced the adoption of the Preamble to Variant I. Reading of the Article "Execution of the Convention and of the Plan", The Delegate of the U.S.S.R. could not accept the way the discussion was being conducted and felt that the rights of delegates were not being respected; he stated that it had become very difficult for his delegation to take part in the debate. The Chairman took note of his statement, but maintained that he was in no way moved by political considerations; he was acting in strict conformity with the Rules of Procedure. He asked that the Minutes should mention the unjustified accusations which had been made against hime. The same atmosphere continued to pervade the debate and some delegates refused to take part in the discussion of the Articles "Prohibition of the use of Ship Station frequencies by Coast Stations" and "Definitions", which were adopted with some changes.

Friday, 10 September 1948. 19 delegations were present or represented and 9 were absent. At the opening of the Meeting, the Delegate of the U.S.S.R. stated that he would take no part in the discussion. <u>Reading of the Article</u> "<u>Ratification of the Convention</u>". The Assembly adopted Variant IA, which lays down that instruments of ratification are to be deposited with the Danish Government, since the arguments advanced at the Broadcasting Conference were entirely valid for the Maritime Conference. <u>Variant IA was then adopted for</u> the Article "Accession to the Convention". The next two Articles "<u>Revision</u> of the Convention and of the Plan" and "Abrogation of the Convention and of

Summary of MAR 192 (continued)

the Plan" were accepted without discussion. Variant IA was adopted for the Article "Initial Adjustments", which stipulates that, for a period of six months from the date of the entry into force of the Convention, Administrations may, by mutual agreement make modifications of 1 kc/s to the frequencies contained in the Plan for their stations. The other Articles were then adopted, some as amended and only paragraph 1 of the Article "Notification of Frequencies" was held over. The IFRB Observer spoke about the transmission of the Copenhagen Plan to the PFB. The Resolution passed by the Broadcasting Conference to cover this point was read out and the MAR Conference resolved, in principle, to adopt it. Final decision on paragraph 1 was, however, deferred, pending a decision on the date of notification. Item 6. Progress of Committee 4. Arrangements made to publish the Plan for its 1st Reading.

Chair. Suggested programme for 13 and 14 September 1948. RD 382/MAR 206.

5th Meeting of the Plenary Assembly.

IFRB. Letter with reference to the notification of frequency assignments. RD 387/<u>MAR 208</u>. <u>Agenda for the 5th Meeting</u>: approved at the beginning of the

5th Meeting, <u>MAR 221</u>

2nd Reading of the Convention
 2. 1st Reading of Section I of the Preamble to the Plan (MAR 205-Series 2)
 3. 1st Reading of Section II of the Preamble to the Plan (MAR 211-Series 3)
 4. 1st Reading of the Recommendations (MAR 205-Series 2)
 5. 1st Reading of the Plan (MAR 210-Series 4)

MAR 221

5th Meeting Wednesday, 15 September 1948

Summary of MAR 221, SS 1-3. Approval of the Agenda, SS 4 - 7. The Albanian, Soviet, Ukrainian, Bielorussian, Roumanian and Bulgarian Delegations stated that they could not take part in the debates on the 2nd Reading of the Convention, but they would take part in the discussion on the Plan and its Preamble.

Preamble. <u>Item 2.</u> SS 8 - 76. Reading of Section I of the Preamble to the Plan (<u>MAR 205</u>). The Preamble to the Plan was read Article by Article and was adopted, with certain amendments, at its first Reading, Should there be any doubt, the Minutes bring out the feeling of the Assembly at the time, particularly with reference to the questions of power and harmful interference. \$\$ 77 - 84. A vote was taken on para, 2 of Article 5 "Interference caused by stations operating in derogation" and, by 11 votes to 10 with 2 abstentions and 5 delegations absent, it was decided to delete the paragraph, 3 Variants of which had been submitted. The Delegate of the U.S.S.R. observed that a single vote, cast by a country which was not involved, had let to a decision on a problem of interference of considerable importance both in itself and as far as the Plan was concerned, and he thought that the situation and the procedure adopted were most unusual. \$\$ 85 - 87. The Soviet Delegate suggested that the word "unforeseen", applied to interference in paragraph 3, should be deleted; a vote was taken and the result was 12 for and 11 against, 5 delegations were absent. The Delegate of Sweden then pointed out that this paregraph was the result of a misleading interpretation of paragraph 7 of the Document annexed to the additional Protocol of Atlantic City and should be brought into line with it; since the Maritime Conference had no right to lay down regulations for broadcasting stations working in derogation (see No.138

Plenary Assembly

37

Summary of MAR 221 (continued)

\$\$ 98 - 103. Discussion on meetings required. of the Radio Regulations). Item 3. \$5 104-112. First Reading of Section II of the Preamble to the Plan (MAR 211). No objections. Item 4. 88 113 - 131. First Reading of the Recommendations (MAR 205). After a short discussion, the texts were adopted with certain modifications. Item 5. 88 132 - 151. First Reading of the Plan (MAR 210). Comments would be handed in in writing to the Secretariat by midday the following day. Wherever possible, the Drafting Committee would indicate in the Plan the country controlling each station. The United Kingdom Delegation made a provisional statement on the frequencies assigned to German coast stations. A vote was taken on the United Kingdom proposal to adopt the same names for the various countries as at the Broadcasting Conference. There were 14 votes in favour and 11 against. 3 Delegations were absent. Adoption of some corrections to the Plan including a few drafting amendments. 88 152 and 153. The Delegate of France agreed to meet with Mr. Billington and Mr. Shchetinin to do the final work on the preparation of the Plan before the next Meeting.

Agenda of the 6th Meeting (MAR 218)

Approval of the Minutes of the 4th Meeting (MAR 192, distributed in 1. French only) Second Reading of the Convention (MAR 194) and the Preamble to the 2. Plan (MAR 214) Second Reading of the Recommendations (MAR 217) 3.

- Approval of the Plan 4.
- 5. Reservations and statements
- 6. Press Release
- 7. Any other business.

6th Meeting Thursday, 16 September 1948

MAR 222

Summary of MAR 222.

Item 1 on the Agenda. 88 4 - 22. The Soviet Delegation, supported by the Ukrainian, Bielorussian, Bulgarian, Polish, Yugoslav, Hungarian, Albanian, Roumanian and Czechoslovak Delegations made a final appeal for an administrative arrangement, and stated that if the appeal met with no response, they would not be able to accede to the Convention and reserved the right to conclude regional agreements with the interested countries around the Black Sea, the Baltic, and the White and Barents Seas. \$8 23 and 24. In reply to a question from the Delegate of the Netherlands, the Soviet Delegate stated that his country and the countries which had supported him would, in most cases, take the Copenhagen Plan as a basis for the administrative regional agreements which they intended to conclude. The Chairman thanked the Soviet Delegate. 88 25. The Yugoslav Delegate stated that the would accept the Plan but, in his opinion, the possibility of shifting frequencies by 1 kc/s ought not to be accorded also to stations working on 419.5 and 474 kc/s. <u>Item 2.</u> SS 26 - 34. The texts were slightly amended. The IFRB Observer spoke about the date. The Preamble of the Plan was adopted. Items 3 and 4 were deferred (see para. 94). 88 45 and 46. Item 5. 58 47 - 60. Discussion on the United Kingdom statement on German coast stations. Statement by the Soviet Delegation. \$ 61 - 65. The Swiss Delegation stated that, since their interests were no longer at stake in the Convention and the Plan, they would not sign the Final Acts, which they were not, in fact, in a position to implement. The fact that they were not

Summary of MAR 222 (continued).

going to sign, therefore, was not in any way the result of a difference of opinion. §8.66 - 69. A telegram from the Director of the UNESCO to the Chairman of the European Broadcasting Conference was read out. <u>Item 3.</u> § 94. The recommendations were adopted, subject to certain amendments, and the press release (<u>Item 6</u>) was approved. It was agreed that a short meeting should be held the following day for the final Reading of the Plan.

7th Meeting Friday, 17 September 1948

<u>Summary of MAR 225,</u> \$\$ 1 - \$, <u>MAR 219 F</u> and <u>E</u> were approved with some amendments and minor improvements.

Press release

MAR 223

MAR 225

VII

Formal closure of the Maritime Regional Radio Conference

Closing Meeting Friday, 17 September 1948

MAR 226

<u>Summary of MAR 226</u>. Signature of the Acts of the Conference, the Convention and the Plan. Speeches by Mr. N.E. Holmblad, the Chairman of the Conference, Mr. K.J. Jensen, the Director General of Danish Posts and Telegraphs and Mr. J.D.H. van der Toorn, the Head of the Netherlands Delegation. Closure.

COMMITTEE 1 (Executive)

<u>Set up on 28 June 1948</u> lst Meeting of the Plenary Assembly <u>MAR 23</u> <u>Terms of reference</u>: To settle all questions relating to the time-table of the Conferences *) and to coordinate the work of the Committees.

<u>Membership</u>: The Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen of the Conference and the Committees

<u>Chairman: N.E. Holmblad</u> (<u>Chairman of the Conference</u>) <u>Vice-Chairman: G: Pedersen</u>, (Vice-Chairman of the Conference) <u>Rapporteur:</u> H. Voutaz (ITU)

*) The Executive Committees of the two Conferences always held joint meetings.

<u>Meetings 1st 2nd 3rd 4th 5th 6th 7th</u> RD/MAR 39/24 95/41 134/47 154/78 186/96 218/109 250/120 <u>8th 9th 10th</u> 273/129 307/149 348/173

> Agenda for the first Meeting RD 5/MAR 7 Programme of the Meetings for the following week.

1st Meeting Saturday, 3 July 1948 RD 39/MAR 24, amended in RD 95/MAR 41 and adopted in RD186/MAR96

Summary of RD 39/MAR 24. All the members of the Committee were present or represented. Discussion on the time-table of meetings. Committee 5 would not be able to make any progress until it had received further information on the Variants and also data from the Technical Committee, particularly as regards the 9 and 10 kc/s separation, interference and the standard of broadcasting in the various areas. Discussion on the duties of Committees 4 and 5. Agreement, that delegations would be asked to submit their <u>comments</u> on the 2 variants of the Brussels Plan by 12 July and, also, that all committees would receive copies of these comments, which would be of particular interest to Committee 4. Committee 4 would then analyse them and submit a report on the work of the Committee of Eight Countries to the Plenary Assembly.

2nd Meeting Friday, 9 July 1948 RD 95/MAR 41, amended in RD 139/MAR 69 and adopted in RD 186/MAR 96

<u>Summary of RD 95/MAR 41.</u> Preparation of the time-table. Correction to RD 39/MAR 24. Rapporteurs for RD Committees 4 and 5 and MAR Committee 3. Supplementary agenda for the 2nd Meeting of the Plenary Assembly. The Delegate of the U.S.S.R. considered that the case of Germany had already been dealt with at Atlantic City, where directives had been issued to make assignments to cover the minimum technical needs. Consequently, there was no need to refer the question to the Plenary Assembly before Committees 4 and 5 had put forward specific proposals for general discussion. The Chairman of Committee 3 thought that the question had to be submitted to the Plenary Assembly, which would decide who would represent Germany. As the question was not urgent, the matter was deferred.

40 Committee 1

3rd Meeting Friday, 16 July 1948 RD 134/MAR 47, adopted in RD 186/MAR 96

Summary of RD 134/MAR 47. Preparation of the time-table. The publication of reports by a working group before the final report seemed to be precluded by the Rules of Procedure. The Chairman pointed out that a Chairman of a Working Group might see fit to publish an interim report, but that it would be better if the Secretariat did not have such extra work to do.

4th Meeting Friday, 23 July 1948 RD 154/MAR 78, adopted in RD 218/MAR 109

Summary of RD 154/MAR 78. Preparation of the time-table. Memorandum from the Head of the Linguistic Service on the organization of the interpretation service. The Chairman of RD Committee 3 had been asked to bear in mind that the MAR Conference wished to speed up its work and wondered whether the RD Conference could, therefore, give priority to certain questions, such as stations working in derogation in the maritime bands 415-485 and 515-525 kc/s. Proposal to set up a joint RD/MAR Working Group to prepare a combined derogation plan. Proposal that the MAR Conference should set standards which the RD Conference would bear in mind in distributing frequencies in derogation. Establishment of a joint RD/MAR Group under Mr. Shchetinin (USSR). (This is one of the Committee 5 Groups mentioned in this Documentation).

5th Meeting Frivay, 30 July 1948 RD 186/MAR 96, amended in RD 220/MAR 110 and adopted in RD 250/MAR 120

Summary of RD 186/MAR 96. Approval of RD 39/MAR 24, RD 95/MAR 41 (amended by RD 139/MAR 69) and RD 134/MAR 47. Preparation of the time-table. The Chairman of Committee 4 asked for a meeting of the Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen of Committees 3, 4 and 5 to settle what was to appear in the Convention and the Preamble to the Plan. His request was granted. Mr. Shchetinin, the Chairman of the joint RD/MAR Group on stations working in derogation in the maritime bands 415-484 and 515-525 kc/s, announced that his group had collected data on broadcasting stations working in the maritime bands and was going to examine them. The urgent matter of the shared bands 150-160 and 255-285 kc/s had been raised, and he asked whether this question should be studied by his Group or whether a new group should be set up. The Chairman of Committee 5 thought that it would be better to refer this question to Committee 5, which was in a better position to discuss it, and could form a joint Working Group. This suggestion was adopted, A joint RD/MAR Committee was set up to examine how the expenses of the two Copenhagen Conferences and the Committee of Eight Countries were to be split up, Committee progress reports.

6th Meeting Friday, 6 August 1948 RD/MAR 109, approved in RD 307/MAR 149.

<u>Summary of RD 218/MAR 109</u>, Approval of RD 154/MAR 78. Notice of the <u>transfer</u> to <u>Elsinore-Marienlyst</u>, Preparation of the time-table. The Delegate of the U.S.S.R. suggested that requests for meetings should be handed in to the Secretariat before Committee 1 met so that a draft time-table could be prepared ready for the meeting. The Chairman of Committee 3 asked who would approve the report of the Meeting of the Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen of

Summary of RD 218/MAR 109 (continued)

Committees 3, 4 and 5 (RD 188). It was his opinion that this could be done by Committee 1. The Chairman of Committee 3 asked, on behalf of his Committee, about the participation of Observers in Working Groups. There were several possibilities; they might not be admitted at all, they might be admitted as of right at their own request, or they might be admitted only at the invitation of the Chairmen of the Working Groups when they were needed. The Chairman thought that a broad view should be taken and that they should be admitted to meetings. There was nothing to prevent a Working Group from admitting an Observer, who could only speak if he were asked to do so. If necessary, the group could ask him to withdraw. The Chairman of Committee 3 then asked about members of a committee being present in a working group in which they had not asked to take part. The Chairman of Committee 1 considered that a Working Group was few in number in order that the work might proceed more quickly. It was not a good thing to have many delegates taking part, but he did not see anything against other delegates being present, providing they did not take part in the discussions and looked upon themselves The Chairman of a Group always had she right to merely as Observers. restrict the discussion to the actual members. That was the general principle to be followed. The Delegate of Belgium had always advocated that all delegates should be allowed to speak in Working Groups, but he did recognise that the work of the group of 4 or 5 delegates preparing the Plan would be hindered if a hundred delegates appeared at its Meetings. The Chairman of Committee 1 thought it was impossible to consider a system whereby the times of Working Group Meetings were brought to the notice only of the delegates directly concerned. Committee progress reports. Attempts to decide upon a closing date. Definitely not later than 10 September. There was no reason why 25 August should not be made the date for the examination of the Plan and 4 September the date for the signature. The Chairman of RD Committee 5 believed that the work would be speeded up by avoiding doubtful statements submitted as scientific arguments which could quickly be refuted but which all too often led to interminable discussions.

7th Meeting Friday, 13 August 1948 RD 250/MAR 120, approved in RD 307/MAR149

Summary of RD 250/MAR 120. Approval of RD 186/MAR 96 (amended in RD 220/MAR110) No one had given warning of meetings required. Mr. Makarov announced that Mr. Shchetinin, the Chairman of MAR Committee 3, was ill and that he (Mr. Makarov) would take the Chair at the next Meeting of Committee 3. The Chairman and the Delegate of the United Kingdom considered that, in such cases, the normal practice was for the <u>Vice-Chairman to preside</u>; otherwise, there would be no sense in appointing a Vice-Chairman. Mr. Makarov believed that it was customary for the offices of Chairman and Vice-Chairman to be assigned to countries rather than persons and that that was the idea of the His Government had instructed him to replace Mr. Shchetinin, Danish proposal. but he would agree to whatever the Chairman of the Conference decided. The Chairman stated that, if the change were to be permanent, the Soviet Delegation could nominate a new Chairman, but if it were only temporary, he suggested that the Vice-Chairman should preside. Mr. Makarov agreed, but remained convinced that a delegation could replace anyone appointed Chairman. Preparation of the time-table. Mrc Kessenikh, the Chairman of RD Committee 4 asked for Mr. Makarov to assume the permanent Chairmanship of his Committee in his place. This was accepted, Committee progress reports. Comments on the insufficient accuracy of certain translations.

42 Committee 1

8th Meeting Friday, 20 August 1948 RD 273/MAR 129, approved in RD348/MAR 173

Summary of RD 273/MAR 129. Approval of RD 188 (Report of the Meeting of the Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen of Committees 3, 4 and 5). There was no possibility of the MAR Conference completing its plan until certain questions connected with stations working in derogation had been solved by RD Committee The Committee decided that the final Report of the joint RD/MAR Committee 5. on the apportionment of expenditure (RD 249/MAR 118) should be referred to the Plenary Assembly for discussion. The Chairman of RD Committee 3 wanted the Drafting Committee to refer its texts to Committee 3 so that they could be reviewed as a whole before being sent to the Plenary Assembly. The Chairman of MAR Committee 3 stated on behalf of his Committee that it was essential for the nature of the MAR Conference to be examined at the earliest moment in Plenary Assembly. As Mr. Lhermite, the Chairman of MAR Committee 5 (Drafting) had left, Mr. Biansan would take the Chair in this Committee. It was agreed to retain 1 September as the closing date of the MAR Conference. Preparation of the time-table.

9th Meeting Friday. 27 August 1948 RD 307/MAR 149 (See RD 402 (No.9) (8th P.A.)

Summary of RD 307/MAR 149. Preparation of the time-table. The Chairman of RD Committee 3 reported on two questions which his Committee wanted to have reassigned to RD Committee 5. These concerned, firstly, the reference to the Atlantic City provisions to appear in the Preamble to the Plan, if RD Committee 5 so decided, and, secondly, the simple statement of fact, to appear in the Preamble and not in the Convention, that "the Plan includes frequencies for non-signatory countries". There was a third question, that of the effective date of the Plan, which could not be settled by RD Committee 3 alone. The request of RD Committee 3 for a joint group from Committees 5 and 3 was granted. Discussion on the question of the expert. The Soviet Delegate considered that it would be logical to examine the list of technical questions for the expert prepared by a Working Group of Committee 4 together with the question of the expert himself. RD Committee 3 would in fact deal with the question of the expert, without taking any final decision before the technical questions had been clearly defined. The ultimate decision rested with the Plenary Assembly. The Chairman of RD Committee 2 was asked to submit a concise final report. Setting up of a joint RD/MAR Working Group to study protection for coast stations in the bands 415-490 and 510-525 kc/s. As Mr. Gneme had left, the <u>Vice-Chairman of MAR Committee 2, Mr. K.Wolowski</u>, would take the Chair. Statement by the Head of the Linguistic Service about the work of the interpreters, translators and typists. Subsequent changes in the time-table had to be reduced to a minimum, but a certain amount of flexibility was, however, necessary during the last stages of the Conferences. Postponement of scheduled meetings was to be avoided. Time-table. It was decided not to discuss the situation which would arise on 10 September if the work of the conference had not been completed since there was every hope of success. Mr. Makarov mentioned various current rumours which were creating an unfavourable atmosphere for the success of the Conference. Replies by Mr. Metzler and Mr. Corteil. Further discussion on the same point.

Committee 1

10th Meeting Friday, 3 September 1948 RD 348/MAR 173. See RD 402 (No.9) (8th P.A.)

<u>Summary of RD 348/MAR 173</u>. Approval of RD 273/<u>MAR 129</u>. Committee progress reports and preparation of the time-table. Mr. Biansan spoke on behalf of MAR Committee 4 about the <u>Atlantic City provisions which the Maritime</u> <u>Conference wished to include in the Broadcasting Convention</u> and which did not seem to have been included in the texts which were being prepared. Committee 1 could approach the competent RD Committee officially. The Chairman of RD Committee 3, Mr. Meyer, pointed out that his Committee had referred the question of references to Atlantic City texts to RD Committee 5, so that they could include such references in the Preamble to the Plan if they thought fit. The question had to be mised when the Preamble was being read.

GROUPS AND COMMITTEE SET UP BY COMMITTEE 1

Joint RD/MAR Working Group

Derogations in the maritime bands 415-484 and 515-525 kc/s See Working Groups of MAR Committee 4.

Joint RD/MAR Working Group

Protection of coast stations in the bands 415-490 and 510-525 kc/s See Working Groups of MAR Committee 4

Joint CER Working Group 5 A-3 B

Effective date of the Plan See Working Groups of Committee 3

> Joint RD/MAR Committee Apportionment of Expenditure (See XIII)

43

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

PAGE LAISSEE EN BLANC INTENTIONNELLEMENT

COMMITTEE 2 (Credentials)

IX

Set up on 22 June 1948 1st Meeting of the Plenary Assembly MAR 23 Terms of reference: to examine the validity of credentials. Membership: Bielorussian SSR, Denmark, France, Italy, Rep. of Poland, Portugal, Morocco and Tunisia, United Kingdom and Turkey. Chairman: G. Gneme (Italy) Vice-Chairman: K. Wolowski (Rep. of Poland) 2<u>nd</u> lst4 th<u>Meetings</u> <u>3rd</u> 34 83 171. 27 MAR

<u>lst Meeting Monday, 5 July 1948 MAR 27</u>, approved and amended in <u>MAR 34</u>. (see also <u>MAR 30</u>)

<u>Summary of MAR 27</u>. Credentials had been presented by delegations from 12 countries. Other delegations were asked to present theirs as soon as possible. The Delegate of the United Kingdom asked whether the agreements to be concluded by the Conference would be <u>administrative</u> or <u>inter-governmental</u>. This point was the concern of Committee 3, and its opinion would be asked for in writing. The Delegate of the Bielorussian SSR wanted to know how many of the credentials presented had been signed by governments and how many were for an administrative conference. Credentials submitted would be examined at the next meeting. <u>Annex:</u> Letter from the Chairman of Committee 2 to the Chairman of Committee 3.

2nd Meeting Wednesday, 7 July 1948 MAR 34, approved and amended in MAR 83.

Summary of MAR 34. Approval of the Minutes of the 1st Meeting, subject to the addition of the Roumanian P.R. to the list of countries which had presented their credentials. Examination of credentials. The document submitted by the Polish Delegate was not valid. The Delegates of Portugal and the Roumanian P.R. did not have full powers for the Maritime Conference. The full powers of the Delegate of the United Kingdom contained the reservations that they only empowered him to sign if the other delegates were also provided with full powers and that his signature was subject to ratification. The Chairman felt that the Maritime Convention should contain a formula similar to that of Article 49 of the Atlantic City Convention. The credentials presented by the Delegate of the U.S.S.R. did not make it clear whether he was authorized to sign. The Delegate of the Bielorussian S.S.R. considered that the signature of the head of a government, a Minister of Foreign Affairs or even his deputy made full powers a document of unquestionable validity. The Delegate of the Ukrainian S.S.R. had handed in only a letter of introduction. The Chairman asked delegations which had not presented full powers to do as soon as possible, The Committee then classified the various countries according to the type of credentials they had presented, and added a reference to Chapter 3 of the General Regulations above the list of countries whose full powers had been found to be in order. The credentials of 9 delegations were valid, one delegation had limited powers, the credentials of another would be examined later and 18 delegations had submitted only letters of introduction. 4 countries in the European area did not take part in the Maritime Conference.

Agenda for the 3rd Meeting MAR 77

1. Approval of the Minutes of the 2nd Meeting (MAR 34)

2. Verification of credentials received since 7 July 1948

3. Any other business

3rd Meeting Monday, 26 July 1948 MAR 83, approved in MAR 174

Summary of MAR 83 In the absence of the Chairman, who was attending the Meeting of the C.C.I.R. in Stockholm, the Vice-Chairman took the Chair. Item 1 on the Agenda. The Minutes of the 2nd Meeting were approved, subject to certain comments made by the Delegate of the Bielorussian S.S.R. Item 2. The Delegate of the United Kingdom suggested that separate lists of delegations with governmental and administrative powers should be prepared. The Delegate of the Bielorussian S.S.R. felt that, since it had been recognized that the Conference was administrative, delegates with administrative powers were just as well able to sign as those with governmental powerso The checking of credentials received since 7 July showed that Greece, Monaco, Portugal, the Ukrainian S.S.R. and the U.S.S.R. had presented full governmental powers, Denmark and Hungary administrative powers, the Roumanian P.R., Czechoslovakia and Turkey administrative powers to take part in the work of the Conference but not to sign, the Republic of Poland and Morocco and Tunisia governmental powers to take part but not to sign, Syria had submitted a document which was not clear and no credentials at all had been received from the P.R. of Albania, Belgium, Egypt, France, Iceland and Switzerland. The Chairman urged delegations which had not so far presented sufficient credentials to obtain them from their governments without delaya

Secretariate Preparation of signature slips

Agenda for the 4th Meeting

<u>MAR 152</u>

MAR 137

1. Approval of the Minutes of the 3rd Meeting (MAR 83)

2. Verification of credentials received since the 3rd Meeting

3. Text of the final report to be submitted to the Plenary Assembly

4. Any other business

4th Meeting Friday, 3 September 1948 MAR 174, approved in MAR 215.

<u>Summary of MAR 174</u>. The Vice-Chairman presided. The Agenda was approved. <u>Item 1 on the Agenda</u>, Approval of the Minutes of the 3rd Meeting. <u>Item 2</u>. Since the 3rd Meeting, Iceland, the Rep. of Poland, Morocco and Tunisia, Switzerland, Czechoslovakia and Turker had presented credentials. The Belgian and French Governments had announced that they were sending their delegates powers to sign the Convention; Mr. Corteil would sign for Belgium and Mr. Lhermite and Mr. Biansan for France. The Credentials from Iceland, the Rep. of Poland, Switzerland, Czechoslovakia and Turkey were recognized as valid for signatures on behalf of these governments. The credentials from Morocco and Tunisia did not refer to Morocco, and the appropriate credentials would be sent for as soon as possible. The Delegate of the United Kingdom stated that, in the opinion of the United Kingdom Summary of MAR 174 (continued).

Government, it was the signature of France which involved Morocco and Tunisia, The Chairman replied that these two Protectorates had signed the Acts of Atlantic City and it would therefore be Mr. Scheffer who would sign on their behalf. A telegram from the Albanian Government announced that the full powers given to the Albanian Delegation to the Broadcasting Conference were also valid for the Maritime Conference. The credentials of the Danish Delegation had been submitted to the King for signature and would not arrive for some days. No credentials for Egypt had been received. The Committee noted that the Delegates for the Roumanian P.R. and Hungary had administrative powers, The United Kingdom Delegate maintained that they were insufficient, but the Delegate of the Bielorussian S.S.R. was of the opinion that delegates' credentials had to correspond to the nature of the Conference, in this case administrative. Finally, it was decided that the credentials of the Roumanian Delegate did not empower him to sign a diplomatic act.

Item 3. The Committee approved the Chairman's report, to be published as MAR 164.

<u>Item 4</u>. Discussion on signatures. The Delegate of the United Kingdom pointed out that, where several delegates were empowered to sign, there was no need for all their signatures to appear on the Asts. The signature of a single plenipotentiary delegate empowered to sign was sufficient to commit his government. If he so wished, the members of his delegation who had not been given full powers could sign after him, provided that the credentials investing the full powers made no reservations to the contrary. The Committee decided that there should be an annex to the Minutes of the Meeting giving a list of plenipotentiary delegates formally empowered to sign, i.e. those whose signatures were binding upon their governments. The Delegate of the United Kingdom pointed out that, when he came to sign, he might have to make some statement indicating whether or not his signature covered some other territory under British sovereignty in Europe. The Committee asked the Chairman to invite the heads of delegations to inform the Secretariat as soon as possible which of their delegates were empowered to sign. <u>Annex:</u> List of delegates empowered to sign.

Report by the Chairman of Committee 2, dated 4 September <u>MAR 164</u>, approved in MAR 215

5th Meeting Wednesday, 15 September 1948 MAR 215

Summary of MAR 215. MAR 164 and MAR 174 were approved. The Committee noted that Egypt and Hungary were not in a position to sign. Egypt had not presented any credentials at all and those for Hungary would not arrive in The Committee was of the opinion that Hungary would, however, be time. able to accede to the Convention at some later date, since the Convention was not likely to remain open for signature after the closing meeting. The credentials of Belgium and Denmark were found to be in order. Credentials from France, Morocco and Tunisia (for Morocco) and the Roumanian P.R. had been sent by telegram, and the signatures of these countries would be valid. The Albanian Government would have to be asked for written confirmation of the telegram it had sent announcing that the full powers given to its delegate to the Broadcasting Conference were also valid for the MAR Conference. The Committee offered its thanks to Mr. Wolowski, who in turn wished the name of Mr. Gneme to be associated with his own. The Committee approved the Chairman's final report.

Final report, dated 16 September MAR 216.

47

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

PAGE LAISSEE EN BLANC INTENTIONNELLEMENT

COMMITTEE 3 (Organisation)

| Set up on 22 June 1948 1st Meeting of the Plenary Assembly MAR 23 | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Terms of reference: to draft agreements and to deal with questions of | | | | | |
| organisation connected with the work of the Conference. | | | | | |
| Membership: All the countries represented at the MAR Conference except the | | | | | |
| Bielorussian S.S.R., Finland, Hungary and Iceland. | | | | | |
| Chairman: O.P. Shchetinin (USSR) | | | | | |
| Vice-Chairman: R.M. Billington (United Kingdom) | | | | | |
| Rapporteurs: J.M. Biansan (France) and A.F. Golovenshenko | | | | | |
| (Ukrainian SSR) | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Meetings 1st 2nd 3rd 4th 5th 6th 7th 8th 9th 10th 12th | | | | | |
| MAR 87 88 89 90 156 157 158 180 122 181 184 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 12th 13th 14th | | | | | |
| 185 200 202 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Italy. Proposals to study : | | | | | |
| 1. the assignment of frequencies to coast stations in the | | | | | |
| 150-2850 kc/s band; | | | | | |
| 2. the preparation of a new plan for medium frequency radio- | | | | | |
| beacons to replace the Bordeaux Plan. MAR 1, considered in | | | | | |
| MAR 89. | | | | | |
| United Kingdom. Further to MAR 1 MAR 2, considered in | | | | | |
| <u>MAR 89</u> . | | | | | |
| Agenda for the 1st Meeting MAR 28 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

1. Discussion on the geographical areas to be covered by the future

agreements 2. Frequency bands to be considered by the Conference (MAR 1 and MAR 2)

3. Discussion on the nature of the Final Acts of the Conference (MAR 27)

4. Any other business

1st Meeting Monday, 5 July 1948

MAR 87, approved and amended in MAR 122 dated 24 August 1948, See MAR 125

<u>Summary of MAR 87</u>. Discussion on an agenda suggested by the Chairman for the next meeting. Some delegates felt that three of the suggested items were the concern of Committee 4, whose terms of reference could not be changed by Committee 3, which could only submit a recommendation for discussion in Plenary Assembly. The Committee decided to consider <u>MAR 1</u>, <u>MAR 2</u> and the letter from the Chairman of Committee 2 on the nature of the agreement. 2nd Meeting Tuesday, 6 July 1948 MAR 88, approved and amended in MAR 122 dated 24 August 1948. See MAR125

<u>Summary of MAR 88</u>. The Chairman stated that he had had a conversation with the Chairman of the Conference and they had decided that many questions concerning terms of reference could be settled by Committee 3. Any controversial point would be submitted to Committee 1 and, if necessary, settled in Plenary Assembly. One was the form, order and time limit for the submission of frequency requirements. It was the feeling of the Committee that the <u>list of requirements</u> had to be prepared by Committee 4. The Committee considered that it would be better to have the opinion of Committee 4 on the <u>geographical boundaries</u> of the arrangement to be concluded, and that this point should appear on the agenda of the next meeting. It was decided to postpone the examination of the <u>general principles governing frequency</u> <u>assignments</u> until Committee 4 had explained the difficulties latent in problematical cases such as Spain and Germany. The consideration of the three items on the Agenda was postponed until the next meeting.

<u>3rd Meeting Wednesday, 7 July 1948</u> MAR 89, approved and amended in MAR 122 dated 24 August 1948. See MAR125 and 93.

Summary of MAR 89. The agenda was the same as that for the 1st Meeting. Item 1. Geographical areas. The Chairman outlined the problem and drew attention to the Atlantic City decision (No. 107 of the Radio Regulations) to extend the area covered by agreements and to allow new countries to be He suggested that the area be further extended eastwards parties to them. (to about 53° instead of 40°)to include four stations on the White and Barents Seas and four on the Black Sea, East of the 40° meridian. He gave the names of the stations concerned and his proposal was adopted. Item 2. Frequency bands to be considered by the Conference (MAR 1 and MAR 2). In view of the comments by the United Kingdom Delegation in MAR 2, the Italian Delegation did not insist on the band 1605-2850 kc/s being examined, and left the task to Region 1 Conference. The Italian Delegation's opinion on the preparation of a new frequency distribution plan for radiobeacons was that, in this case also, it would be preferable to hold some unofficial discussions to make it easier to prepare the changes to the Bordeaux Agreement. The Committee supported the Chairman's suggestion that the first bands to be studied should be those for which experts were present and information available. If there was sufficient time, an unofficial preliminary study could then be made of the 1605-2850 kc/s band,

Item 3. Nature of the Final Acts (MAR 27). Discussion postponed.

<u>4th Meeting Thursday, 8 July 1948</u> MAR 90, approved and amended in MAR 122 dated 24 August 1948. See MAR 125

<u>Summary of MAR 90.</u> <u>Nature of the Final Acts (MAR 27)</u>. The United Kingdom Delegation recalled that it had been generally agreed to regard <u>the Conference</u> <u>as administrative</u>, but the question arose as to whether the <u>Conference could</u> <u>produce inter-governmental</u> Final Acts. The United Kingdom Delegation believed that it could. The Chairman of Committee 2 felt that the nature of a conference was determined by the type of powers given to the signatories of the Final Acts, and he pointed out that almost all the credentials considered

Summary of MAR 90 (continued)

by Committee 2 were in the form of full powers. The Committee felt that the question should not be discussed until later, as there was some doubt as to the nature of some of the oredentials presented, and some had not even been received.

Agenda for the 5th Meeting MAR 45

1. Nature of the Final Acts (MAR 27 and MAR 46)

2. Any other business

5th Meeting Wednesday, 21 July 1948 MAR 156, approved in MAR 200

Summary of MAR 156. Nature of the Final Acts. The Delegate of Italy felt that it would be preferable for the Conference to be a plenipotentiary conference. The Delegate of the United Kingdom read out MAR 46 giving his point of view. The Delegate of Denmark explained why his government had considered that the Conference had to be administrative, and he thought that, if the plan were signed by plenipotentiary delegates, it would later prove difficult to conclude any agreements modifying it. Denmark did not, however, have any particular preference as to which solution was adopted. The Delegate of France was of the opinion that the Conference itself should be administrative, but saw no objection to the powers being governmental. He suggested that some provision might be made in the Final Acts for changes to be made under simple agreements concluded between the administrations concerned. His suggestion was supported by the Delegates of the United Kingdom and Italy, The Chairman felt that there were three questions to be considered, the nature of the Conference, the nature of the credentials necessary to sign the Final Acts and the nature of the Final Acts themselves. As far as the first point was concerned, he noted that the Committee was unanimously in favour of an administrative conference. The Delegate of France pointed out, with reference to the nature of the credentials necessary, that, since the bands not open for public correspondence had been suppressed, the Conference had to satisfy the requirements of several administrations in each country, and powers could not therefore be given to any one administration. The Chairman thought that an administrative conference was not legally able to conclude an inter-governmental The Delegate of Italy envisaged two phases, an administrative agreement. conference to prepare the agreements and then their signature by plenipotentiary delegates. The discussion continued without any solution being found and was postponed until a later meeting, by which time Committee 2 would have announced how many administrative and governmental credentials had been presented.

6th Meeting Wednesday, 28 July 1948 MAR 157

<u>Summary of MAR 157.</u> Agenda. 1. Nature of the credentials necessary to <u>sign.</u> 2. Nature of the agreements to be concluded. <u>Item 1.</u> The Vice-Chairman of Committee 2 read out the list of delegates' credentials annexed to <u>MAR 83</u>. There was a long discussion leading to the adoption of a provisional recommendation to consider the credentials submitted as valid for participation in the work of the Conference, provided that they had been sent by governments or administrations. <u>Item 2.</u> There was a short discussion but it was decided to continue it at the next meeting, the agenda of which was prepared.



. 51

7th Meeting Tuesday, 3 August 1948 M

MAR 158

Summary of MAR 158. Agenda prepared at the 6th Meeting: nature of the agreement to be concluded. a) Should it be administrative or governmental ? b) Should it extend to the whole of the European area or should arrangements for sub-regions be concluded ? c) How should the agreement be drawn up ? The Chairman announced that the report promised by the Delegate of the United Kingdom (MAR 86) had been published, and contained a proposal for an inter-governmental agreement and a single plan for the whole European area. Definitions of administrative and inter-governmental agreements (ref.<u>MAR 46</u>). Discussion on the Montreux Arrangements which, owing to the war, had not been implemented, but, according to some delegates, had already been applied in practice for two years. The Delegate of the United Kingdom stated that they were satisfactory, but applied only to a part of Europe and only to the public correspondence service. It was intended both to extend the area to which they applied and to include stations in other services, e.g. the official (CO) and private (CV) services. He felt that, to simplify matters, the adoption of a general assignment plan was necessary. The Delegate of the Bielorússian S.S.R. thought that the first thing to do was to make a more satisfactory assessment of the positive and negative aspects of the Montreex Arrangements.

8th Meeting Wednesday, 18 August 1948 MAR 180, amended in MAR 188

Summary of MAR 180. Agenda: 1. Should the final agreement be concluded between administrations or governments? 2. Should a single agreement be concluded, covering the whole European area, or regional arrangements similar to those of Montreux ? The Delegate of the United Kingdom reminded the Committee that he had prepared a first draft of the Convention in MAR 86. He stated that if a government had not given its delegate full powers, it could accede to an inter-governmental agreement later. He added that an administrative conference could perfectly well decide that the acts it was preparing had to be signed on behalf of governments. The Maritime Conference was in the same position as the Lucerne Broadcasting Conference, which had decided that its Acts should be of an inter-governmental character. The Montreux Arrangements concerned only CP stations operated or controlled by a single administration in a single country. The Atlantic City Regulations did not include frequency bands "not open to public correspondence" and CO and CV stations had to be included in the coming plan. Several administrations were now concerned and it was not possible for one to commit the others. The Chairman drew the attention of the Committee to the fact that the debates had shown how necessary it was, from a technical point of view, to have a single plan for the European area. He wondered whether it was absolutely necessary for delegates to sign on behalf of their governments. The Delegate of the Netherlands pointed out that in many countries CP stations came under the PTT administration, CO stations under the official services, and CV stations under the Ministry of Transport. If an agreement were signed on behalf of one administration only, each government would have to ratify it in order that it might cover the other administrations concerned. The Chairman asked whether there was, in fact, any country where CO stations were not subject to the operating regulations laid down by the administrations. The Delegate of the Netherlands considered that, since the navies of the world were no longer able to use bands not open to public correspondence, it was absolutely necessary that the activities of the various services should be coordinated at the highest level. Arguments were also put forward in favour of an administrative agreement, and the Chairman observed that, since the two points of view had more or less the same support, a vote would not solve the problem. He was supported by several of the Delegates when he said that he did not share the opinion of many who thought that it would be better to submit a report to the Plenary Assembly stating that agreement had not been reached, and he suggested that another Meeting should be held to try to come to some understanding.

9th Meeting Friday, 20 August 1948 MAR 122 dated 24 August, replacing MAR 122 dated 23 August as MAR 131 no longer had any point.

Summary of MAR 122, dated 24 August. Approval of the Minutes of the first four Meetings (MAR 87, 88, 89 and 90) with slight amendments, to be found in MAR 125. The Chairman summarized the two conflicting opinions on the nature of the agreement to be concluded. Both sides maintained their points of view and the question was referred to the Plenary Assembly. The Delegate of France drew the attention of the Committee to RD 245/MAR 119, dealing with the implementation of the Copenhagen Acts.

10th Meeting Wednesday, 25 August 1948 MAR 181

Summary of MAR 181. The Chairman stated that, in view of the decisions which had been taken by the Plenary Assembly the day before, the Committee had two questions to settle. It would have to determine whether the final agreements were to constitute separate regional agreements of the same type as the regional Montreux Arrangements or a single document applying to the whole of the European area. It would also have to draw up the text of these final agreements. The Chairman stressed that the agreements, whatever their form, would have to be based upon a general technical plan of frequency allocations. The Delegate of the United Kingdom was supported by other delegates when he pointed out that the Plenary Assembly had decided that a single agreement There was also some support for the Chairman's opinion was to be drawn up. that the only point at issue had been that of the overall nature of the frequency plan. It was agreed that the Chairman of the Conference should be consulted on this issue and that the Committee should start work on a text which might fit both cases. The Chairman drew the attention of the Committee to the basic documents, the Lucerne (1933) and Montreux (1939) Broadcasting Conventions, the Montreux Regional Maritime Arrangements (1939) and the documents submitted by the Delegates of Turkey and the United Kingdom. The Delegate of the United Kingdom recalled that the Chairman of the Conference had asked that the texts prepared by the Broadcasting Conference should be taken as a working basis. The Delegate of the U.S.S.R. suggested that, to save time, a small Working Group should be set up to prepare a draft Convention. The Chairman of the Conference, who had just arrived, said that the Plenary Assembly had decided that the Conference would produce a single plan. The Chairman of the Committee thought that there had been some misinterpretation. He proposed to leave the question in abeyance and to continue the discussion on the text of the final agreement. A Working Group was formed, the Delegates of the Bielorussian S.S.R., France, the Roumanian P.R., the United Kingdom and Italy taking part. The Vice-Chairman of the Drafting Committee agreed to work with the Group, under the Chairmanship of Mr. Pennetta, Italy, The Chairman said that there might be some difficulty in following the United Kingdom Delegate's suggestion to read through MAR 86 and point out the changes to be made. It would be left to the Working Group to take note of them.

11th Meeting Friday, 3 September 1948 MAR 184

Summary of MAR 184. The Delegate of the U.S.S.R. had only just received MAR 150 (Draft Convention) and had not had time to study it. He stated that, since the Soviet Delegation had not agreed to the Administrative Conference being turned into a Plenipotentiary Conference, it reserved the right to reopen discussion on the text of the Convention. The same statement was made by the Delegates of the P.R. of Bulgaria and the Roumanian P.R. AMAR 150 and 155 had only just been distributed and, according to the Rules of Procedure, the Committee could not discuss them. The Delegate of France said that the

54 Committee 3

Summary of MAR 184 (continued)

text was also the same as that of the Broadcasting Convention, and, while suggesting an adjournment, he considered that the text should nevertheless be considered the same day, as time was short. The Chairman of the Working Group offered his own comments and pointed out that the draft had been unanimously approved in the Working Group. The Chairman said that there was one essential change, namely, that frequencies could be shifted 1 kc/s which, in many cases, meant a complete channel. The discussion was postponed until the next Meeting.

12th Meeting Sunday, 5 September 1948 MAR 189

Summary of MAR 185. The Soviet Delegation commented upon MAR 150. They pointed out that the provisions were to be carried out by administrations, the role played by governments was of a purely formal nature and full powers were required only for signature; they therefore advocated the conclusion of an administrative arrangement, consistent with the part of the document dealing with the implementation of the provisions. They had agreed to a single arrangement for the whole European area, but it had to be an admin-istrative arrangement. The form and content of documents had to be in complete harmony. The Chairman of the Working Group reminded the Committee that it could not alter the decision of the Plenary Assembly to produce an inter-governmental agreement. A fairly lengthy discussion ensued. Summing up, the Chairman said there were two proposals, the first that texts should be prepared for both an administrative and an inter-governmental agreement. with the Plenary Assembly deciding in the last resort, and the second, that a text should be drafted for an inter-governmental agreement only. The Chairman of the Conference pointed out that the Plenary Assembly had approved the inter-governmental nature of the agreement, and delegates without full powers had to obtain them from their governments. Discussion continued. Some Delegates considered that, from a procedural point of view, the decision by the Plenary Assembly had been irregular and insisted that the final agreement should be administrative; others considered that the Committee had to examine MAR 150 without further delay, and so comply with the decision of the Plenary Assembly. The meeting was adjourned after the Delegate of France had proposed that the discussion of MAR 150 should be included on the Agenda of the next Meeting

13th Meeting Monday. 6 September 1948 MAR 200

Summary of MAR 200. The Minutes of the 5th Meeting (MAR 156) were approved after a slight change had been made to the English text. The Chairman summarized the situation; some delegates felt that only MAR 150 could be studied, while others were prepared to study it on condition that the definition of the contracting parties was omitted. He wondered whether the two points of view could be reconciled. No one was prepared to compromise. In reply to a question from the Delegate of the P.R. of Bulgaria, Mr.Pennetta stated that the Working Group had held 4 Meetings, at which the Delegates of the United Kingdom, France and Italy had been present. The Delegate of the P.R. of Bulgaria asked whether MAR 150 was a valid document if it had been prepared by only three delegations instead of six. The Chairman asked Mr. Pennetta to begin the reading of MAR 150. He suggested leaving a blank in the title instead of the words "contracting parties". This formula was inacceptable to the Delegate of the United Kingdom, who suggested putting the question to a vote. The Chairman said that, according to the Rules of Procedure, the Final Acts and the Plan could not be voted upon, to which the Delegate of the United Kingdom replied that this applied only to the Final Acts after they had been examined in committee. The reading was continued.

Summary of MAR 200 (continued)

Some delegates asked for <u>two variants of the Preamble</u>. A proposal to make channels reserved for ship stations ± 4 kc/s wide was adopted. The Delegate of the Ukrainian S.S.R. said that if the Article "<u>Initial Arrangements</u>" were accepted, everything Working Group 4 B had done on the protection of adjacent and ordinary channels would be nullified. The Delegate of the United Kingdom insisted on the Article being retained. Changes would have to be made on account of the lack of channels and an inequitable distribution. The Delegate of the Ukrainian S.S.R. was against any extension of the southern boundary of the European area, as defined at Atlantic City.

14th Meeting Tuesday, 7 September 1948 MAR 202

Summary of MAR 202. The Committee discussed the Articles "Ratification", Accession", "Revision", "Abrogation", "Denunciation", "Notification" and "Technical Provisions". During the discussion on the Article "Technical Provisions", the Delegate of the U.S.S.R. explained that allowances had to be made for the fact that several coast stations would be placed in the adjacent bands 150-160 and 255-285 kc/s. The Chairman added a paragraph to regulate the working of broadcasting stations in the maritime bands and vice-versa, since broadcasting stations had to comply with a Plan in which maritime services had priority. This paragraph could thus be included in the Broadcasting Convention also, or rather, in the Preamble to the Plane Mr. Pennetta was asked to raise this point at the Broadcasting Conference. The Committee shen examined the Articles "Conference expenses" and "Entry into force". It then reverted to the Articles "Initial Arrangements" and "Modification of the Plan". The Chairman pointed out that MAR 150 and MAR 155 had been examined, and the only question outstanding was that of the effective date of the Plan. It was his opinion that this question should be left in abeyance until the RD Conference had taken a decision. This proposal was unacceptable to the Delegate of the United Kingdome The Chairman suggested that the Committee should meet once more to approve any outstanding Minutes.

13

Committee 3

Working Group of Committee 3

<u>Working Group</u> Draft Convention

<u>Set up on 25 August 1948</u> 10th Meeting of Committee 3 <u>Terms of reference</u>: To prepare a draft Convention

<u>Membership</u>: Bielorussian S.S.R., France, Italy, Roumanian P.R., United Kingdom.

The Vice-Chairman of the Drafting Committee, A.A. Grigorov (PR of Bulgaria), was invited by Committee 3 to attend the Group. Chairman: <u>A. Pennetta</u> (<u>Italy</u>)

According to a statement by the Chairman of the Working Group at the 13th Meeting of Committee 3 (<u>MAR 200</u>) the delegates of only three countries, the United Kingdom, France and Italy participated in the four Meetings held by the Group. It issued the following two documents:

Draft Convention

MAR 150

MAR 181

Variants for the Articles "Ratification", "Accession" and "Denunciation"

<u>MAR 155</u>

Note of the General Secretariat. The following information was published in the CER Documentation on <u>CER Working Group 3B</u> and <u>CER Joint Working Group 5A-3B</u>, these Groups having published documents common to both Conferences.

Working Group 3B

Technical questions

<u>Set up on 28 July 1948</u> 6th Meeting of Committee 3 <u>RD187</u> (See also <u>Terms of reference</u>: Drafting of the following Articles for <u>RD 206</u>) the new Convention:

| Corresponding Articles of | Lucerne | Montreux |
|-------------------------------|------------|----------|
| "Notification of frequencies" | 7 | 7 (Plan) |
| "Quality of emissions" | 8 | 11 |
| "Harmful interference" | 10, parael | 12 |

<u>Membership</u>: Austria, Belgium, France, Hungary, Italy, Netherlands, Rep. of Poland, Portugal, FPR of Yugoslavia, Roumanian PR, United Kingdom, Switzerland, Czechoslovakia, USSR. In addition, technical delegates from the same countries on RD and MAR Committees 4 attended. Observer: ICAO. <u>Chairman</u>: J. Busak (Czechoslovakia).

Committee 3

Oral Report by the Chairman of Working Group 3BRD 270,
RD 263Agenda and membership of Working Group 3BRD 190ICAO, Proposal to modify Article 12, para,2 (Montreux)RD 209/
MAR 107

Texts : RD 245/MAR 119, emended in RD 253 (See RD 319)

| | | Discussion | | |
|-------------------------------|--|---------------------------|---|--|
| | | <u>in C.3</u> RD Docs. | in P.A. RD Docs. | |
| "Notification of frequencies" | | 206,270,263 | : 360,402 | |
| "Resolution | tt | 270,263,314, 367 | 402 | |
| Annex A: | Statement by IFRB Observer (Entry into force of the Convention and Plan, effec- tive date of the new Inter- national Frequency List, notification of frequencies) | 283 | 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 | |
| Annex B: | Statement by ICAO Observer (Anxiety over a belated entry into force of the Plan) | 283 | \$ \$ \$ | |
| Text : R | D 247 | | * | |
| "General Te | chnical Provisions" | 270,263 | 360,362,370,402 | |

Jcint Working Group 54 - 3B of the Broadcasting Conference

Effective Date of the Convention and the Plan

<u>Set up on 27 August 1948</u> 9th Meeting of Committee 1 RD 307/<u>MAR 149</u> <u>Terms of reference</u>: Date of entry into force of (see RD 314) the Convention and the Plan.

<u>Membership</u> : Belgium, Bielorussian S.S.R., Egypt, France, Italy, Ukrainian S.S.R., United Kingdom, Switzerland, Czechoslovakia, U.S.S.R. <u>Also a representative from MAR Committee 4</u> (See RD 314) Observers: I.F.R.B., I.C.A.O. <u>Chairman</u> : <u>M. Khokhonin</u> (<u>USSR</u>)

After examining RD 299/MAR 143, the Joint Working Group 5A - 3B published its report in RD 315/MAR 153 with an Annex containing a statement by the Observer of the I.F.R.B., considered in RD 339, RD 400 (13th Meeting of C.5).

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

PAGE LAISSEE EN BLANC INTENTIONNELLEMENT

XI

COMMITTEE 4 (Frequency Allocation)

Set up on 22 June 1948 1st Meeting of the Plenary Assembly MAR 23
Terms of reference: Allocation of Frequencies to coast stations.
Membership: All the countries represented at the MAR Conference.
 Observers: United States, IFRB, ICAO, International Chamber
 of Shipping, CIRM.
 Chairman: J. Kuyper (Netherlands)
 Vice-Chairman: O. Moe (Norway)
 Rapporteur: L. Stellmann (France).

Meetings lst 2nd 3rd 4th 5th 6th 7th 8th 9th 10th 11th 146 198 212 MAR 29 35 36 105 169 182 190 209

| <u>lst Meeting</u> | Monday, 5 July 1 | <u>948</u> |
|--------------------|------------------|------------|
| | | |

MAR 29, amended in MAR 38 and approved in MAR 105 (see MAR 106)

<u>Summary of MAR 29</u>. Statement by the Chairman on the work to be done and the procedure to be followed. The Delegate of the U.S.S.R. thought that a <u>technical ourmittee</u> ought to be set up to examine the many problems which had to be solved before the assignments themselves could be studied, and suggested that the Plenary Assembly should reconsider setting up such a committee. He believed that administrations' requirements should be the concern of Committee 1. The Delegate of the United Kingdom preferred a technical sub-committee to a technical committee. The Delegate of the F.P.R. of Yugoslavia suggested that the question be referred back to the Plenary Assembly. The Delegate of France, supported by the Delegate of Belgium, considered that a Working Group would suffice. The Delegate of the P.R. of Bulgaria was of the opinion that Committee 4 could set up a technical body. The question was put to the vote and, by 11 votes to 7, the Committee decided to set up a Working Group 4 A to study technical questions.

2nd Meeting, Tuesday, 6 July 1948 MAR 35, approved in MAR 105

Summary of MAR 35. The vote taken at the last Meeting was discussed, and the Delegate of the U.S.S.R. felt that it was bad to vote upon a question before it had been fully discussed. The Chairman considered that the vote had not been premature and that directives had now to be given to the Working The delegations concerned would have to hand in a list of the Group. technical questions which they wished to be studied, and from the lists, 4 or 5 important questions would be prepared for the Group to consider. The Committee would later study the other questions and give the Group another set. It was agreed that each delegation could submit up to 10 questions and that 5 questions would be selected for the Group. The Committee accepted the suggestion of the Delegate of the U.S.S.R. that the following three should be taken up at once: 1) consideration of normal reception conditions; 2) consideration of interference of all kinds, and 3) consideration of transmitter powers. Denmark, France, Norway, United Kingdom, F.P.R. of Yugoslavia and U.S.S.R. stated that they wished to be represented permanently on Working Group 4 A. U.S.S.R. agreed to preside.

3rd Meeting Wednesday, 7 July 1948 MAR 36, amended in MAR 80 and approved in MAR 105 (see MAR 106)

Summary of MAR 36. The Chairman announced that Working Group 4 A (Technical Questions) had been set up with Mr. Sinitsyn (USSR) in the Chair, Another group had now to be formed to deal with frequency assignments to coast stations. Either a working group or a sub-committee could be formed. The Delegate of the U.S.S.R. said that it would be better to set up a special body to consider <u>administrations'requirements</u>. The Chairman was of the opinion that the body dealing with assignments could, pending the conclusions of the Technical Group, assemble the requirements of administrations, a suggestion which was accepted by the Delegate of the U.S.S.R. The Committee agreed to form Working Group 4 B to assign frequencies to coast stations. The membership of the Group was decided upon and the United Kingdom accepted the Chairmanship. The Committee discussed how best to prepare a questionnaire from which information not so far submitted by administrations could be assembled. Replies were to be received by 20 July. It was agreed that, at the next Meeting, the Committee would study the bands shared by the maritime mobile service and broadcasting stations (415-525 kc/s) so as to clarify the situation of the broadcasting stations working in derogation in this part of the spectrum and to ascertain whether they could be included and how much interference they might cause.

Agenda for the 4th Meeting

MAR 79

- 1. Approval of the Minutes of 1st, 2nd and 3rd Meetings (MAR 29 and 38, MAR 35, MAR 36)
- 2. First Report by Working Group 4 A (Technical Questions) (MAR 91)
- Announcement by Working Group 4 B (Frequency Assignment) 3.
- Any other business 4.

Friday, 30 July 1948 4th Meeting

MAR 105, approved and amended in MAR 146 (See MAR 147)

Summary of MAR 105. It was decided not to discuss the question of broadcasting stations working in derogation as it was being dealt with by a joint RD/MAR Working Group.

Item 1 on the Agenda. The amendments submitted appear in MAR 106. Item 2. MAR 42 was cancelled. The Chairman pointed out that MAR 91 contained two recommendations, one to the C.C.I.R and the other to administrations. The Committee decided to submit them to the Plenary Assembly together with the recommendation passed by the Conference for the Safety of Life at Sea (London, 1948). The Committee decided not to mention precise noise level values in the recommendation. Paragraphs 3 and 9 of MAR 91 were then adopted. With reference to paragraph 10, the Italian Delegation agreed to reduce the power of its 6 coast stations Augusta, Cagliari, Roma, Napoli, Tarento and Venezia from 15 kW to 5 kW. The stations would transmit meteorological bulletins on the same frequency only one and a half hours per day. The conception of 'power' had to be defined before the propagation curves could be used and the question was referred to Working Group 4 A. MAR 91 was adopted and referred to Working Group 4 B to serve as initial directives for its assignment of frequencies. The Committee prepared a second list of questions for study by Working Group 4 A.

Summary of MAR 105 (continued)

<u>Item 3</u>. The Chairman of <u>Working Group 4 B</u> reported on the work of his Group. It had held 8 Meetings, assembled the <u>requirements of edministrations</u> and begun to study the bands 415 - 490 and 510 - 525 kc/s and 150 - 160 and 255 - 285 kc/s. A <u>Plan Group</u> composed of the Delegations of France, the F.P.R. of Yugoslavia, the United Kingdom and the U.S.S.R. had been formed by Working Group 4 B to study the requirements and prepare the Plan. <u>Item 4</u>. There seemed to be no possibility of finishing the MAR Conference by 10 August, the date which had been suggested, as some of the MAR Conference decisions were dependent on those of the CER; the Chairman therefore felt that a request might well be made for the Conference to continue until the end of August.

Agenda for the 5th Meeting

MAR 130

- 1. Approval of the Minutes of the 4th Meeting (MAR 105)
- 2. Report by Working Group 4 A on its work between 2 and 25 August (MAR 138)
- 3. Report by Working Group 4 B on the Plan
- 4. Any other business

5th Meeting Friday, 27 August 1948

| MAR | 146, | amer | nded | in |
|-----|------|------|-------|------|
| | | MAR | 183 | and |
| | | appi | roved | l in |
| | | | 182. | |
| | | | | |

Summary of MAR 146.

<u>Item 1 on the Agenda</u>, The Chairman pointed out that <u>MAR 105 E</u> dated 7 August had been cancelled and replaced by <u>MAR 105 E</u> dated 20 August, which was approved subject to certain amendments published in <u>MAR 147</u>.

Item 2. The text of question 2 (MAR 138) was amended. A discussion began on the control of harmonics by international monitoring centers (Question 3), The Committee decided not to change the text proposed by the Group and was of the opinion that it would be better to appeal to broadcasting organizations to cooperate, and retain the text, the spirit of which was more important than the letter. There was no discussion on questions 4 and 5 and MAR 138 was approved with the corrections appearing in MAR 148. The Chairman of Working Group 4 A announced that he had received a letter from the Belgian Delegation, signed also by the Delegations of France and Sweden, concerning the study of interference caused to mobile services in the bands 1605-3000 kc/s, and he wondered whether this was a question for his Group. The Chairman of the Committee considered that it referred more especially to the bands between 1605-3000 kc/s which were reserved for radiotelephony aboard small ships and which were to be studied at the coming Region 1 Conference. He suggested an unofficial Meeting of Working Group 4 A to collect the necessary information on the problem.

<u>Item 3.</u> The Chairman of Working Group 4 B pointed out that the question of stations working in <u>derogation</u> had not yet been settled by CER Committee 5 and that, therefore, certain assumptions had had to be made in the Plan to be discussed the following week. The difficulties had been greater than at Montreux as a result of more exacting standards and a greater number of channels to be shared in comparatively narrow bands. A map showing the stations appearing in the Plan would be posted up.

<u>Item 4</u>. The Chairman reminded the Committee that Item 9 of <u>MAR 91</u> referred to a <u>20 db protection</u>. As some derogations would probably be maintained, it was suggested that conversations should be held with CER Committee 4 to settle the question and the Delegations of Belgium, France, Italy, Norway, Roumanian P.R., United Kingdom, Sweden and U.S.S.R. expressed their desire to take part. The Delegate of France reminded the Committee of his previous proposal concerning the <u>suppression of future derogations</u>, contained in RD 264/<u>MAR 124</u> and adopted unanimously by the joint RD/MAR Working Group. He proposed a text which was adopted.

Agenda of the 6th Meeting

MAR 154

- 1. Approval of the Minutes of the 5th Meeting (MAR 146)
- 2. Approval of the Report of Working Group 4 A (MAR 145)
- 3. Announcement of an unofficial Meeting of Working Group 4 A to study interference in the telephony band 1605-3000 kc/s (MAR 159)
- 4. Report by the Chairman of the Working Group 4 B on the Plan
- 5. Any other business

6th Meeting Friday, 3 September 1948

MAR 169, amended in MAR 186 and approved in MAR 190

Summary of MAR 169.

Item 1 on the Agenda. Postponed until the next Meeting.

<u>Item 2</u>. The Chairman of Working Group 4 A reported on their study of the class of emission (Al or A2) to be used by coast stations in the medium waveband. There was a short discussion on the recommendation in <u>MAR 145</u>, for which France suggested a new draft. The final text would be decided upon at the next Meeting. The Committee approved <u>MAR 145</u>. <u>Item 3</u>. The Chairman of Working Group 4 A considered that <u>MAR 145</u> forecast

what the situation would be at the <u>fortcoming Region 1 Conference</u>. The Delegate of Norway thought that it might form the basis for a recommendation. The Chairman was of the opinion that this unofficial report should be publicised as much as possible. The Delegates of the Netherlands and Sweden maintained that the band could be extended later from 1605 to 3515 kc/s. The Delegate of the United Kingdom suggested that the proposal contained in Section II of <u>MAR 159</u> should be published as a RD document with a suitable introduction which the Chairman agreed to prepare.

Item 4. The Chairman of Working Group 4 B pointed out that the guestion of stations working in derogation could not be settled, because the RD Conference had not yet produced its final conclusions. The problem of Kiel Radio was also in abeyance. The Chairman suggested that representatives of Committee 4 should see the Chairman of the Broadcasting Conference and obtain his opinion and support on the question of derogations as the work of the MAR Conference was at a standstill for lack of information. The representatives would be Mr. Shchetinin, Mr. Billington and the Chairman of Committee 4, Mr. Kuyper. Item 5. The Chairman drew the attention of the Committee to the Joint RD/MAR Working Group which had discussed the protection standards advocated by MAR Committee 4 in MAR 91. The report of this Joint Group in RD 342/MAR 168 would be examined by Committee 1 which had created it. 25 microvolts and 20 db had been suggested as values for the field intensity and the protection ratio respectively. Only the Delegate of Sweden had been unable to express his opinion for or against them. The Delegate of France reminded the Committee that the Chairman of RD Committee 3 had requested the Conference to submit an official recommendation if it wished any Atlantic City provisions to be included in the Broadcasting Convention. The Chairman also thought that the Maritime Mobile Services had the right to demand the legal protection provided in paragraphs 7 and 8 of the document annexed to the additional Protocol. A written request would be sent to RD Committee 3.

Letter to the Chairman of RD Sub-Committee 5 A from the Chairman of MAR Committee 4 - RD 304/MAR 163, considered in MAR 182.

Draft Recommendations 1 - 6 by Committee 4 for submission to Committee 5 - MAR 166, considered and amended in MAR 182.

Agenda for the 7th Meeting

MAR 171

Approval of the Minutes of the 5th Meeting (MAR 146) L÷. Approval of the Minutes of the 6th Meeting (MAR 169) 2: Report of Working Group 4 B on the Plan 3. (MAR 172)

Study of the Preamble to the Plan 4.

5. Any other business

7th Meeting Tuesday, 7 September 1948

MAR 182, amended in MAR 199 Annex: Letter re derogations.

Summary of MAR 182.

Item 1 on the Agenda. MAR 146 approved.

Item 2. MAR 169 would be considered the following day. A decision had been taken on derogations at the Meeting covered by these Minutes, and the Chairman read out the letter he had sent to the Chairman of the Broadcasting Conference, He pointed out that the request in RD 304/MAR 163 had been followed up by RD Sub-Committee 5 A, which had decided to insert a reference to paragraphs 6, 7 and 8 of the Atlantic City directives to the CER.

Item 3. The Chairman of Working Group 4 B summarized the situation; RD Committee 5 had decided to leave Hamar. Ostersund and Oulu in derogation, and the Group had followed the suggestion of the Delegate of the U.S.S.R. to interchange Östersund and Innsbruck. A reply from RD Committee 5 was awaited. Item 4. The Draft Preamble to the Plan (MAR 172) was discussed and amended. Item 5. The Delegate of the U.S.S.R. asked for an official letter to be sent to the Chairman of the two Conferences concerning the station Helsinki, working with 100 kW on 529 kc/s and appearing in the 2nd RD Variant (RD 347). He had already suggested that the first broadcasting channel should be 530 kc/s so that the sidebands would not overlap into the maritime band,

8th Meeting Wednesday, 8 September 1948 MAR 190, approved in MAR 212 with 2 annexes :

1. Text of the Article "Interference" for the Preamble to the Plan

2. Letter concerning Helsinki on 529 kc/s.

Summary of MAR 190. The Minutes of the 6th Meeting (MAR 169) were approved, subject to the corrections appearing in MAR 186. Consideration of MAR 166. The recommendations were adopted, subject to certain amendments, Letter concerning Helsinki on 529 kc/s. There was a danger that the automatic alarm devices in the Baltic, with their large pass-bands, would be adversely affected by the powerful sidebands of the Helsinki station. The Delegate of the U.S.S.R. asked for the frequency to be changed from 529 to 530 kc/s. The same applied to the other stations on 529 kc/s. The Delegate of France confirmed that automatic alarm devices had been set off by broadcasting stations. After a fairly long discussion, it was decided that the Chairman would submit the Soviet request. After the recess, the Chairman said that he had seen the Chairman of the two

Conferences, who had promised to submit the request to the Chairman of RD Committee 5, Consideration of the rest of the Preamble to the Plan (MAR 172). It was agreed that the Chairman would make a list of the various amendments. for submission to MAR Committee 5. It was agreed that Recommendation 1 in MAR 166. then appearing in the Preamble to the Plan, had no further point as a MAR Conference Recommendation. The General Secretariat of the Union would be asked whether it would be possible for it to form the basis of a circular drawing the attention of administrations. The recommendation on the minimum depth of modulation and the level of non-linear distortion for coast station transmitters, numbered 6 in MAR 91, had no direct reference to the preparation

Summary of MAR 190 (continued)

of the Plan, and was therefore out of place in the Preamble. It had to be regarded as an additional recommendation to those in MAR 166. The Chairman of the small Working Group on <u>derogations</u> observed that, as no directives were available, the point had not been dealt with in Section I of MAR 172 (Preamble). He pointed out that the text of Article 6, paragraph 3 c) in RD 349 (the RD Preamble) would, if included in the MAR Preamble, provide a happy conformity with the provisions of the Broadcasting Conference. As additions to the text were called for, it was agreed that the Delegate of the United Kingdom would prepare a written text (see below). It was considered that there was no need to specify that the frequencies in the Plan were to be used only for redictelegraphy, since this would merely repeat what had been laid down at Atlantic City. Decisions were made regarding the form of the Plan (Section II of MAR 172). The text of the Article on Derogations, prepared by the Delegate of the United Kingdom for inclusion in the Preamble to the Plan, gave rise to a long discussion started by the Delegate of Sweden with reference to Nos, 116 and 138 of the Radio Regulations, paragraphs 7 and 8 of the Directives and the 20 db protection ratio advocated in MAR 91 and accepted by the RD Conference, with the Delegate of Sweden alone abstaining. The Delegate of the U.S.S.R. suggested a text containing a reference to the protection ratio (Annex to MAR 190). On a show of hands, there were 9 votes for and 7 against the United Kingdom proposal, and 4 for and 12 against the Soviet proposal, The Chairman proposed that the original text, plus the two Variants, should be submitted to the Plenary Assembly. RD Committee 5 had replied that it was impossible to interchange Ostersund and Innsbruck (see MAR 182). The Delegate of Finland stated he had been able to move Helsinki from channel 1 to channel 4, which the Committee thought was satisfactory.

Draft Recommendation to Committee 5 on the minimum depth of modulation and the level of non-linear distortion of coast station transmitters <u>MAR 196</u>

9th Meeting Friday, 10 September 1948

MAR 198, approved in MAR 212 for the French and English texts.

<u>Summary of MAR 198</u>. The Chairman circulated a letter to the members of Committee 4, concerning <u>paragraph 4 of Article 2 of the Preamble to the Plan</u>. After some discussion, it was decided to adopt the following text: "In preparing the plan a frequency tolerance of 0.1% for coast station transmitters and 0.3% for ship station transmitters has been adopted."

The Minutes of the 7th Meeting (MAR 182) were adopted subject to the amendments appearing in MAR 199.

The Chairman of Working Group 4 B commented upon <u>MAR 191</u> (3rd Variant of the Plan) and pointed out that no decision on the Östersund station working in derogation had yet been taken by the RD Plan Group. The various comments on the Plan would be studied by the Plan Group.

10th Meeting Friday, 10 September 1948

<u>Summary of MAR 209</u>. As Mr. Billington was not in a position to make his final report on the Plan, the Committee postponed this item until the next Meeting. The Chairman, with the assistance of Mr. Billington, prepared the text covering <u>radiotelephony</u>. The Delegate of France pointed out that the <u>Conference could not make any recommendation on this point to the C.C.I.R.</u>, and the Committee adopted the wording he suggested, namely "The Conference of Copenhagen requests the Administrative Council of the I.T.U. to consider submitting to the C.C.I.R. the recommendation" <u>The recommendations</u> on classes of emission and harmonics would be addressed to administrations.

11th Meeting Monday, 13 September 1948

MAR 212

MAR 209

Summary of MAR 212. Mr. Olaf Moe (Norway), the Vice-Chairman, took the Chair in the absence of the Chairman, Mr. Kuyper, who was ill. Colonel Parker was appointed Rapporteur.

The Minutes of the 8th Meeting $(\underline{MAR 190})$ were approved, as were the French and English texts of the Minutes of the 9th Meeting $(\underline{MAR 198})_{\circ}$

Reports of the Plan Group of Working Group 4 B (MAR 191 and 207). Mr. Billington stated that, since MAR 191 was first examined, the Plan Group had tried to take account of the requests made at the last Meeting. The RD Conference had decided that <u>Ostersund</u> would remain in derogation and had recommended certain changes which had been incorporated in <u>MAR 191</u> and <u>207</u>. Several Delegates <u>commented upon the Plan</u>.

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

PAGE LAISSEE EN BLANC INTENTIONNELLEMENT

WORKING GROUPS OF COMMITTEE 4

<u>Working Group 4 A</u> (Technical Questions)

Set up on 5 July 1948 1st Meeting of Committee 4 MAR 29% see also MAR 35 Terms of reference: The study of technical problems submitted to it by Committee 4, 1st series of questions, submitted by the 2nd Meeting of Committee 4 MAR 35 (6 July) 1. Consideration of normal reception conditions 2. Consideration of interference of all kinds Consideration of coast stations' transmitter powers 3. 2nd series of questions, submitted by the 4th Meeting of Committee 4 (30 July)MAR 105, see MAR 138 Study of the efficiency of coast station antennae 1. 2. Power gradation of coast station transmitters 3. Interference caused to coast stations by the harmonics of broadcasting stations Type of emission to be used by coast stations 4. 5. Interference caused by ships' radar. The following countries were permanently represented on the Membership : Working Group: Denmark, France, Norway, F.P.R. of Yugoslavia, United Kingdom, U.S.S.R. The following countries were occasionally represented on the Group: Bielorussian S.S.R., Italy, Netherlands, Portugal, Roumanian P.R., Ukrainian S.S.R., Sweden, Turkey. Chairman: M.T. Sinitsrn (USSR) Rapporteur: Mrs. G. Dunaeva (USSR). 1st Meeting MAR 42, cancelled by MAR 104 Thursday, 8 July 1948 (decision of the 4th Meeting of Committee 4 (MAR 105) MAR 91, considered in MAR105 Progress report, 8 - 27 July and MAR 190, amended in MAR 106 Agendas for the Meetings of 2 and 5 August MAR 94 2 August : Radiation of coast station antennae 5 August : Determination of the different values of coast station transmitter powers.

Agendas for the Meetings of 11 and 12 August MAR 108

<u>11 August</u>: Power gradation of coast station transmitters, Interference caused to coast stations by the harmonics of broadcasting stations,

<u>12 August</u>: Class of emission (Al or A2) to be used by coast stations in the medium waveband_o Committee 4

Denmark, Report on demonstrations at Lyngby Radio on 23 July MAR 121 Denmark. Annex to MAR 121. 4 diagrams MAR 151

| Report on spec | ial Meeting | of 12 August | MAR 145, considered and approved in MAR 169 |
|----------------|-------------|----------------|--|
| 2.° | | • | |
| Annex: | Results of | Al and A2 wave | tests MAR 145-R, corrected in |
| | | | <u>MAR 167-R</u> |

MAR 116 Agenda for the Meeting of 18 August

Interference caused by ships redar

Progress report, 2-25 July

MAR 138, considered and amended in MAR 146 and corrected in MAR 148

Unofficial Meeting (arranged at the 5th Meeting of Committee 4 MAR 146)

Report on the unofficial Meeting of 1 September MAR 159, considered in MAR169

MAR 159-R, corrected by MAR 167-R

(Harmful interference to the radiotelephony service of small ships caused by the harmonics of broadcasting stations)

This question, which was not on the Agenda of the MAR Conference, was considered at the request of the Delegations of Belgium, France, and Sweden in preparation for the Region 1 Conference.

Working Group 4 B

(Frequency Allocation)

3rd Meeting of Committee 4 Set up on 7 July 1948 <u>MAR 36</u> Allocation of frequencies to coast stations. Assembly Terms of reference: of administrations' requirements pending the conclusions of Working Group 4 A. Membership : The following countries were permanently represented on the Working Group: Denmark, France, Italy, Netherlands, F.P.R. of Yugoslavia, United Kingdom, Ukrainian S.S.R., U.S.S.R. The following countries were occasionally represented on the

Group: Belgium, Bielorussian S.S.R., P.R. of Bulgaria, Finland, Norway, Rep. of Poland, Portugal, French Protectorates of Morocco and Tunisia, Roumanian P.R., Sweden, Switzerland, Czechoslovakia, Turkey. Chairman: R.M. Billington (United Kingdom)

Rapporteurs: C. van Geel (Netherlands), A.F. Golovenshenko (Ukrainian S.S.R.).

| | | Committee 4 | 69 |
|--|---|--|-----------------------|
| <u>Denmark</u> . Area and frequency rea on information from the | | sub-division based | <u>MAR 10</u> |
| United Kingdom: Area and frequen | ncy sub-di | vision | MAR 15 |
| Frequency Requirement Form | · · · · · · | | MAR 39, amended by |
| Frequency requirements : | | | <u>MAR 40</u> |
| Albania (PR of) Belgium Bulgaria (PR of) Denmark Egypt Finland France French Protestorates of Morocco and Tunisia Germany Greece Iceland Iteland Italy Lebanon Netherlands Norway Poland (Rep. of) Portugal Roumanian PR Sweden Trieste (Anglo-American zone) Turkey United Kingdom | MAR 43 MAR 52, MAR 59 MAR 49 MAR 55 MAR 55 MAR 56 MAR 58, MAR 58, MAR 60 MAR 61 MAR 62, MAR 62, MAR 64 MAR 65 | replaced by <u>MAR 74</u> same as RD 248 replaced by <u>MAR 70</u> , plus RD 232/ <u>MAR 112</u> replaced by <u>MAR 102</u> replaced by <u>MAR 102</u> replaced by <u>MAR 97</u> | <u>MAR 73</u> |
| Stations whose interests are represented by the United Kingdom U.S.S.G.R. (RSFSR) Ukrainian S.S.R. Latvian S.S.R. Rsthonian S.S.R. Lithuanian (SSR) Yugoslavia (FPR of) | MAR 54, MAR 68, MAR 68, MAR 68, MAR 68, MAR 68, MAR 67 | corrected by MAR 84 replaced by MAR 132 replaced by MAR 133 replaced by MAR 134 replaced by MAR 134 replaced by MAR 135 replaced by MAR 135 | |
| Report dated 3 August 1948 | | <u>MAR 98</u> | |
| Minutes of the Meeting of 30 Augus | st 1948 | <u>MAR 197</u> | 2 |
| (Discussion on the Report of the H and the draft Plan, <u>MAR 140</u> and | | | |

. . : .

MAR 197 has 9 annexes containing observations by the delegations of the following countries :

1. PR of Altania, 2. Belgium, 3. Denmark, 4. France, 5. Greece 6. Norway, 7. Rep. of Poland, 8. Portugal, 9. Turkey.

Committee 4

Working Groups of Working Group 4 B

Plan Group

| Set up on 27 July 1948 by the Working Group <u>Terms of reference</u> : To examine the frequency delegations and to prepare <u>Membership</u> : France, F _c P _o R, of Yugoslavia, <u>Chairman</u> : <u>R.M. Billington</u> (<u>U</u> | y requirements submitted by are a draft Plan. United Kingdom, U.S.S.R. |
|---|--|
| Report of 28 August 1948MAR 140,Annex:1st Variant of Plan | considered in <u>MAR 197</u> and amended by <u>MAR 141</u> and <u>MAR 197</u> . |
| Report of 3 September 1948 Annex: 2nd Variant of Plan MAR 162, | considered at the Meeting of 6 September, See <u>MAR 191</u> below <u>MAR 162-E</u> , corrected by <u>MAR165-E</u> |
| Agenda for the Meeting of 6 September 1948 1. Consideration of the 2nd Variant of the Plan (MAR 162) 2. Approval of the report by the Workin Group on the Preamble to the Plan. | |
| Report of 9 September 1948 Annex: <u>3rd Variant of Plan</u> | MAR 191, considered and amended in MAR 198 and MAR 212 |
| Amendments to the Plan annexed to <u>MAR 191</u> recommended by the Plan Group | MAR 207, considered and amended in MAR 212. |
| Copenhagen Flan (for 1st Reading) Copenhagen Plan (for 2nd Reading) | MAR 210, considered in MAR 221 MAR 210, considered in MAR 225. |

Group on the Preamble to the Plan

<u>Set up by Working Group 4 B</u> <u>Terms of reference</u>: To draw up the text of the Preamble to the Plan. <u>Membership</u>: No indication of the membership of this Group is to be found. <u>Chairman</u>: <u>Delegate of the Ukrainian S.S.R.</u> (see <u>MAR 182</u>)

Draft Preamble to the Plan

MAR 172, considered in MAR 182 190 and 198, and amended in MAR 139 MAR 195 follows upon MAR 189.

Joint Working Group RD/MAR

Derogations in the maritime bands 415-485 and 515-525 kc/s

Set up on 23 July 1948 4th Meeting of Committee 1 RD 154/MAR 78 <u>Terms of reference</u>: Derogations in the maritime bands 415-483 and 515-525kc/s. <u>Membership</u>: Denmark, France, Rep. of Poland, Roumanian P.R., United Kingdom, U.S.S.R. Other countries were also represented. <u>Chairman</u>: O.P. Shechetinin (USSR)

Question from RD Committee 5RD 165/MAR 85, considered in RD 252CIRM - StatementRD 170/MAR 92Austria: Innsbruck, Dornbirn on 519 kc/s RD 180/MAR 95Switzerland: Geneva on 442 kc/sRD 224/MAR 111, amended by RD 234/MAR 113

RD 264/<u>MAR 124</u>, considered in <u>MAR146</u> RD 272, RD 328

Report

Joint Meeting of Groups 2 and 3 of RD Committee 5

Frequency 272 kc/s. Derogations in the aeronautical bands 325-365 and 395-405 kc/s.

<u>Set up on 12 August 1948</u> 5th Meeting of Committee 5 <u>RD 258</u> <u>Terms of reference</u>: Allocation of frequency 272 kc/s. Derogations in the aeronautical bands 325-365 and 395-405 kc/s.

<u>Membership</u>: Countries represented on Groups 2 and 3. Other countries were also present.

Chairman: J.D.H. van der Toorn (Netherlands)

Report on the frequency 272 kc/s RD 267/MAR 126, considered in RD328 (replaces RD 260)

ICAO. Proposal to modify Art. 12, Para. 2 (Montreux) RD 209/MAR 107

Report on the 325-365 and 395-405 kc/s bands RD 269/MAR 128, considered in RD328 and RD 329 and amended in RD 328.

Draft Resolution (abolition of derogations in the aeronautical bands) RD 334/MAR 160, considered and amended in RD 407. See RD 390, Committee 4

72

Joint RD/MAR Working Group

Protection of coast stations in the bands 415-490 and 510-525 kc/s

Set up on 27 August 1948 9th Meeting of Committee 1 RD 307/MAR 149. Terms of reference: Study of the protection of coast stations against harmful interference by broadcasting stations in derogation in the maritime bands 415-490 and 510-525 kc/s. Membership : No indication of the membership of this Group is to be found, but about 10 countries took part. Chairman: M.T. Sinitsyn (USSR)

Minutes of the 1st Meeting (1 September 1948) RD 342/<u>MAR 168</u>, considered in RD 359 and MAR 169.

COMMITTEE 5 (Drafting)

Set up on 22 June 1948 - 1st Meeting of Plenary Assembly MAR 23Terms of reference:Perfecting the form, without altering the sense, of the texts
worded by the various committees, to be submitted for first
Breading to the Plenary Assembly, and combining them with
those parts of former texts which have not been altered. Final
numbering of chapters, articles and paragraphs for second
Reading. (Rule 22 of the Rules of Procedure).Membership:Belgium, P.R. of bulgaria, Finland, Iceland, Monaço, Rep. of
Poland, Morocco and Tunisia, F.P.R. of Yugoslavia, Roumanian
P.R., United Kingdom, Turkey, U.S.S.R.. Observer: I.F.R.B..
Chairman: M. Lhermite (France), later J.M.Biansan (France)
(See RD273/MAR 129).Vice-Chairman:A.A.Grigorov (P.R.of Bulgaria)
Rapporteur: V. Meyer, I.T.U..

 Meetings
 1st
 2nd
 3rd
 4th
 5th

 MAR
 176
 193
 204
 213
 220

1st Meeting Tuesday, 7 September 1948.

Summary of MAR 176.- The Committee revised the texts in MAR 150 and 155. Annex: MAR 177 containing the revised texts.

Series 1 for the 1st Reading of the Convention (blue leaflets)

MAR 177, considered in MAR 192.

2nd Meeting Friday. 10 September 1948

Summary of MAR 193.- The Chairman expressed his regret that there were no Russianspeaking delegates in the Meeting. The Committee revised the text of the Convention in French and English, incorporating the modifications passed by the Plenary Assembly at its 4th Meeting (<u>MAR 192</u>) at the 1st Reading of Series 1 (<u>MAR 177</u>). <u>Annex: MAR 194</u> containing the revised texts.

<u>Series A</u> for the 2nd Reading of the Convention (Pink leaflets)

MAR 194, considered in MAR 222

3rd Meeting Saturday, 11 September 1948.

<u>Summary of MAR 204.-</u> The Committee revised the texts of the Preamble to the Plan (<u>MAR 189 and MAR 195</u>) and the Recommendations (<u>MAR 166 and MAR 196.)Annex</u>: <u>MAR 205</u> containing the revised texts.

<u>Series 2</u> for the 1st Reading of Section I of the Preamble to the Plan and the Recommendations. (blue leaflets) <u>MAR 205</u>, considered in

MAR 221.

<u>Series 3</u> for the 2nd Reading of Section II of the Preamble to the Plan (blue leaflets) <u>MAR 211</u>, considered in

MAR 221.

<u>172</u>

MAR 193

MAR 204

MAR 176

<u>Ath Meeting</u> <u>Wednesday, 15 September 1948</u>.

MAR 213

<u>Summary of MAR 213.</u> The Committee revised Section 1 of the Preamble to the Plan (<u>MAR 189</u>) and Section 11 of the Preamble to the Plan (<u>MAR 211</u>), taking into account the modifications passed by the 5th Meeting of the Plonary Assembly (<u>MAR 221</u>). <u>Annex</u>: <u>MAR 214</u> containing the revised texts.

<u>Series B</u> for the 2nd Reading of the Preamble to the Plan (pink leaflets) <u>MAR 214</u>, considered in MAR 222.

5th Meeting Thursday. 16 September 1948

MAR 220

<u>Summary of MAR 220</u>.- The Committee revised the text of Recommendations (<u>MAR 205</u>) taking into account the modifications passed by the 5th Meeting of the Plenary Assembly (<u>MAR 221</u>). <u>Annex</u>: <u>MAR 217</u> containing the revised texts.

<u>Series C</u> for the 2nd Reading of the Recommondations (pink leaflets). <u>MAR 217</u>, considered in

<u>MAR 222</u>.

JOINT RD/MAR COMMITTEE (Apportionment of Expenditure)

Note of the General Secretariat of the Union.

The following information has already been published in the RD Documentation, and we have simply added references to the MAR documents in which the Minutes or amendments were approved.

Set up on 30 July 1948 5th Meeting of Committee 1 - RD 186/MAR 96 Terms of reference: To consider the problem of apportionment of expenditure

resulting from the Broadcasting and Maritime Conferences and the Committee of Eight Countries.

Membership:

1st Meeting

And the Committee of Light Countries. P.R. of Albania, Belgium, Denmark, Egypt, France, Rep. of Poland, Roumanian P.R., United Kingdom, Switzerland, U.S.S.R.. <u>Chairman: E. Metzler (Switzerland)</u> <u>Rapporteurs</u>: H. Voutaz (I.T.U.), later A.Wolf (Switzerland)

RD 174

Denmark: Memorandum concerning the cost of the two Copenhagen Conferences and the Committee of Eight Countries RD 157/MAR 81, considered in RD 205/MAR 103 Denmark: Proposed text for the Article

"Expenses of Conferences"

Thursday. 5 August 1948

RD 205/MAR 103, approved in

RD 360 (5th P.A. of the RD Conference) and <u>MAR</u> <u>192</u> (4th P.A. of the MAR Conference)

considered in RD 205/MAR 103.

Summary of RD 205/MAR 103 .- The Joint Committee received its terms of reference from the Executive Committee, and the outcome of the discussions would, therefore, have to be reported to it. Committee 1 would decide to whom the report had to be submitted. Discussion on the publication of decisions: to be included in a final report as an opinion or recommendation. Discussion portponed. Item A of RD 157/ MAR 81: Accounts of the Committee of Eight countries. Statement by Mr. Corteil. The accounts would be audited by the inviting Government of Belgium and the Swiss Government on behalf of the Bureau of the Union, which would repay the expenses of the Brussels Conference. The Committee discussed several points; whether to combine the costs of the Brussels and Copenhagen Conferences; the reasons for dealing with them separately, because Observers were present only at Copenhagen, and the obligations of one country which was not represented at Copenhagen and of another which was represented, but not a Member when the Atlantic City Acts were signed. The Committee agreed that the expenses should be pooled, and that Mr. Gneme should ask the Administrative Council whether the Belgian Government could soon be repaid. Agenda for the next Meeting.

Also: Expenses of the Committee of Eight countries RD 302/MAR 144.

Agenda for the 2nd Meeting (prepared at the end of the 1st Meeting)

- 1. Apportionment of expenses between the participants in the two Copenhagen Conferences.
- 2. Apportionment of expenses between the two Conferences and final consideration of RD 157/MAR 81.

2nd Meeting Tuesday, 10 August 1948

RD 244/MAR 117;*amended in RD 360 (5th P.A. of the RD Conference)

Summary of RD 244/MAR 117. Item 1 on the Agenda. Statement by the Chairman on the application of the term "participants" and the provisions of paragraph 11 of the Directives applying to financial matters. The Chairman of Working Group 3 C, dealing with the same questions for future Conferences, explained the recommendations to be submitted by his Group. They covered the expenditure to be borne by participating Governments and agencies admitted to the Conferences, apportionmont of expenditure and payment in accordance with Article 14 of the Atlantic City Convention, 1947, The term "participating governments" covered governments represented by observers. The Delegate of Italy referred to private operating agencies represented on delegations. The Delegate of Belgium had the impression that the O.I.R. had asked to be exempted. If such a request were submitted, the C.E.R. was empowered to decide whether it should be granted. There was, so far. no procedent. Previous exemptions had been granted as a result of an expert being appointed. The Delegate of the United Kingdom was against the exemption of the O.I.R., which was not officially an Observer. If the O.I.R. were exempted, it would mean that the countries who were Members of the O.I.R. would be in a privileged position. In favour of the exemption of the O.I.R., the Delegate of the U.S.S.R. pointed out that the organization had been of great assistance to the C.E.R., that it was not a private operating agency and that a precedent had been established by the C.C.I.R. in the case of the International Committee of Time. In admitting the O.I.R. unconditionally, the Plenary Assembly had settled the question of principle. All the members of the Joint Committee thought the C.E.R. could decide whether exemption was to be granted or not, except the Delegate of the United Kingdom, who thought that it would not be wise for the C.E.R. to make a decision on a question of principle without reference to the I.T.U. at least so far as the agencies listed in B. d) of RD 157/MAR 81 were concerned. The Delegate of France explained the status of the <u>I.C.A.O.</u>. The case of each observer country and agency was examined. The countries would be called upon to pay their share, but it seemed logical that the I.F.R.B. should be exempted. As the U.N. were not represented, the question did not arise as far as they were concerned. U.N.E.S.C.O. was not yet represented. Discussion on U.N.E.S.C.O. and I.C.A.O., which were Specialised Agencics whose case the Administrative Council had to decide. For practical reasons, the problem would be submitted to the Plenary Assembly. Discussion on the exemption of the O.I.R.. The Soviet, Albanian, French, Polish and Roumanian Delegations were in favour of the O.I.R. being exempted, the United Kingdom Delegation was against it and the Danish, Egyptian and Italian Delegations were in favour of the matter being referred to the Plenary Assembly. The Swiss Delegation stated that it would be in favour of the request, once it had been formally submitted by the O.I.R ..

<u>Item 2. Apportionment of the expenses between the two Conferences</u>. Statistics on the amount of work created by each Conference, calculated on the basis of the number of stencils typed. It was suggested that the proportion should be threequarters for the C.E.R. and one quarter for the MAR Conference. The Soviet Delegate asked why the <u>language</u> issue should be re-examined, since the languages used had been admitted on an equal footing. The Delegate of the United Kingdom pointed out that the question of the cost had not been settled. Article 15 of the Atlantic City Convention provided for English, French and Spanish. No one could say definitely that Spanish would not be used at some future C.E.R.; the use of Russian at Copenhagen had not to create a precedent, and the cost had to be shared as indicated in Article 15, para. 5 of the Atlantic City Convention. The Soviet Delegate would not allow any discrimination. No reservation had been formulated when it had been decided to use English, French and Russian on an equal footing, and he refused to discuss the point. Discussion on <u>classes of</u> <u>contribution</u>.

| Report by the Joint Committee | RD 249/MAR 118, **) | considered and amended in RD 360 (5th $P_{\bullet}A_{\bullet}$ of the RD Conference) |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| 0.1.R. Request to be exempted | RD 235, ***) | considered in RD 360 (5th P.A. of the RD Conference). |
| U.I.R. Request to be exempted | RD 256, ***) | considered in RD 360 (6th P.A. of the RD Conference) |

Text adopted by the Plenary Assembly on 4 September 1948 RD 363/MAR 203

**) Approved and amended in <u>MAR 192</u> (4th P.A. of the MAR Conference). (RD 249-E/ <u>MAR 118-E</u> amended in RD 268-E/<u>MAR 127-E</u>)

***) Only concerns the RD Conference.

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

PAGE LAISSEE EN BLANC INTENTIONNELLEMENT

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

in the

MARITIME REGIONAL RADIO CONFERENCE (MAR)

COPENHAGEN, 1948

Note from the General Secretariat of the I.T.U.

The following is based on information published by the Conference Reception Committee.

A. List in alphabetical order

ABAZA Bey, Shoukry, inspecteur général des télégraphes et des téléphones Egypt ARMAY, Tashin, ingénieur, membre du conseil technique de la direction générale Turkey de la Presse. ANDERSEN, K.N. inspecteur de la navigationm Ministère du Commerce, de 14Industrie et de la navigation. Denmark ANDREASSI. Silvio, chef de service, Ministère des postes et des télécommuni-Italy cations. ANDRESSEN, H.B., Secretary, Norges Rederforbund, Oslo. Norway ASLANIDES, Basile, ingénieur en chef de l'Institut national de radiodiffusion. Greece BARDAI, (EL), Anis, directeur du Bureau technique et inspecteur général des télégraphes et des téléphones. Egypt BENSBY, Mrs; H., Official translator and interpreter, Secretary to the Head Denmark of the Delegation. BERG, Paul, Chef de la section Presse de la radiodiffusion d'Etat. Attaché de Presse de la MAR. Denmark United Kingdom BEST, R.S.B., Legal Adviser, Foreign Office. of Great Britain and Northern Ireland BIANSAN, Jean-Marie, administrateur, Ministère des Postes, télégraphes et téléphones. France BILLINGTON, R.M., Deputy Inspector of Wireless Telegraphy, G. P.O. United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

80 Annex 1 Participants

> BOUSSARD, Léon, Head of the Linguistic Service. Secretariat <u>**Zugoslavia**</u> BOSOVIC, Bozidar, comité de radiodiffusion. (Federal People's Republic of) BRIEM, Gunnlaugur, ingénieur en chef de l'administration des postes, télégraphes et téléphones. Head of the Icelandic Delegation. Iceland BRIZZI, capitaine de corvette Italy BURFORD, Miss J. United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland BUSAK, Dr., Jan, chef du département juridique au Ministère des postes. <u>Czechoslovakia</u> CARMAN, Fritz, Director, AB. Broströms Linjeagentur, Göteborg, Sweden CHRISTENSEN, T.C. armateur, Ministère du Commerce, de l'Industrie et de la Navigation. Denmark CHTCHETININE, O.P. (see Shchetinin). United Kingdom CLARKE, A;W;, Chief of Divisionm Ministry of Transport. of Great Britain and Northern Ireland CONDON, Richard J., Observer United States CORTEIL, René, ingénieur civil, inspecteur de la Régie des télégraphes et des téléphones, président du Conseil du centre de contrôle des radiocommunications des services mobiles C.C.R.M. Head of the Belgian Delegation. Belgium CROVETTO, Arthur, Legation Adviser. Monaco DEVOLDER, Miss Marguerite, Secretarial staff. DJATCHENKO, F.E. (see Dyachenko) DUMONT, Miss Hélène, Shorthand-typist, Secretarial staff. U.S.S.R. DUNAEVA, Mrs.Galina, Interpreter. DUROYON DE BRAZ. Mrs. Marcelle, Secretary to the French Delegation. France Ukrainian S.S.R. DYACHENKO, F.E., United Kingdom EAST, Miss M.C. of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

Annex 1 81 Participants

| EBERT, Walther, Engineer | | Swiss Confederation |
|--|--|---|
| EKSTROM, Karl, ingénieur | de bureau, Kungl. Telegrafstyr | elsen,Radiobyran <u>Sweden</u> |
| | irecteur du service radioélectre ad of the Greek Delegation. | ique. <u>Greece</u> |
| ELLIS, Mrs. B | of Great Brits | United Kingdom in and NorthernIreland |
| télégraphe | de bureau, Direction générale es du Danemark. Committee. | des postes et des <u>Denmark</u> |
| | le bureau, Kungl.Telegrafstyrel Swedish Delegation. | lsen, Radiobyran. <u>Sweden</u> |
| FALNES, Paul, ingénieur | de division. | Norver |
| FAULKNER, H., Engineer-in Head of the | e U.K. Delegation. | <u>United Kingdom</u> ain and No rther n Ireland |
| FRICKER, H.A;, Secretary | to the U.K.Delegation, G.P.O. of Great Brits | <u>United Kingdom</u> ain and No rthern Ireland |
| FRYER, P.W.F., Engineer, | Chief of Section, G.P.O. of Great Brits | <u>United Kingdom</u> ain & No rthern Ireland |
| van GEEL,C;, inspecteur- | adjoint des postes, télégraphe | s et téléphones. <u>Netherlands</u> |
| GILLIOZ, Clovis, chef de | service | <u>Swiss Confederation</u> |
| GNEME, Gr. Off; Giuseppe, | chef de service, Ministère de télécommunications. Head of the Italian Delegation | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Doyen of the Conference | Italy |
| GOLOVENSHENKO, A.F. Inter | preter. | Ukrainian S.S.R. |
| GOLUBEVA, Mrs. Nina, Int | erpreter. | U.S.S.R. |
| GRÈGERSEN Dr. Aage, chef | de section, Ministère des Aff. | aires étrangères. <u>Denmark</u> |
| GRIGOROV, Al. Athanas, ch | ef de division télégraphique a | dministrative. <u>Bulgaria</u> People's Republic of) |
| GROSS, Gerald C;, Assist | ant Secretary General of the I | nternational |

Telecommunication Union.

Annex 1 Participants

> HANSSEN, E.A. ingénieur des télégraphes. Denmark HAYOZ. Miss Berthe, Secretarial staff, International Telecommunication Union HEINO, Erkki, ingénieur, chef du bureau radioélectrique à la Direction Finland générale des postes et des télégraphes. HOLMBLAD, N.E., ingénieur en chef, chef de la division technique de la Direction générale des postes et des télégraphes. Head of the Danish Delegation. Ministry of Works. Denmark ILSLEV, A.B., sous chef de bureau, Direction générale des postes et des télégraphes du Danemark. Denmark Reception Committee. JANNIK, J.V. chef de section de la radiodiffusion d'Etat du Danemark. Reception Committee. Denmark Swiss Confederation JUNOD, Raymond, Engineer. Hungary KALDOR, G., chef de section. KITO, Petro, directeur de la radiodiffusion. Albania (people's Republic of) KODOLANYI, Gyula, conseiller technique des postes. Hungary KOVATCHEV, Gueorgui Nikolov, chef de division télégraphique Bulgaria technique. (Peole scRepublic of) KROMANN, Axel, directeur-adjoint régional des postes, région Copenhague. Chairman of the Reception Committee. Denmark KUYPER, J;, inspecteur des P.T.T., chef du Service radiomaritime. Netherlands LAHURE, Louis, sous-directeur technique de la Compagnie Radiomaritime C.I.R.M. Observer. LECOMTE, Raymond, ingénieur civil, ingénieur en chef, directeur à la régie des voies aériennes. Belgium LHERMITE, Maurice, directeur des services radioélectriques. France LIEBERT, W. Director of "Radio-Holland". Observer, International Chamber of Shipping. LORANCHET, Jean, adjoint au directeur du matériel naval, secrétariat général de la marine marchande. France

82

Annex 1 83 Participants

LOW, D.A., Chairman of the Wireless Technical Sub-Committee. Observer, International Chamber of Shipping.

MANCIULESCU, Milan, chef de service technique à la Direction générale des postes, télégraphes et téléphones Roumanian People's Republic

MARINOV, A. Jordanov, ingénieur en chef de la radiodiffusion. <u>Bulgaria</u> (People's Republic of)

MARTIN, Edgar T., Adviser Office of Military Government for Germany, U.S. United States Observer. <u>United States</u>

MERCIER, Claude, ingénieur en chef de la radiodiffusion française. France

METZLER, Dr. Ernst, chef des services radio et télégraphique

Swiss Confederation

MEYER, Dr. Victor, Secretary, Bureau of the International Telecommunication Union.

MINAEV. O.V.

U.S.S.R.

MOE. Claf, ingénieur en Chef, Head of the Norwegian Delegation Norway

MOECKLI, A. Directeur de la division des télégraphes et des téléphones.

MONAGHAN, T.J., Chief Engineer, Posts and Telegraph Department.

MONTEIRO, Ferreira, A.B. Lieutenant de vaisseau

NASH, Miss V

NICHOLS, Miss 0

Swiss Confederation

Ireland

Portugal

Yugoslavia

<u>United Kingdom</u> of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

NIKOLIC, Djordje, Ministry of Foreign Affairs.

Federal People's Republic of

NOBS, Miss Käthi, Secretarial staff, International Telecommunication Union.

OATES, P.G. Chief of Division, Ministry of Transport. United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

O'BROIN, Leon, Secretary, Posts and Telegraph Department. Ireland

84 Annex I Participants

> United Kingdom OSBORN. Mrs F.B. of Great Britain and Northern Ireland OVERGAARD. Thomas, directeur de bureau. Kungl. Telegrafstyrelsen Sugden PARKER, Col. J.D. Chief of the Radio Section T and T Division Frankfurt-am-Main. United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland United Kingdom PARSONS. G.R. Assistant Secretary, G.P.O. of Great Britain and Northern Ireland PEDERSEN, Gunnar, Chef de la section technique de radio, Direction générale des postes et des télégraphes. Deputy Head of the Danish Delegation. Denmark PENNETTA, H.E. Antonio, Legal Adviser, Ministry of Foreign Affairs Head of the Italian Delegation. Italy PEREIRA, Jorge Maia Ramos, capitaine de corvette, directeur des services de communications du Ministère de la Portugal Marine PLAKIAS, John N. First Secretary at the United States Embassy in Paris. United States Observer. United States POUTIAINEN, Vaino, Finnish Shipping Observer. Finland POPOVIC, Vojin, ingéniour-conseil au comité de radiodiffusion. Yugoslavia Head of the Yugoslav Delegation (Federal People's Republic of) FUJADE, Henry, I.C.A.O. Observer. Engineer, Bureau of the International REVOY, Jean, Telecommunication Union Finland RISSANEN, Juho, Chief Engineer. ROBERTS, Noel Hamilton, I.F.R.B. Observer. SAINIO, K.S., Engineer. Finland SALEH, Ibrahim Hamed, ingénieur en chef de la radiodiffusion Egypt SCHAEFFER, Pierre French Protectorates of Morocco and Tunisia SEYDEL, Victor, ingénieur civil, directeur du service des télécommunications à la régie des voies aériennes Belgium U.S.S.R. SHCHETININ, O.P. Head of the Soviet Delegation

> > U.S.S.R.

SINITSYN, M.T. Deputy Head of the Soviet Delegation

Annex 1 85 Participants

SKIBBYHOLMK.S. contrôleur, traducteur et interprète juré de l'administration danoise. Reception Committee Denmark United Kingdom SMITH, Miss E.M. of Great Britain and Northern Ireland STANKOVIC, Rudolf, chef du service des télécommunications Yugoslavia maritimes. (Federal People's Republic of) STEEN-ANDERSEN, K. ingénieur des télégraphes. Denmark STELLMANN, Lucion, ingéniour du service des télécommunications France United Kingdom STRACHAN, Miss, S.M., ForeignlOffice Great Britain and Northern Ireland STUDER, William, F. Counsellor, Bureau of the International Telecommunication Union. Denmark SVENNINGSEN, K, ingénieur des télégraphes. Ministry of Works. TAKACS, Dr. Kalman, directeur des postes. Bungary THEM. Astor, Vice-Consul of Monaco at Copenhagen. Monaco THEM, Thorwald, Consul-General of Monaco at Copenhagen Monaco United Kingdom THOMPSON. Miss D. of Great Britain and Northern Ireland van der TOORN, J.D.H., directeur en chef des télécommunications Head of the Netherlands Delegation Netherlands URBAN, Josef, chef de la division pour les relations étrangères de la radiodiffusion tchécoslovaque Czechoslovakia Bielorussian S.S.R. VASILIEV.S.F. VOUTAZ, Henri, Secretary, Bureau of the International Telecommunication Union. WAMBERG, F.C. chef du service technique des radiocommunications, vice-président du conseil de la radicdiffusion Denmark WOLF, Armand, chef de bureau. Secretary to the Swiss Delegation Swiss Confederation WOLOWSKI Karol, ingénieur, Ministère des postes et des Poland télégraphes. (Republic of) WUOLIJOKI, Mrs. Hella, directrice de l'organisation de la radiodiffusion de Finlande O/Y Yleisradio A/B Finland

86 Annex 1

B. List by delegations

1. Delegates from Governments

Note from the General Secretariat of the I.T.U.

Except for the Roumanian P.R. whose name has changed since the Atlantic City Conference, the countries has listed in the order of the signatures in the Additional Protocol to the International Telecommunication Convention, Atlantic City (1947).

ALBANIA (People's Republic of)

Mr.Petro KITO, directeur de la radiodiffusion

AUSTRIA

Did not take part in the MAR Conference.

BELGIUM

Mr. René CORTEIL, ingénieur civil, inspecteur général de la régie des télégraphes et des téléphonesm président du conseil du centre de contrôle des radiocommunications des services mobiles C.C.R.M. Head of the Belgian Delegation.

Mr. Raymond LECOMTE, ingénieur civil, ingénieur en chef, directeur à la régie des voies aériennes.

Mr. Victor SEYDEL, ingénieur civil, directeur du service des télécommunications à la régie des voies aériennes.

BIELORUSSIAN S.S.R.

Mr. S.F. VASILIEV

BULGARIA (People's Republic of)

Mr. Athanas Al, GRIGOROV, chef de division télégraphique administrative.

Mr. Gueorgui Nikolov KOVATCHEV, chef de division télégraphique technique.

Mr. A. Jordanov MARINOV, ingénieur en chef de la radiodiffusion.

VATICAN CITY (State of)

Did not take part in the MAR Conference.

Annex 1 87 Participants

DENMARK

Ministry of Works

Mr. N.E. HOLMBLAD, ingénieur en chef, chef de la division technique de la Direction générale des postes et des télégraphes. Head of the Danish Delegation.

Mr. Gunnar PEDERSEN, chef de la section technique de radio, Direction générale des postes et des télégraphes. Deputy Head of the Danish Delegation.

Mr. K. SVENNINGSEN, ingénieur des télégraphes

Mr. E.A. HANSEN, ingénieur des télégraphes.

Mr. K. STEEN-ANDERSEN, ingénieur des télégraphes.

MrsH.BENSBY, official translator and interpreter, Secretary to the Head of the Delegation.

Ministry of Foreign Affairs

Dr. Aage GREGERSEN, chef de section.

Ministry of Commerce, Industry and Navigation,

Mr. K.N.ANDERSEN, inspecteur de la navigation

Mr. T.C. CHRISTENSEN, armateur.

Conseil de la radiodiffusion

Mr. F.C.WAMBERG, chef du service technique des rqdiocommunications, vice-président du conseil de la radiodiffusion.

EGYPT

Mr. Ibrahim Hamed SALEH, ingénieur en chef de la radiodiffusion.

Mr. Anis EL BARDAI, directeur du Bureau technique et inspecteur général des télégraphes et des téléphones.

Shoukry ABAZA Bey, inspecteur général des télégraphes et des téléphones.

FINLAND

Mrs. Hella WUOLIJOKI, directrice de l'organisation de la radiodiffusion de Finlande O/Y Yleisradio A/B.

Mr. Juho RISSANEN, Chief Engineer.

Mr. Erki HEINO, ingénieur, chef du bureau radioélectrique à la Direction générale des postes et des télégraphes.

Mr. K.S. SAINIO, Engineer.

Mr. Vaino POUTIANEN, Finnish Shipping Observer.

88 Annex 1 Participants

FRANCE

Mr. Claude MERCIER, ingénieur en chef de la radiodiffusion française

Mr. Maurice LHERMITE, directeur des services radioélectriques.

Mr. Jean-Marie BIANSAN, administrateur, Ministère des postes, télégraphes et téléphones.

Mr. Jean LORANCHET, adjoint au directeur du matériel naval, secrétariat général de la marine marchande.

Mr. Lucien STELLMANN, ingénieur du service des télécommunications.

Mrs. Marcelle DUROYON DE BRAZ, Secretary to the Delegation.

GREECE

Mr. Stefanos ELEFTHERIOU, directeur du service radioélectrique, Head of the Greek Delegation.

Mr. Basile ASLANIDES, ingénieur en chef de l'Institut national de radiodiffusion.

HUNGARY

Dr. Kalman TAKACS, directeur des postes.

Mr. Gyula KODOLANYI, conseiller technique des postes.

Mr. G. KALDOR, chef de section.

IRELAND

Mr. Leon O'BROIN, Secretary, Posts & Telegraph Department.

Mr. T.J. MONAGHAN, Chief Engineer, Posts & Telegraph Department.

ICELAND

Mr. Gunnlaugur BRIEM, ingénieur en chef de l'administration des postes, télégraphes et téléphones. Head of the Icelandic Delegation.

ITALY

H.E. Antonio PENNETTA, Legal Adviser, Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Head of the Italian Delegation.

Gr. Off. Giuseppe GNEME, chef de service, Ministère des postes et des télécommunications. Head of the Italian Delegation to the MAR Conference. Doyen of the Conference.

Mr. Silvio ANDREASSI, chef de service, Ministère des postes et des télécommunications.

Mr. BRIZZI, Capitaine de corvette.

Annex 1 89 Participants

LEBANON

Did not take part in the MAR Conference.

LUXEMBURG

Did not take part in the MAR Conference.

MONACO

Mr. Arthur CROVETTO, Legation Adviser.

Mr. Thorvald THEM, Consul General of Monaco at Copenhagen. Mr. Astor THEM, Vice-Consul of Monaco at Copenhagen.

NORWAY

Mr. Olaf MOE, ingénieur en chef, Head of the Norwegian Delegation. Mr. Paul FALNES, ingénieur de division.

Mr. H.B.ANDRESEN, Secretary, Norges Rederforbund, Oslo.

NETHERLANDS

Mr. J.D.H. van der TOORN, directeur en chef des télécommunications Head of the Netherlands Delegation.

Mr. J.KUYPER, inspecteur des P.T.T., chef de service radiomaritime.

Mr. C. van GEEL, inspecteur-adjoint des postes, télégraphes et téléphones.

POLAND (Republic of)

Mr. Karol WOLOWSKI, ingénieur, Ministère des postes et des télégraphes.

PORTUGAL

Mr. Jorge Maia Ramos PEREIRA, Capitaine de corvette, directeur des services de communications du Ministère de la Marine.

Mr. A.B.Ferreira MONTEIRO, Lieutenant de vaisseau.

FRENCH PROTECTORATES OF MOROCCO. &. TUNISIA

Mr. Pierre SCHAEFFER

90 Annex 1 Participants

YUGOSLAVIA (Federal People's Republic of)

Mr. Vojin POPOVIC, ingénieur-conseil au comité de radiodiffusion, Head of the Yugoslav Delegation.

Mr. Djordje NIKOLIC, Ministry of Foreign Affairs.

Mr. Bozidar BOZOVIC, comité de radiodiffusion.

Mr. Rudolf STANKOVIC, chef du service des télécommunications maritimes.

ROUMANIAN PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC

Mr. Milan MANCIULESCU, chef des services techniques à la Direction générale des postes, télégraphes et téléphones.

UKRAINIAN S.S.R.

Mr. F.E. DYACHENKO.

Mr. A.F. GOLOVENSHENKO, Interpreter

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND

Mr. H.FAULKNER, Engineer-in-chief, G.P.O. Head of the United Kingdom Delegation.

Mr. R.S.B.BEST, Legal Adviser, Foreign Office.

Mr. R.M. BILLINGTON, Deputy Inspector of Wireless Telegraphy, G.P.O.

Mr. A.W.CLARKE, Chief of Division, Ministry of Transport.

Mr. P.W.F.FRYER, Engineer, Chief of Section, G.P.O.

Mr. P.G.OATES, Chief of Division, Ministry of Transport.

Mr. G.R. PARSONS, Assistant Secretary, G.P.O.

Col. J.D. PARKER, Chief of the Radio Section, T and T Division, Frankfurt a/Main.

Mr. H.A. FRICKER, Secretary to the U.K. Delegation, G.P.O.

Miss S.M. STRACHAN, Foreign Office.

Miss H.M. SMITH Mrs. F.B.OSBORN Miss M.C.EAST

Miss D. THOMPSON

Miss O. NICHOLS

Miss V. NASH

Mrs. B. ELLIS

Miss J.BURFORD

SWEDEN

Mr. Erik ESPING, directeur de bureau, Kungl.Telegrafstyrelsen, Radiobyran Head of the Swedish Delegation.

Mr. Thomas OVERGAARD, directeur de bureau, Kungl. Telegrafstyrelsen. Mr. Karl EKSTROM, ingénieur de bureau, Kungl.Telegrafstyrelsen, Radiobyran. Mr. Fritz CARMAN, Director, AB. Broströms Linjeagentur, Göteborg.

SWISS CONFEDERATION

Mr. A. MOECKLI, directeur de la division des télégraphes et des téléphones.

Dr. Ernst METZLER, chef des services radio et télégraphique.

Mr. Clovis GILLIOZ, chef de service.

Mr. Walther EBERT, Engineer.

Mr. Raymond JUNOD, Engineer.

Mr. Armand WOLF, chef de bureau , Secretary of the Swiss Delegation.

SYRIA

Did not take part in the MAR Conference.

CZECHOSLOVARIA

Dr. Jan BUSAK, chef du département juridique au Ministère des postes. Dr. Josef URBAN, chef de la division pour les relations étrangères de la radiodiffusion tchécoslovaque.

TURKEY

Mr. Tashin ARMAY, ingénieur, membre du conseil technique de la direction générale de la presse.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Mr. O.P. SHCHETININ, Head of the Soviet Delegation

Mr. SINITZIN, Deputy Head of the Soviet Delegation.

Mr. O.V. MINAEV

Mrs. Nina GOLUBEVA, Interpreter.

Mrs. Galina DUNAEVA, Interpreter.

92 Annex 1 Participants

II. Observers

a) <u>Country</u>

United States

Mr. Richard J. CONDON, Civil Affairs Division, Department of the Army

Mr. John N. PLAKIAS, First Secretary at the United States Embassy in Paris.

Mr. Edgar T. MARTIN, Adviser Office of Military Government for Germany, U.S.

Mr. W.F. MINNERS, Observer.

b) Agencies

I.T.U.

Mr. Gerald C. GROSS, Assistant Secretary General, representing the Secretary General of the Union.

International Frequency Registration Board (I.F.R.B.)

Mr. Noel Hamilton ROBERTS

Specialized Agency:

I.C.A.O.

Mr. Henri PUJADE

International Organizations

International Chamber of Shipping

Mr. M.D. LOW, Chairman of the Wireless Technical Sub-Committee Mr. W. LIEBERT, Director of "Radio-Holland"

C.I.R.M.

Mr. Louis LAHURE, sous-directeur technique de la Compagnie Radiomaritime.

BUREAU OF THE CONFERENCE

Chairman : Mr. N.E. HOLMELAD, ingénieur en chef, chef de la division technique de la Direction générale des postes et des télégraphes du Danemark, Ministère des Travaux publics.

<u>Vice-Chairman</u>: Mr. Gunnar PEDERSEN, chef de la section technique de radio de la direction générale des postes et des télégraphes du Danemark.

Secretariat

Secretary in Chiof : Mr. William F. STUDER, Counsellor, Bureau of the Union.

Secretaries : Dr Victor MEYER, Secretary, Bureau of the Union.

Mr. Henri VOUTAZ, Secretary, Bureau of the Union.

Mr. Jean REVOY, Chief Engineer.

Mr. Léon BOUSSARD, Head of the Linguistic Service.

Secretarial Staff : Miss Käthi NOBS, Bureau of the Union.

Miss Berthe HAYOZ, Bureau of the Union.

Miss Hélène DUMONT, Shorthand Typist.

Miss Marguerite DEVOLDER.

MAR Conference Presse Attaché: Mr. Paul BERG, Head of the Press_Department, of the State Broadcasting organization.

Danish Reception Committee

Mr. Axel KROMANN, directeur-adjoint régional des postes, région de Copenhague. Chairman of the Reception Committee.

Mr. A.B. ILSLEV, sous-chef de bureau, direction générale des postes et des télégraphes du Danemark.

Mr. J.V.JANNIK, chef de section de la radiodiffusion d'Etat du Danemark.

Mr.P.F. ERIKSEN, sous-chef de bureau, direction générale des postes et des télégraphes du Danemark.

Mr. K.S.SKIBBYHOLM, contrôleur, traducteur et interprète juré de l'administration danoise.

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

PAGE LAISSEE EN BLANC INTENTIONNELLEMENT

LIST OF DOCUMENTS OF THE MAR CONFERENCE

A. In numerical order

<u>Abbreviations</u>: <u>Heads</u> = Meetings of the Heads of Delegations. <u>P.A.</u> = Meetings of Plenary Assembly. <u>C.</u> = Committee. <u>W.G.</u> = Working Group. <u>J.G.</u> = Joint Group. <u>R. of P.</u> = Rules of Procedure. <u>RD</u> = Document of the European Broadcasting Conference. <u>MAR</u> = Document of the Maritime Regional Radio Conference. <u>F</u> = Document in French. <u>E</u> = Document in English. <u>R</u> = Document in Russian.

In some cases, subsequent discussions can be traced from the date of publication.

| MAR or RD/MAR | Section, in the Document | is | Subject and Nos. of Docs. amending, replacing, etc. | - | e of ication .948 |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|-------|--|------|-------------------------|
| 1. | C.3 | 49 | Italy, Questions to be considered | | 4 June |
| 2 | C.3 | 49 | United Kingdom. Ad MAR 1 | | 19 |
| 3 | Heads | 28 | Agenda | 11 | 22 |
| 4 | Heads | 28 | Denmark. Establishment of Committee | 8 | 22 |
| 5 | P.A. | 29 | Agendas. Inauguration and 1st P.A. | | 22 |
| 6 | Heads | 28 | Draft Rules of Procedure | 20 | 22 |
| 5/7 | C.1 | 39 | Agenda | | 22 |
| 6/8 | Heads | 28 | Draft Working Methods | 144 | 22 |
| 7/9 | Heads | 26 | Denmark. Report on action taken | • • | 22 |
| 10 | C•4 B | 69 | Denmark. Delimitation of Areas | | 23 |
| 11 | Heads | 28 | Cancels 3 | 12. | 24 |
| 12 | P.A.& | | | | |
| | Heads | 28.29 | Agendas. Inauguration and Heads | ·. · | 24 |
| 10/13 | Heads | 26 | lst Meeting. June 24. | 72 | 24 |
| 11/14 | Heads | - 26 | Supplements 10/13 | 11 | 25 |
| 15 | C.4 B | 69 | United Kingdom. Delimitation of Are | as | |
| | | • • | and distribution of frequencies | | 26 |
| 14/16 | P.A. | 29 | Inaugural Meeting 25 June | | 25 |
| 15/17 | Heads | 26 | 2nd Meeting 25 June | 72 | 26 |
| 18/18 | Heads | 26 | 3rd Meeting 26 June | 72 | 29 |
| 25/19 | Heads | 26 | Amends 18 | • | 30 |
| 20 | Heads | 28 | Amends 6 | | 1 July |
| 21 | P.A. | 30 | Denmark. Semi-official aeronautical | | |
| | | | group | 31 E | 3 |
| 22 | Heads | 28 | Meeting of 2 July | , | 5 |
| 23 | P.A. | 29 | lst Meeting 2 July | 72 | 5 6 |
| 39/24 | C.1 | 39 | <u>lst Meeting</u> <u>3 July</u> | | 6 |
| 40/25 | P.A. | 30 | International Chamber of Shipping | | • |
| | | | Request for admission. | | 6 |
| 41/26 | P.A. | 30 | CIRM, Request for admission | | 6 |
| 27 | C.2 | 45 | 1st Meeting 5 July | 30 | 6 |
| 28 | C•3 | 49 | Agenda | | 6. |

95

Annex 3 MAR Docs.

| MAR or | Section/ in thi | ls | Subject and Nos. of Docs. amending, replacing, etc. | Date of publication |
|------------|--------------------|----------|--|--------------------------------------|
| RD/MAR | Document | ation | | 1948 |
| 29 | C.4 | 59 | lst Meeting 5 July 38.106 | 6 July |
| 30 | C.2 | 45 | Amends 27 | 7 |
| 31 | P.A. | 30 | Amends 21 E | 7 |
| 54/32 | P.A. | 30 | ICAO. Request for admission 61/37 | |
| 56/33*) | P.A. | - | UIR. Request for admission*) | 8 8 8 8 8 9 9 9 |
| 34 | C.2 | 45 | 2nd Meeting. 7 July | 8 |
| 35 | C.4 | 59 | 2nd Meeting. 6 July 106 | 8 |
| 36 | C.4 | 60 | 3rd Meeting. 7 July 80.106 | ŝ |
| 51/37 | P.A. | 30 | Amends 54/32 | ğ |
| 38 | C. 4 | 59 | Amends 29 | á |
| 39 | C.4 B | 69 | Example of information required 40 E | 9 |
| 40 | G.4 B | 69 | Amonds 39 E | 12 |
| | | | 2nd Meeting, 9 July 139/69 | 9 |
| 95/41 | C.1 | 39 | | 7 |
| 42 | C.4 A | 67 | lst Meeting. 8 July. Cancelled | 30 |
| 10 | | 10 | by 104 | 13 15 |
| 43 | C.4 B | 69 | Finland. Preliminary Report | 12 |
| 44 | P.A. | 30 | Agenda | 16 |
| 45 | 0.3 | 51 | Agenda | 19 |
| 46 | C.3 | 51.31 | United Kingdom. Character of the Conference | |
| 34/47 | C.l | 40 | 3rd Meeting. 16 July | 19 |
| 48 | C•4 B | 69 | PR of Albania. Request for frequencies | 21 |
| 49 | C.4 B | 69 | Germany (U.K.). Request for frequencies | 21 |
| 50 | C.4 B | 69 | Belgium. Request for frequencies. | |
| | | | Replaced by 74 | 21 |
| 51 | C.4 B | 69 | Denmark. Request for frequencies | 21 |
| 52 | C.4 B | 69 | France. Request for frequencies. | |
| | | | Replaced by 70 | 21 |
| 53 | C.4 B | 69 | United Kingdom.Request for frequencies.16 | |
| 54 | C.4 B | 69 | United Kingdom.Stations the interests of | |
| 24 | 0.44 | 0, | which are represented by the $U_{\bullet}K_{\bullet}$ | |
| | | | Request for frequencies. 84 | 21 |
| F E | C / D | 69 | · | 21 |
| 55 | C.4 B | | Greece.Request for frequencies | |
| 56 | C.4 B | 69 | Ireland.Request for frequencies | 21 |
| 57 | C.4 B | 69 69 | Iceland.Request for frequencies | 21 |
| 58 50 | C.4 B | 69 | Italy.Request for frequencies 232/112 | 21 |
| 59 | C.4 B | 69 | Morocco and Tunisia Request for frequenci | |
| 60 | C •4 B | 69 | Norway.Request for frequencies | 21 |
| 61 | C.4 B | 69 | Netherlands.Request for frequencies | 21 |
| 62 | C.4 B | 69 | Rep. of Poland.Request for frequencies | |
| | | · . | Replaced by 102 | 21 |
| 63 | C.4 B | 69 | Portugal (Azores & Madeira). Request | |
| | | | for frequencies. Replaced by 97 | 21 |
| 64 | C.4 B | 69 | Roumanian PR.Request for frequencies | 21 |
| 65 | C,4 B | 69 | Sweden. Request for frequencies | 21 |
| 6 6 | C.4 B | 69 | Turkey. Request for frequencies | 21 |
| 67 | C.4 B | 69 | FPR of Yugoslavia.Request for frequencies | |
| 68 | C.4 B | 69 | USSR.Request for frequencies.Replaced by | |
| | - - - - | | 132,133, 134, 135, 136. | 21 |
| 9/69 | C.1 | 39 | Amends 95/41 | 21 |
| 70 | C.4 B | 59 69 | Replaces 52 73 | 21 2 2 |
| 70 | 0.4 B P.A. | 30 30 | | |
| 72 | | 90 | 2nd Meeting. 21 July | 21 |
| 14 | Heads & | 06 00 | Amonda 32 37 30 00 | àd |
| ~ | P.A. | 26.29 | Amends 13, 17, 18, 23 | 28 |
| .73 | C.4 B | 69 | <u>Amends</u> 70 admission to the Broadcasting Conference | 22 |

*) The UIR having requested admission to the Broadcasting Conference RD 56/MAR 33 was cancelled as a Maritime Conference Document.

| MAR | Section/page | 2 | Subject and Nos. of Docs. | Date of |
|----------|---------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| or | in this | | • | lication |
| RD/MAR | Documentatio | m | | 1948 |
| | | | | |
| 74 | | 69 | Replaces 50 | 21 <u>July</u> |
| 75 | | 69 | PR of Bulgaria.Request for frequencies | 23 |
| 144/76 | P.A. 30. | | Working methods.Replaces 8 | 22 |
| 77 | | 46 | Agenda | 24 |
| 154/78 | | 40 | 4th Meeting. 23 July | 26 |
| 79 | - | 60 | Agenda | 26 |
| 80 | • • | 60 | Amends 36 106 | 26 |
| 157/81 | C.1-J.C. | | | |
| . • | Expenses | 75 | Denmark. Memorandum (Expenses) | 27 |
| 82 | P.A. 29. | 23 | Membership of Committees 114,139 | 27 |
| 83 | C.2 | 46 | 3rd Meeting, 26 July | 27 |
| 84 | C.4 B | 69 | Amends 54 | 28 |
| 165/85 | C.4 JWG | 71 | Derogations. Proposal | 28 |
| 86 | | 32 | United Kingdom. Draft Convention | 29 |
| 87 | | 49 | lst Meeting. 5 July 125 | 29 |
| 88 | 0.3 | 50 | 2nd Meeting. 6 July 125 | 29 |
| 89 | | 50 | 3rd Meeting. 7 July 93.125 | 29 |
| 90 | 0.3 | 50 | 4th Meeting. 8 July 125 | 29 |
| 91 | | 67 | Report 106 | 30 |
| 170/92 | - | 71 | CIRM. Broadcasting in derogation | 30 |
| 93 | | 50 | Amends 89 | 30 |
| 94 | | 67 | Agenda | 31 |
| 180/95 | C.4 JWG | 71 | Austria. Frequency requirements | 2 August |
| 186/96 | | 40 | 5th Meeting. 30 July 220/110 | 2 <u>August</u> 31 <u>July</u> |
| 97 | | 69 | Portugal. Replaces 63 | 3 August |
| 98 | | 69 | Report | 3 |
| 99 | | 69 | Lebanon. Request for frequencies | |
| 100 | | 69 | Trieste (Anglo-American zone) | 4 |
| 100 | 0 ₊4 B | 07 | | t |
| 101 | Secretariat | | Request for frequencies List of MAR Documents 1 to 100 | 4 |
| 101 | | - | | 6 |
| | | 69 | Rep.of Poland. Replaces 62 | 0 |
| 205/103 | C.l-JC | <i>nr</i> | | P |
| 10/ | | 75 | <u>lst Meeting</u> . <u>5 August</u> | 7 |
| 104 | | 67 | Cancels 42 | 7 |
| 105 | C+4 | 60 | <u>4th Meeting. 30 July (MAR 105-E of</u> | |
| | | | 7 August cancelled and replaced by | ~ |
| (| | 1 | <u>MAR 105-E</u> of 20 August) 147 | 7 |
| 106 | | 60 .67 | Amends 29 & 38, 35, 36 & 80, 91 | . 7 |
| 209/107 | C.4 J | | | ÷ |
| | | 71.57 | ICAO. To modify Montreux, Art.12, par.2 | 9 |
| 108 | | 67 | Agenda | 9 |
| 218/109 | | 40 | <u>6th Meeting. 6 August</u> | 10 |
| 220/110 | | 40 | Amends 186/96 | 11 |
| 224/111 | C-4 JWG | 71 | Switzerland.Geneva in derogation 234/113 | 11 |
| 232/112 | C₀4 B | 69 | Italy.Request for frequencies 58 | 12 |
| 234/113 | C.4 JWG | 71 | Amends 224/111 | 12 |
| 114 | P.A. | 23 | 82 continued | 14 |
| 115 | C.4 B | 69 | Egypt.Request for frequencies | 16 |
| <u>`</u> | | | | |

6

97

98

| RD/MAR | in this Documentatio | <u>m</u> | amending, replacing, etc. | pul | | ation .948 |
|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------|--|----------|----------|---------------|
| 116 | C. 4 A | 68 | Agenda | | 16 | August |
| 244/117 | C.1-JC | 00 | Agenua | | 10 | MUEUDO |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Expenses | 76 | 2nd Meeting. 10 August | | 17 | |
| 249/118 | C.I-JC | $z_{i} = z_{i}$ | | • | •••. | |
| | Expenses | 77 🕔 | Report | | 18 | |
| 245/119*) | C.3 (WG 3B | | | | | |
| | of the CER) | | Texts "Notification", "Resolution" | | 17 | •• |
| 250/120 | C.1 | 41 | 7th Meeting. 13 August | 7 67 | 18 18 | |
| 121 | G.4 A | 68 | Denmark.Demonstrations at Lyngby Radio | | 10 | |
| 122 | C•3 | 53 | <u>9th Meeting</u> . <u>20 August</u> . 122 of 24 Augus replaces 122 of 23 August | 131 | 24 | × |
| 123 | P.A. | 31 | Agenda | 171 1 | 20 | |
| 264/124 | C.4 JWG | 71 | Report (Derogations) | | 22 | |
| 125 | C.3 | 49.50 | Amends 87, 88, 89, 90 | | 23 | |
| 267/126 | C.4 (G2&3 RI | | Report (272 kc/s) | | 23 | - |
| 268/127 | C,1-JC | 111 | | | ~) | |
| 2007 221 | Expenses | 77 | Amends 249/118 E | | 24 | |
| 269/128 | C.4 (G2&3 RI | | | 160 | 24 | • |
| 273/129 | C.1 | 42 | 8th Meeting. 20 August | | 23 | |
| 130 | C.4 | 61 | Agenda | | 25 | |
| 131 | 0.3 | 53 | Amends 122 of 23 August, cancelled | | 24 | |
| 132 | C.4 B | 69 | Replaces 68 | | 25 | |
| 133 | C.4 B | 69 | Replaces 68 | | 25 | |
| 134 | C.4 B | 69 | Replaces 68 | | 25 | |
| 135 | C.4 B | 69 | Replaces 68 | | 25 | • |
| 136 | C.4 B | 69 | Replaces 68 | | 25 | · . |
| 137 | C+2 | 46 | For the signature slips | | 25 | |
| 138 | C.4 A | 68 | | 148 | 26 | |
| 139 | $\mathbf{P}_{\bullet}\mathbf{A}_{\bullet}$ | 23 | Supplements 82 | | 27 | |
| 140 | C.4 B-Plan. | | · | | | |
| | Gr. | 70 | Report. <u>1st Variant of Plan</u> | 141 | 28 | |
| 141 | C.4 B-Plan. | | | | | |
| | Gr. | 70 | Amends 140 | | 29 | |
| 142 | P.A. | 32 | 3rd Meeting. 24 August | • | 29 | |
| 299/143 | C.3-TWG 5A-3 | | Data of autor tata forma | | 20 | |
| | *) of the CER | 57 | Date of entry into force | | 30 | |
| 302/144 | C.l-JC | | Expenses of the Committee of Eight Coun | ** | 20 | • |
| 145 | Expenses C.4 A | - 68 | | 167R | | |
| 146 | C•4 | 61 | | 183 | 31 | |
| 140 | C•4 | 60 | Amends 105 | 10) | 31 | |
| 148 | $C_{\bullet}4$ A | 68 | Amends 138 | | 31 | |
| 307/149 | C.1 | 42 | 9th Meeting. 27 August | | 31 | |
| 150 | C.3-WG | 56 | | 155 | | September |
| 151 | C.4 A | 68 | Annexes to 121 | | ī | |
| 152 | C.2 JWG | 46 | Agenda | | ī | |
| 315/153 | 0.3 JWG 5A-3 | | | | - | · · |
| 4 | of the CER | ົ57 | Report | | l | |
| 154 | C.4 | 62 | Agenda | | 1 | |
| · · | | | | | | |

*) Note. It is stated in 253 that RD 245 is a document common to both Conferences and that it bears the number RD 245/MAR 119.

**) <u>Note.</u> This document concerns the Broadcasting Conference only. RD 302/<u>MAR 144</u> was cancelled as a document of the Maritime Conference.

| | | • . | | | |
|--------------|------------------------|--|--|-----------|-----------------|
| MAR | Section/pag | e | Subject and Nos of Docs. | | Date of |
| or RD/MAR | in this Documentati | ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ | amending, replacing, etc. | publ | ication 1948 |
| | Documenter | 011 | | | 1940 |
| 155 | C.3-WG | 56 | Variants "Ratification, "Accession", "Denunciation" | 150 | 2 September |
| 156 | C.3 | 51 | 5th Meeting. 21 July | .' | 2 |
| 157 | 0.3 | 51 | 6th Meeting. 28 July | | 2 |
| 158 | C.3 | 52 | 7th Meeting. 3 August | 175 | |
| 159 | C.4 A | 68 | Meeting of 1 September | 167 | R 2 |
| 334/160 | C.4-J(G2&3 | | | | |
| | RD) | 71 | Draft Resolution | 128 | 3 |
| 161 | C.4 B | 69 | Supplements 53 | | 3 |
| 162 | C.4 B-Plan. | | • | • | |
| | Gr. | 70 | Report. 2nd Variant of Plan | 165 | E 3 |
| 304/163 | C•4 | 62 | Letter to the Chairman of C.5A of the | CER | 3 |
| 164 | C.2 | 47 | Report | | 4 |
| 165 | C.4 B-Plan. | | ▲ | | |
| | Gr. | 70 | Amends 162-E | | 4 |
| 166 | C.4 | 62 | Draft Recommendations by the C.4 | · . | 4 |
| 167 | C.4 A | 68 | Amends 145 R and 159 R | · . | 7 |
| 342/168 | C.4 JG | 00 | | | • |
| J42/ 100 | Protection | 72 | <u>1st Meeting. 1 September</u> | | 4 |
| 169 | C.4 | 62 | 6th Meeting. 3 September | 186 | 4 |
| | • | | Our Meeting, J Deptember | 100 | 4 |
| 170 | C.4 B-Plan. | | Amonito | | 6 |
| 7 | Gr. | 70 | Agenda | | |
| 171 | C.4 | 53 | Agenda | | 7 |
| 172 | C.4 B-G | ~~ | | 7.40 | ~ |
| · · · | Preambl | | Draft Preamble to the Plan | 189 | 7 |
| 348/173 | C.1 | 43 | 10th Meeting. 3 September | •. • • | 6 |
| 174 | 0.2 | 46 | 4th Meeting. 3 September | • | 6 |
| 175 | C•3 | 52 | Amends 158 R | | 7 |
| 176 | C.5 | 73 | 1st Meeting. 7 September | 177 | 8 |
| 177 | C•5 | 73 | Annex to 176. Series No.1 (blue) | | 8 - 8 |
| 354/178 | P.A. | 34 | Publication of documents | | |
| 179 | P.A. | 34 | Agenda | | 8 |
| 180 | C. 3 | 52 | 8th Meeting. 18 August | 188 | 8 |
| 181 | C.3 | 53 | 10th Meeting. 25 August | · · | 8 |
| 182 | C.4 | 63 | 7th Meeting. 7 September | 199 | 7 |
| 183 | C.4 | 61 | Amends 146 | | 8 |
| 184 | C.3 | 53 | 11th Meeting. 3 September | | 8 |
| 185 | C.3 | 54 | 12th Meeting. 5 September | •••• | 8 |
| 186 | C•4 | 62 | Amends 169 | | 9 |
| 365/187 | C₊4 B | 69 | United Kingdom. Request for frequencie | es | 9 |
| 188 | C•3 | 52 | Amends 180 | | 9 |
| 189 | C.4 B-G Pre | | | · · · | |
| 107 | amble | 70 | Texts for C.5. 172 rectified | 195 | 9 |
| 190 | | 63 | | | 9 |
| | | | 8th Meeting. 8 September | | 1 |
| 191 | C.4 B-Plan. | | Romant and Variant of the Dian | | 9 |
| 300 | Gr. | 70 | Report. <u>3rd Variant of the Plan</u> | 221 | |
| 192 | P.A. | 34 | <u>4th Meeting. 9 & 10 September</u> | 224 | |
| 193 | C•5 | 73 | 2nd Meeting. 10 September | 194 | |
| 194 | C+5 | 73 | Annex to 193. Texts (pink leaflets A) | | 10 |
| 195 | C.4 B-G Pre | | | | - |
| | amble | 70 | Continuation to 189 | | 10 |
| 196 | C•4 | 64 | Draft recommendation.Depth of modulat | ion | 10 |
| 197 | C.4 B | 69 | Meeting on 30 August | | 12 |
| 198 | C.4 | 64 | 9th Meeting. 10 September | | 10 |
| 199 | C•4 | 63 | Amonds 182 | | 11 |
| ••• | | - | i | | |

į

| MAI or RD/M | t t | tion/page in this rumentation | | Subject and Nos. of Docs. amending, replacing, etc. | public | ate of cation 948 |
|-------------------|--------|-------------------------------------|------------|--|--------|-------------------------|
| 2 | 00 0.3 | 3 | 54 | 13th Meeting. 6 September | 11 | September |
| 2 | 01 Sec | retariat | | List of MAR Documents 101 to 200 | 21 | |
| 2 | 02 C.3 | 3 | 55 | 14th Meeting. 7 September | 11 | |
| 363/2 | 03 C.J | JC | | · | | |
| | | oenses | 77 | Text based on decisions of MAR and RD | | • |
| | - | | | P.A.s. | 11 | |
| 2 | 04 C.5 | 5 | 73 | 3rd.Meeting. 11 September | 12 | |
| 2 | 05 C.5 | 5 | 73 | Annex to 204. Series No 2 (blue) | 12 | . . |
| 382/2 | 06 Cha | ir | 36 | Programme of Meetings suggested | 13 | |
| | | B-Plan.Gr. | 70 | Report | 13 | |
| 387/2 | 08 P.A | 4 | 36 | IFRB. Notification of frequencies | 13 | |
| 2 | 09 C.4 | · • | 6 5 | 10th Meeting. 10 September | 13 | • |
| 2 | 10 C.4 | B-Plan.Gr. | 70 | Plan. Series No 4 (blue) | 13 | · · · |
| 2 | 11 0.5 | 5 | 73 | Texts. Series No 3 (blue) | 14 | |
| 2 | 12 0.4 | ł | 65 | 11th Meeting. 13 September | 13 | |
| 2 | 13 C.5 | 5 | 74 | 4th Meeting. 14 September | 15 | 5. ⁺ |
| 2 | 14 C.5 | 5 | 74 | Texts. Pink leaflets B | 15 | |
| 2 | 15 C.2 | 2 | 47 | 5th Meeting. 15 September | 16 | |
| 2 | 16 C.2 | 2 | 47 | Final report | 16 | |
| 2 | 17 C.5 | 5 | 74 | Texts of recommendations.Pink leaflets | C 15 | |
| 2 | 18 P.A | L. | 37 | Agenda | . 16 | |
| 2 | 19 0.4 | B-Plan.Gr. | 70 | Plan. For 2nd reading | 16 | • |
| 2 | 20 C.5 | 5 | 74 | 5th Meeting. 16 September 2 | 217 16 | |
| 2 | 21 P.A | | 36 | 5th Meeting. 15 September | 24 | |
| 2 | 22 P.A | • | 37 | 6th Meeting. 16 September | 25 | |
| 2 | 23 P.A | | 38 | Press release | . 17 | |
| 2 | 24 P.A | | 34 | Amends 192 | 19 | |
| 2 | 25 P.A | • | 38 | 7th Meeting. 17 September | 25 | |
| | 26 P.A | | 38 | Closing Meeting, 17 September | 27 | . ~ |
| 2 | 27 500 | retariat | | List of MAR Documents 201 to 227 | 27 | |
| | | | | | | |

LIST OF DOCUMENTS OF THE MAR CONFERENCE

B. List by Committees, etc.

For particulars, see corresponding Sections.

MAR or RD/MAR

<u>Heads of Delegations</u> Page 28 in this Set <u>Note</u>, 3, 4, 6, 6/8, 7/9, 11, 12, 10/13, 11/14, 15/17, 18/18, 25/19, 20, 22, 72. See also RD 109. On page 147 of the RD Documentation, Nos.354/178, 355, etc. to 413, should appear under "Plenary Assembly",

after 317, 331, instead of "heads of Delegations".

5, 12, 14/16, 21, 23, 40/25, 41/26, 31, 54/32, 61/37,

<u>Plenary Assembly</u> Page 29 in this Set

<u>Committee 1</u> Page 39 in this Set

<u>Committee 2</u> Page 45 in this Set

Committee 3 Page 49 in this Set

Committee 4 Page 59 in this Set

<u>Committee 5</u> Page 73 in this Set

Joint Committee Page 75 in this Set

The Chair

Secretariat

44, 71, 72, 144/76, 82, 86, 114, 123, 139, 142, 354/178, 179, 192, 387/208, 218, 221, 222, 223, 224, 225, 226.

5/7, 39/24, 95/41, 134/47, 139/69, 154/78, 186/96, 218/109, 220/110, 250/120, 273/129, 307/149, 348/173.

27, 30, 34, 77, 83, 137, 152, 164, 174, 215, 216

1, 2, 28, 45, 87, 88, 89, 90, 93, 245/119, 122, 125, 131, 299/143, 150, 315/153, 155, 156, 157, 158, 175, 180, 181, 184, 185, 188, 200, 202.

10, 15, 29, 35, 36, 38, 39, 40, 42, 43, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 70, 73, 74, 75, 79, 80, 84, 165/85, 91, 170/92, 94, 180/95, 97, 98, 99, 100, 102, 104, 105, 106, 209/107, 108, 224/111, 232/112, 234/113, 115, 116, 121, 264/124, 267/126, 269/128, 130, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 138, 140, 141, 145, 146, 147, 148, 151, 154, 159, 334/160, 161, 162, 304/163, 165, 166, 167, 342/168, 169, 170, 171, 172, 182, 183, 186, 365/187, 189, 190, 191, 195, 196, 197, 198, 199, 207, 209, 210, 212, 219.

176, 177, 193, 194, 204, 205, 211, 213, 214, 217, 220.

157/81, 205/103, 244/117, 249/118, 268/127, 302/144, 363/203. (See also RD 174).

382/206

101, 201, 227.



PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

PAGE LAISSEE EN BLANC INTENTIONNELLEMENT

DENMARK

Report on the calling of the European Broadcasting Conference and the Maritime Regional Radio Conference in Copenhagen.

(Document RD 7/MAR 9 of 22 June 1948)

Under the provisions of section 1 of the Additional Protocol to the Acts of the International Radio Conference of Atlantic City, 1947, signed by the Delegates of the European Region, the Government of Denmark is requested to call the European Broadcasting Conference.

In order to comply with a proposal of the Radio Conference, the Covernment of Denmark has issued invitations for a European Maritime Radiocommunication Conference to be held in Copenhagen at the same time as the Broadcasting Conference.

In order to facilitate the work of the Conferences, the Danish Administration has found it appropriate to issue a report on the action taken by the Danish Government and Administration on this same question at the same time as the report on the preparation of these Conferences.

A. Invitations

In accordance with the provisions of \$ 1.1 of the Directives for the European Broadcasting Conference, the Danish Government through diplomatic channels has invited the following countries to send representatives to the Broadcasting Conference:

Albania (People's Republic of) Austria Belgian Bielorussian Soviet Socialist Republic People's Republic of Bulgaria State of Vatican City Egypt Finland France Greece Hungary Ireland Iceland Italy Lebanon Luxemburg Monaco Norway Netherlands

104 Annex 4 Denmark

> Republic of Poland Portugal French Protectorates of Morocco and Tunisia Federal People's Republic of Yugoslavia Roumanian People's Republic Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic United Kingdom of Great Britain and Nowthern Ireland Sweden Swiss Confederation Syria Czechoslovakia Turkey Union of Soviet Socialist Republics

The Danish Government has been informed that Lebanon will not take part in the Conference. No definite reply had been received from the following countries by 18 June:

Bielorussian Soviet Socialist Republic Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic Union of Soviet Socialist Republics.

The <u>extra-European countries</u>, which, pursuant to the provisions of § 1.2 of the Directives, have the right to be represented at the Broadcasting Conference by observers, have been informed of the convening of the Conference by Notification No 544 from the Bureau of the International Telecommunication Union. The Danish Government has been informed that representatives of the United States of America will attend the Conference as observers.

The <u>United Nations</u> whose telecommunication operating services are entitled to take part in the Conference in a consultative capacity, pursuant to the provisions of § 1.3 of the Directives, have been informed by the Danish Government, through the permanent Delegate of Denmark to the United Nations, of the convening of the Conference. No reply has been received.

In accordance with the provisions in Article 4, S 6 of the Atlantic City Radio Regulations, the <u>International Frequency Registration Board (IFRB)</u> has been invited by the Danish Administration to send representatives to participate in the Conferences in an advisory capacity. This invitation has been accepted.

International Organizations which, pursuant to the provisions of S 1.4 of the Directives, may be authorized to participate in the Conference in a consultative capacity have been informed of the convening of the Conference by Notification No 544 from the Bureau of the Union. Furthermore, in the invitation from the Danish Government to the countries in the European Area, these countries were asked to make the calling of the Conference known to international organizations and to inform them that applications for participation should be forwarded through the government of the country in which the organizations are domiciled. Applications for admission to the Conference have been received from the "Organization Internationale de Radiodiffusion" (OIR) and the "Contté International Radio-Maritime" (CIRM) through the Belgian Administration, and the "Union Internationale de Radiodiffusion" (UIR) through the Swiss Administration. The invitations for the European Maritime Radio Conference were issued at the same time and to the same countries as the invitations to the Broadcasting Conference.

The Danish Administration has been informed that the following countries will not take part in the Maritime Conference:

Swiss Confederation Lebanon

Credentials for the Conference

With regard to the nature of the European Broadcasting Conference, the Atlantic City Conferences discussed whether at should be an administrative or a plenipotentiary conference. However, the task of deciding the nature of the European Conference was left to the delegates of that Conference (Documents No 986 R and 522 TR of the Atlantic City Conferences).

Therefore, in the invitations to the countries of the European Region, the Danish Government has emphasized that it is advisable for delegates to be invested with powers to sign all agreements and other documents resulting from the work of the Broadcasting Conference irrespective of the nature of the documents.

Secretariat of the Conferences.

In order to ensure maximum efficiency in the work of the Secretariat of the Conferences, the Danish Administration has asked the Bureau of the International Telecommunication Union to assist, and the Director has kindly agreed to this.

Frequency bands to be dealt with by the Conferences.

The tqsk of the European Broadcasting Conference is defined in the Additional Protocol signed at Atlantic City and in the document annexed thereto. In the main, it is similar to those of the Lucerne and Montreux Conferences.

With regard to the question of what frequency bands should be considered at the Conferences in Copenhagen, it seems that the situation differs to some extent from the situation at the previous conferences. The main reason for this is that the "Resolution Relating to the Preparation of the New International Frequency List" adopted by the International Radio Conference in Atlantic City does in fact impose on Administrations the task of considering the frequency band 150-2850 kc/s during the regional conferences. The <u>European Broadcasting</u> <u>Conference</u>, and the <u>Regional Maritime Radio</u>: <u>Conference</u> are the only regional conferences scheduled for the European Region to deal with frequencies in the band 150-1605 kc/s at the present moment, and in the opinion of the Danish Administration it would be natural for the two conferences to decide upon the division of the work between the two conferences and whether other conferences should be convened. This question has been discussed by the Provisional Frequency Board (P.F.B.) which has issued a recommendation (Doc. 66 of 9 February 1948) dealing with some of the frequency bands in question.

This recommendation was approved by the Administrative Council during its Second Session and should therefore serve as a directive on this question. The pertinent part of this recommendation reads as follows:

"It is recommended that :

"A. The Administrative Council draws the attention of all Administrations concerned to the necessity of convening suitable regional conferences and proposes the following action be taken by the Administrations concerned:

1) The Copenhagen Broadcasting Conference integrates. the European aeronautical and maritime mobile requirements (see note 11, page 23 Reg:s) with those of broadcasting within the band 255-285 kc/s.

2) the Copenhagen Maritime Conference integrates the European requirements within the band 405-415 kc/s".

The Danish Administration was asked by the Italian Administration to state what programme and frequency bands should be considered by the Maritime Conference. The following reply was published in Notification No. 547 from the Bureau of the Union:

> "The Regional Maritime Radiocommunication Conference in Copenhagen will consider the maritime requirements in the bands 255-285 kc/s, 405-415 kc/s, 415-490 kc/s and 510-525 kc/s. Furthermore, the Conference will deal mainly with the same questions as the Maritime Radiocommunication Conference in Montreux, 1939; it will especially deal with the allocation of frequencies to coast stations in European waters from the North Sea to the Mediterranian (see Doc. 980 R, 25.9.1947 of the Radio Conference in Atlantic City)".

The frequency band 150-160 kc/s, shared by broadcasting and maritime mobile services, has not been included in the above list of frequency bands to be considered by the Maritime Conference as it is not clear to the Danish Administration whether it is indispensable for the Maritime Conference to study this with a view to re-allocating the coast stations of the European Region. A decision on this question will naturally be taken by the Maritime Conference itself.

The Italian Administration has presented the proposal that the Maritime Conference should:

- 1. deal with the allocation of frequencies to coast stations in the maritime mobile bands between 150 and 2850 kc/s.
- 2. prepare a new plan for medium frequency radio beacons to replace the pre-war Bordeaux plan.

This proposal has been circulated as a conference document (MAR-Doc. Nº 1) by the Bureau of the Union.

In a letter dated 4 June 1948 from the Bureau of the Union the Danish Administration was informed that the following recommendation had been made by the International Administrative Aeronautical Radio Conference in Geneva:

- "1. The International Administrative Aeronautical Radio Conference considers that the whole problem of aeronautical frequency requirements should be settled as expeditiously as possible. The Conference therefore recommends that regional conferences be convened without delay to prepare frequency assignment plans for the bands allotted to the aeronautical mobile service on the regional level. A special Administrative Conference will be convened in 1949 to approve the new frequency list; hence these plans should be ready in good time for the Conference to study them.
- 2. From a study of the frequency allocation table contained in the Atlantic City Radio Regulation and by comparing it with the Atlantic City Resolution relative to the P.F.B., it appears that no I.T.U. agency has been specifically charged with assigning frequencies in:
 - a) the 315 325 kc/s band, allocated in Region 1 to aeronautical radionavigation, and
 - b) the 325 405 kc/s band, shared thoughout the world between the acronautical mobile service and aeronautical radionavigation.
- 3. As regard Region 1, it is probable that a special aeronautical conference will be convened by the Administrative Council of the I.T.U, to meet in September, 1948.

However, it may be noticed that:

- a) The European Zone is the cause of the problem for Region 1.
- b) Expert representatives of aeronautical radio services in the European Zone will be in Copenhagen from the 25 June, 1948, to consider the question of broadcasting stations operating by special arrangement in the bands reserved for aeronautical mobile frequencies. (Frequency allocation table, note 19). In doing so, they would be bound to study the assignment of frequencies to aeronautical stations in the 325-405 kc/s band.
- c) If a special conference were to be held, its scope would be limited in practice to the 315-325 kc/s band, 10 ko/s wide.

In these circumstances, it would seem that the delay and expense occasioned by a special conference would be out of all proportion to the ends to be attained. 8 Annex 4 Denmark

> Hence the Danish Government might well be requested to profit by the presence of these experts by convening a meeting, in order that they might forward to the P.F.B. any proposals on frequency assignments in the above bands. These proposals, on approval by the Special Administrative Conference, would then be incorporated in the new frequency list. The Danish Government would, of course, have to inform the countries concerned about this resting.

Should the Danish Government accept this proposal, requests submitted on Forms 2 for the appropriate bands would be forwarded to it together with those relative to the mobile maritime service."

On 8 June 1948, the Danish Administration informed the Bureau of the Union that Denmark could accept the recommendation on the assumption that this preparatory meeting would take place within the framework of the Maritime Conference and that reasonable support for this proposal would be found among the countries taking part. On 12 June 1948, 4 countries had seconded the proposal that such a meeting be called and no objection had been received.

Below is given a list summarizing the different directives and recommendations concerning the frequency bands to be considered at the Copenhagen Conferences.

1. Additional Protocol, Atlantic City, 1947.

The European Broadcasting Conference shall set up a new plan for the allocation of frequencies to broadcasting stations (on long and medium waves).

2. Document Annexed to Protocol.

The European Broadcasting Conference shall deal with broadcasting service frequencies below 1605 kc/s in shared bands or in derogation.

3. Atlantic City Radio Regulations, 131.

The next European Broadcasting Conference shall decide to what extent existing broadcasting stations in Europe may be allowed to operate in the aeronautical bands 325 - 365 kc/s and 395 - 405 kc/s.

4. Atlantic City Radio Regulations, 138.

The next European Broadcasting Conference shall decide to what extent existing broadcasting stations in Europe may be allowed to operate in the maritime bands 415 - 490 kc/s and 515 - 525 kc/s.

5. <u>Resolution of the Administrative Council concerning the recommenda-</u> tion presented by P.F.B. in Doc. 66.

The Copenhagen Broadcasting Conference shall integrate the European aeronautical and maritime mobile requirements with those of broadcasting in the band 255 - 285 kc/s.

6. Invitation at the Radio Conference in Atlantic City 1947 (Doc. 980 R)

The Maritime Regional Radio Conference in Copenhagen should make frequency assignments in the 500 kc/s band to the coast stations in the region of the European waters from the North Sea to the Mediterranean.

7. Notification Nº 547 dated 16.3.48 from the Bureau of the Union.

The European Maritime Radio Conference in Copenhagen will deal with the maritime requirements in the bands 255 - 285 kc/s, 405 - 415 kc/s, 415 - 490 kc/s and 510 - 525 kc/s.

8. <u>Administrative Council Resolution concerning the recommendation</u> presented by the P.F.B. in Doc. 66.

The Copenhagen Maritime Conference shall integrate the European requirements within the band 405 - 415 kc/s.

9. <u>Recommendation adopted by the International Administrative Aero-</u> nautical Radio Conference on 1.6. 1948.

The Danish Government might well be requested to profit by the presence of the aeronautical radio experts by convening a meeting, in order that they might forward to the P.F.B. any proposals on frequency assignments in the bands 315 - 325 kc/s and 325 - 405 kc/s.

The following frequency list had been prepared to give a general view of the frequency bands to be considered at the various conferences in Copenhagen in accordance with the above stipulations. The Broadcasting Conference is indicated by RD, the Maritime Conference by MAR and the Aeronautical Meeting by AER. In cases where the same frequency band is being dealt with by more than one conference the listing does not indicate any relative priority.

| Frequency Band | Service *) | Conference |
|----------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 150-160 kc/s | B MM | RD, (MAR) |
| 160-255 | В | RD |
| 255-285 | AeN, B, MM | RD, MAR |
| 285-315 | MN | |
| 315-325 | ΛeN | ΛER |
| 325-405 | AeM, AeN | RD, AER |
| 405-415 | (AeN, MN, M (excl. Ae | MAR |
| 415-490 | MM | RD, MAR |
| 490-510 | M | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • |
| 510-525 | MM | RD, MAR |
| 525- 1605 | В | RD |

*) B - Broadcasting, MM - Maritime Mobile, AeN - Aeronautical Radionavigation, MN - Maritime Radionavigation, AeM - Aeronautical Mobile, M - Mobile.